In the Matter of:

NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE EMPLOYEES ORGANIZATION v. U.S. DEPT OF COMMERCE, NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

ARBITRATION

December 19, 2013

MERRILL LAD

1325 G Street NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC Phone: 800.292.4789 Fax: 202.861.3425 IN THE MATTER OF

-----x

NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE

EMPLOYEES ORGANIZATION,

Union,

CASE NO. 13-02394-1

v. Arbitrator Mariann

Schick, Esq.

U.S. DEPT. OF COMMERCE,

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC

ADMINISTRATION,

Agency.

-----x

Arbitration Proceeding Before

Arbitrator Mariann Schick, Esq.

Silver Spring, Maryland

Thursday, December 19, 2013

11:34 a.m.

Job No. 1-242710

Pages 1 - 274

Reported by: Patricia A. Edwards, RPR

	<u> </u>
1	ARBITRATION PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	Held at the offices of:
4	
5	U.S. Department of Commerce
6	1325 East West Highway
7	Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
8	
9	Pursuant to Agreement, before Patricia A.
10	Edwards, Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary
11	Public in and for the State of Maryland.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	ARBITRATOR:
4	
5	MARIANNN E. SCHICK, ESQUIRE
6	2401 Pennsylvania Avenue, Apt. 8B31
7	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19130
8	Telephone: (215) 765-3455
9	E-mail: schickarb@comcast.net
10	
11	ON BEHALF OF THE UNION:
12	
13	RICHARD J. HIRN, ESQUIRE
14	Attorney at Law
15	5335 Wisconsin Avenue, Northwest, Suite 440
16	Washington, D.C. 20015
17	Telephone: (202) 274-1812
18	E-mail: richard@hirnlaw.com
19	
20	
21	
22	

_	
1	APPEARANCES (continued)
2	
3	ON BEHALF OF THE AGENCY:
4	
5	NIA SMITH, ESQUIRE
6	MONIQUE CIOFFALO
7	U.S. Department of Commerce
8	Office of the General Counsel
9	Employment & Labor Law Division
10	1315 East West Highway, Room 5106
11	Silver Spring, Maryland 20910
12	Telephone: (301) 713-2527 x110
13	E-mail: nia.smith@noaa.gov
14	Monique.cioffalo@noaa.gov
15	
16	ALSO PRESENT:
17	
18	JOHN LONGENECKER
19	DANIEL A. SOBIEN
20	
21	
22	

ARBITRATION 12/19/2013

Page 5

		Page 5
1	EXAMINATION INDEX	PAGE
2		
3	WITNESS: DANIEL A. SOBIEN	
4	Direct by Mr. Hirn	37
5	Cross by Ms. Smith	114
6		
7	WITNESS: MICKEY BROWN (via telephone)	
8	Direct by Mr. Hirn	123
9	Cross by Ms. Smith	134
10	Re-direct by Mr. Hirn	149
11	Re-cross by Ms. Smith	150
12	Further re-direct by Mr. Hirn	152
13		
14	WITNESS: JOHN LONGENECKER	
15	Direct by Ms. Smith	156
16	Cross by Mr. Hirn	185
17	Re-direct by Ms. Smith	202
18	Re-cross by Mr. Hirn	211
19	Further re-direct by Ms. Smith	238
20	Further re-cross by Mr. Hirn	240
21		
22		

ARBITRATION 12/19/2013

Page 6

			rage 0
1	EXAMINATION INDEX (continued)		PAGE
2			
3	WITNESS: LAURA FURGIONE		
4	Direct by Ms. Smith		246
5	Cross by Mr. Hirn		258
6			
7			
8			
9			
10	EXHIBITS		
11	(Exhibits retained by the Arbitra	tor)	
12	JOINT EXHIBITS MARKED RECEIVED		
13	1 Collective Bargaining		
14	Agreement	9	9
15	2A Grievance	9	9
16	2B Grievance	9	9
17	3A Response	9	9
18	3B Response	9	9
19	4 Memorandum Of Understanding	9	9
20	5 Submission Agreement	9	9
21			
22			

			Page 7
1	ЕХНІВІТЅ (continued)	
2			
3	UNION EXHIBITS MARKED RECE	IVED	
4	1 GSA Catalog	22	
5	2 Photograph Array	50	53
6	3 Photograph Array	50	53
7	4 Photograph Array	50	53
8	5A-J Directives	79	81
9	6 Supply Catalog	81	84
10	7 E-Mail	85	88
11	8 E-Mail	88	88
12	9 E-Mail	89	90
13	10 E-Mail	90	90
14	11 NOAA Website Printout	93	98
15	12 Handout	95	98
16	13 Photograph	99	100
17	14 CDC Website Printout	109	109
18	15 CDC Website Printout	109	109
19	16 Photograph (Withdrawn)	197	
20	17 Photograph (Withdrawn)	200	
21			
22			

			rage o
1	EXHIBITS (cont	inued)	
2			
3	AGENCY EXHIBITS MARKED RECEIVED		
4	A Flu Annex	135	137
5	B H1N1 Preparedness	136	137
6	(C and D skipped)		
7	E Directive (record held open)	141	
8	(F, G, and H skipped)		
9	I NOAA Flu Information Fact		
10	Sheet	261	261
11	J Manuel	180	184
12	(L skipped)		
13	K Order	180	184
14	M HHS	261	261
15			
16	ARBITRATOR EXHIBITS MARKED RECEI	IVED	
17	1 Red Book	242	242
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE ARBITRATOR: We are here in the matter of
3	National Weather Service Employees Organization
4	versus the U.S. Department of Commerce. FMC is case
5	number 13-02394-1. My name is Arbitrator Mariann
6	Schick, you have selected to hear this dispute
7	between you.
8	Would counsel kindly enter their appearance
9	on the record, On behalf of the Union?
10	MR. HIRN: Richard Hirn.
11	THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. And on behalf of
12	the U.S. Department of Commerce?
13	MS. SMITH: Nia Fripp Smith.
14	MS. CIOFFALO: And Monique Cioffalo.
15	THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. And all
16	representatives here today are attorneys. We have
17	reviewed the file that was submitted to me prior to
18	the hearing and marked the documents therein as joint
19	exhibits, and I am hereby admitting them into the
20	record.
21	(The documents referred to were marked for
22	identification and received into evidence as Joint

1 Exhibit Numbers 1 through 5.) THE ARBITRATOR: Joint 1 is the collective 2 3 bargaining agreement of October 25, 2001, which is still in full force and effect, correct? 5 MR. HIRN: Yes. 6 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. Exhibit -- that's J1. J2 A and B is a two page document which is the 7 grievance. J3 A and B is the employer response to 8 9 the grievance. J4 is the memorandum of understanding which is at issue. And J5 is the submission 10 11 agreement which states the issues as framed by the 12 parties, and just for convenience sake, I am going to read it into the record, so we all know exactly what 13 14 the issues are we are dealing with today. One, did management violate the parties' 15 September 25, 2009 memorandum of understanding when 16 17 the acting CFO issued a memorandum on March 26, 2013, 18 directing subordinate managers not purchase 19 disposable cups, plates, utensils and other items. 2.0 Number two, if management did violate the parties' September 25, 2009 memorandum of 21 22 understanding when the acting CFO issued a memorandum

on March 26, 2013, directing subordinate managers not 1 2 to purchase disposable cups, plates, utensils and other items, was that an act an unfair labor practice, in violation of 5-USC, Section 7116(A), 1 and 5.6 Three, if management did violate the parties' September 25, 2009 memorandum of understanding when 7 the acting CFO issued a memorandum on March 26, 2013, 8 9 directing subordinate managers not to purchase 10 disposable cups, plates, utensils and other items, or 11 committed an unfair labor practice in violation of 12 5-USC Section 7116(A), 1 and 5, by doing so, what shall the remedy be. And this is signed by both 13 14 counsel, so those are the stipulated issues before 15 me. Inasmuch as this is a contract violation case, 16 17 the burden rests with the Union to go forward, and 18 Mr. Hirn, would you like to make an opening 19 statement? 20 MR. HIRN: Thank you. 21 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. 22 MR. HIRN: Ms. Schick, the National Weather

1	Service Employees Organization, whose acronym is
2	NWSEO, is certified to represent a nationwide
3	bargaining unit of all 3600 non-supervisory,
4	non-managerial, non-confidential Weather Service
5	employees, most of whom who are employed at the
6	nation's 122 Weather Forecast Offices, which are
7	located everywhere from Fairbanks, Alaska to Guam, to
8	Caribou, Maine, to San Juan, Puerto Rico, or in 13
9	THE ARBITRATOR: You couldn't hold this in San
10	Juan, Puerto Rico? What's the matter?
11	MR. HIRN: I have an arbitrator there in
12	January, but
13	THE ARBITRATOR: I had an arbitration there,
14	which I did in Spanish, for Cingular when they were
15	still in business. Okay. Go ahead, I'm sorry.
16	MR. HIRN: Okay. No, that's okay. And these
17	all the forecast offices are staffed 24 hours a
18	day, seven days a week, and they issue, you know, the
19	routine weather forecast that AcuWeather, or Weather
20	Channel then calls their own, but they come from our
21	clients, and the as well as warnings of severe
22	weather. The River Forecast Centers, 13 River

1	Forecast Centers, operate about 16 hours a day, and
2	they issue the flood warnings for different river
3	basins, Susquhanna River Basin is one such example.
4	And these River Forecast Centers are
5	co-located with the Weather Forecast Offices. There
6	are 12 smaller Weather Service Offices in 12, maybe
7	15 in remote parts of Alaska, and the Pacific, there
8	are a number there are Tsunami Warning Centers in
9	the Pacific and in Alaska, there are major national
10	Forecast Centers with which you may be familiar, such
11	as the National Hurricane Center in Miami, and if
12	you've ever watched the movie Twister with Helen
13	Hunt, they had that storm center that's a storm
14	prediction center in Oklahoma that does prediction
15	for major tornado outbreaks, severe storms.
16	There are a couple of other National Forecast
17	Centers around the country which operate 24/7, and
18	then there is the National Weather Service
19	headquarters here in Washington, which is mostly
20	administrative employees, but there are some
21	operational units here, and as well as southern
22	regional offices regional measuring direct offices

1	throughout the country. So that's our market.
2	In 2009, Weather Service management grew
3	concerned about the impact of the potential H1-N1
4	influenza pandemic. They were worried about they
5	were concerned, as was the Union, about the impact it
6	might have on employees and Agency operations. The
7	evidence today is going to show that, even when fully
8	staffed, there's only a minimum number of forecasters
9	necessary on the staff to maintain 24/7 coverage
10	and, as a result of budgetary constraints, there are
11	300 bargaining positions that are still vacant are
12	vacant now, creating difficulties in ensuring that
13	there is sufficient staffing.
14	As a result of these minimum staffing policies
15	and profiles, there is an adverse impact, you will
16	hear, on office's operations if there is more than
17	one employee sick at one time. So, management
18	initiated discussions with NWSEO about preventative
19	measures that might be taken to mitigate the impact
20	that the influenza threat on Weather Service
21	employees and facilities.
22	On September 29, 2009, the Agency's chief

1	negotiator, or our president, Dan Sobien, and
2	management's chief negotiator, Mickey Brown, who is
3	the deputy director of the Weather Service eastern
4	region, who will be called to testify today, they
5	agreed to an MOU that you have as Joint Exhibit 4,
6	addressing ways to reduce the potential impact that
7	the influenza outbreak may have on their offices,
8	staff and operations.
9	The agreement contained two paragraphs, and it
10	is the second paragraph that is at issue in this
11	case, and it reads that the Weather Service will
12	hereafter provide hand sanitizer at each work station
13	and each cubicle or office, disinfectant spray or
14	wipes for shared surfaces, and tissues, paper towels,
15	disposable cups, plates and utensils. Dan is going
16	to explain that providing these disposable cups,
17	plates, utensils, was necessary to protect against
18	the transmission of flu, colds, and other
19	communicable illnesses, because employees generally
20	have to eat where they work.
21	As he will explain, most of the forecast
22	offices, the Weather Service offices, the RFCs and

1	other operational units, are in standalone buildings.
2	They are not part of the larger federal building or
3	complex, there are no cafeteria facilities, and the
4	typical operation shift is eight hours long, which
5	does not include a meal break.
6	Because these facilities are typically
7	isolated, because the employees are generally working
8	around the clock, break-rooms with kitchen facilities
9	are provided. Employees typically eat where they
10	work, or while they are on momentary breaks. In
11	fact, under our contract, employees cannot even leave
12	their work stations for more than 10 minutes.
13	So, although the title of the MOU, Joint
14	Exhibit 4, refers to the implementation of the H1-N1
15	preparedness action, the language of the agreement is
16	worded more broadly and is not limited to the
17	particular H1-N1 outbreak that was expected in 2009
18	and '10. In their testimony, both from Mr. Brown and
19	Mr. Sobien, will say that they agree that this MOU
20	was not time-limited, and was intended to protect the
21	health of the staff on an on-going basis and in
22	future years, in particular the use of the words will

1	and hereafter provide, demonstrate the agreement was
2	not intended to was indeed intended to continue
3	indefinitely, and is not limited to the 2009-10
4	influenza season.
5	We are also going to show in evidence today,
6	ironically, that, according to the Centers for
7	Disease Control, this year's influenza season, almost
8	all of the influenza that is beginning to spread
9	around the country this year, is the 2009 H1-N1
10	strain. So, this agreement still has continuing
11	vitality, even if it wasn't addressed just for the
12	H1-N1 flu that's in the title.
13	In early April of this year, the Union
14	learned, through unofficial channels that the acting
15	chief financial officer of the international Weather
16	Service, sent an e-mail to all subordinate managers
17	on March 26, ordering them to cease purchasing
18	tissues, paper towels, disposable cups, plates and
19	utensils, because such purchase was, ostensibly,
20	against appropriations law. In support of this
21	claim, the acting CFO citied a Comptroller General
22	decision from the Coolidge Administration, in which

1	the Comptroller General wrote that federal agencies
2	cannot use appropriated funds to provide their
3	employees with lab coats or other protective
4	equipment because these were, ostensibly, personal
5	expenses.
6	A few days later, Dan will testify that he
7	filed a grievance alleging that Mr. Longenecker, who
8	is the chief acting CFO's directive violated this
9	September 25th, 2009 MOU. The grievance alleged that
10	the Weather Service also alleged that the Weather
11	Service committed an unfair labor practice in
12	violation of the Federal Service Labor Management
13	Relations Statute because the Agency essentially
14	repudiated that agreement. In his grievance, Mr.
15	Sobien wrote that the reliance on this Comptroller
16	General's decision in the Coolidge Administration was
17	misplaced. He explained that the Comptroller General
18	has long since changed his view, and now says that
19	so-called personal items may in indeed be purchase
20	with appropriated funds, if it promotes the health or
21	morale of federal employees.
22	Mr. Sobien explained that the disposable
Ī	

1	plates, cups and utensils are necessary to ensure
2	that the employees, who eat at their work place and
3	share common break room facilities, do not spread the
4	flu or colds or other illnesses, through the use of
5	common plates and utensils, and that this benefits
6	the Agency, particularly during current-type staffing
7	situations, by promoting health and reducing a need
8	for sick leave.
9	He also explained how the agreement to provide
10	these materials promotes the cleanliness of the break
11	rooms, ensuring that dirty cups, plates and utensils
12	are not left behind, as well as promoting the
13	efficiency of Agency operations, by alleviating the
14	employees of the responsibility for washing dishes
15	and utensils when their work shift ends.
16	Several days after Dan filed this grievance,
17	management representative Stan Kensky Stan is
18	sitting over here sent the Union our first
19	official notice that the Agency was no longer going
20	to comply with the September 25, 2009 MOU. Stan
21	wrote that, quote, the Weather Service has taken
22	steps to terminate what we consider to be illegal and

	1	improper activity, i.e., providing personal use items
	2	to Weather Service employees, pursuant to our 9/25/09
	3	Memorandum of Understanding. Mr. Kensky claimed
	4	that, quote, the necessity for such no longer exists,
	5	close quote, because a committee of the World Health
	6	Organization, quote, declared an end to the 2009
	7	H1-N1 pandemic globally, although Mr. Kensky did note
	8	that it is likely that the 2009 H1-N1 virus will
	9	continue to spread for years to come.
	10	Mr. Kensky also wrote that the Comptroller
	11	General appears to support our position, once again
	12	citing this Coolidge era Comptroller General's
	13	decision. A few days later, Dan responded to Mr.
	14	Kensky's e-mail and explained that if the Agency
	15	believed that there was no longer a need for the 2009
	16	MOU, it should propose to re-open the agreement,
	17	rather than unilaterally terminating it. Management
	18	eventually denied the grievance, but in so doing, it
	19	cited no statute or case that holds that federal
	20	agencies cannot use appropriated funds to stock
	21	employee break-rooms with disposable cups, plates and
	22	utensils, whether for employee convenience, or, as in
ı		

1 this case here, to protect against the spread of colds and flu. 2 Management continues to rely on this 1924 Comptroller General decision, which involved the 4 purchase of laboratory coats, which states that 6 appropriated funds cannot be used to purchase items for the personal comfort and protection of federal employees. Initially, it must be remembered that the 8 9 decisions of the Comptroller General are not 10 authoritative case law. The Comptroller General's 11 decision are advisory only. The Comptroller General, 12 and the General Accounting Office which he heads, 13 formerly -- well, now the Government Accountability 14 Office --15 THE ARBITRATOR: It's not GAO anymore? MR. HIRN: Well, GAO -- they still use the 16 17 acronym, but they changed it from Government 18 Accounting Office to Government Accountability 19 Office. But the GAO, whichever it's called, is only 2.0 -- is part of the legislative branch, and the 21 comptroller's decisions are not binding on executive 22 agencies, they're not binding on the courts, and the

FLRA has made clear that these decisions are not 1 2 binding on them, and -- and as a result, not binding on an Arbitrator. But nonetheless -- nonetheless, recent decisions of the Comptroller General fully support the expenditure of funds in this case. 6 is not the Coolidge administration anymore. The law has been liberalized in the Attorney General's view, and the 1924 decision is long out of 8 9 date, as exemplified by the fact that the General Services Administration, their online catalogue now 10 contains a dozen -- dozens of choices of lab coats 11 12 for federal employees [sic] to purchase their 13 employees. And I will mark for identification Union 14 Exhibit 1. 15 (The document referred to was marked for identification as Union Exhibit Number 1.) 16 17 MS. CIOFFALO: Are we introducing exhibits in 18 our opening statements or is this for testimony? 19 MR. HIRN: I'm not --2.0 THE ARBITRATOR: Let me see what it is first. If it's --21 22 MR. HIRN: I'm not introducing it yet, I

referred to something and I'm just going to mark it

for identification. 2 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. So, let's just mark it for identification. Ul for identification only. you want to tell us what U1 is? This is from the GSA -- the 6 MR. HIRN: government -- the General Services Administration is a federal Agency that does supply purchases for 8 9 federal agencies. They have an on-line catalogue and 10 a printed catalogue, and they now have lab coats, 11 which the Comptroller General said can't be purchased

for federal employees in 1924, this is just an

example that they are readily available for purchase

by federal agencies for their employees. Just an

16 THE ARBITRATOR: Well, this document only

example of how out of date --

- shows they're available for purchase. I think the
- 18 question is who pays for them. I don't know if --
- 19 MR. HIRN: You have to be --
- 20 THE ARBITRATOR: This doesn't establish that
- 21 MR. HIRN: You have to be a government agency
- to buy from the GSA.

1

12

13

14

15

1	THE ARBITRATOR: Well, I presume you'll have
2	some evidence on that or something. Okay.
3	MR. HIRN: The Comptroller General now
4	recognizes that the purchase of things that are
5	previously been viewed as personal items, quote, also
6	endures for the benefit of the Agency in a number of
7	ways, including increased employee productivity,
8	health and morale, and therefore this justifies the
9	use of appropriated funds. The Comptroller General
10	now writes that the accepted the retention of
11	employees and promotion of employee morale generally
12	as a justification for paying for some expenses that,
13	in many circumstances had been viewed previously as
14	personal in nature, but are now typically provided by
15	employers.
16	For example, in 1982, the Comptroller General
17	approved purchase of paper napkins, now being denied
18	for Weather Service employees, for lunchtime use by
19	IRS employees, who, like maybe Weather Service
20	employees, were unable to leave facilities for meals
21	in the time allotted. The Comptroller General wrote
22	that the purchase of paper napkins in this case

1	helped to ensure productivity and morale or service
2	center employees.
3	But perhaps the most clearly analogous
4	Comptroller General's decision involved the approval
5	of payment for cooking utensils to be used in air
6	traffic controller facilities. The evidence that we
7	will present today will demonstrate that the working
8	conditions which justified the expenditure of funds
9	in the FAA case, also exist in Weather Service
10	facilities. In fact, the Comptroller General's
11	description of the work environment that the FAA
12	sounds identical to what we will show today as the
13	working environment in the weather forecast offices.
14	They operate a 24-hour schedule, without commercial
15	restrooms or snack bars readily available, that it is
16	necessary at most of the facilities to eat their
17	lunches and coffee breaks out or near their places of
18	duty, and that controllers are required to be
19	continuously available for duty during their
20	eight-hour shift.
21	Now, if there is any doubt left about the
22	propriety of federal agencies purchasing disposable

1	cups, plates and utensils for employees, we are also
2	going to present evidence that all of these materials
3	are available to federal agencies in the General
4	Services Administration supply catalogue. There, in
5	the chapter kitchen and break-room supplies, there
6	are pages of disposable flatware, disposable
7	dinnerware, paper napkins for purchase, and face
8	as well as facial tissues which were at issue in the
9	which are covered by our 2009 MOU.
10	Furthermore, the Federal Labor Relations
11	Authority has ordered the Social Security
12	Administration to negotiate over the provision of
13	eating utensils, which it found did not violate
14	appropriations law. The evidence today is also going
15	to show that the Weather Service failed to consider a
16	statute enacted in 1946 that specifically authorizes
17	federal agencies to expend funds to promote and
18	maintain employee health, including the expenditures
19	that are directed towards the control of communicable
20	diseases such as influenza.
21	Title 5 of the U.S. Code section 901 provides
22	that agencies may establish within the limits of

1	appropriations available, health service programs to
2	promote and maintain the physical and mental fitness
3	of its employees. Such a health service program can
4	include treatment of on the job injuries, in
5	emergency situations, pre-employment and other
6	physicals, and quote, preventive programs related to
7	health.
8	This law has been used to pay for matter that
9	would otherwise be clearly called personal expenses
10	of employees. For example, the Comptroller General
11	has written that this statute authorizes federal
12	agencies to pay for employee's access to private
13	health and fitness facilities. Congress enacted this
14	law after finding that illness was one of the chief
15	causes of employee turnover and absenteeism, and that
16	such illnesses are a drag on employee's efficiency
17	and productivity. The legislative history
18	specifically states that among the things that
19	Congress intended to authorize was quote, preventive
20	programs relating to health, including the
21	elimination of health hazards in the workplace
22	environment, which include the control of
l	

1	communicable diseases and promotion of environmental
2	hygiene.
3	Relying on this particular section of the
4	statute's legislative history, the Comptroller
5	General has approved the expenditure of funds to pay
6	for routine immunization of federal employees. The
7	evidence which we will present today will show that
8	the Weather Services management does, indeed, provide
9	free influenza inoculations to employees here at
10	Weather Service headquarters, but not to operational,
11	bargaining employees in the field where staffing
12	shortages due to illness often will have the greatest
13	impact on Agency operations. In fact, as Dan will
14	explain, right here in this building, a few floors
15	below us, is a health service unit, where the Agency
16	pays for all kinds of health prevention and
17	inoculations, and medications, and screenings,
18	testing or whatever, things that would clearly fall
19	under personal expenses, that the Agency has
20	categorized the other things as.
21	It's our view that if the Agency is legally
22	authorized to expend funds to immunize headquarter

1	employees against influenza, it is certainly entitled
2	to spend funds in other ways to prevent employees in
3	the field from contracting influenza by the use of
4	common dishware and utensils. As will be seen from
5	the photographs of break-rooms that we will introduce
6	from several of forecast offices, employees are
7	resorted to using to sharing and hand-washing
8	common dishware because there are no dishwashers
9	installed in those offices. As a result, the Weather
10	Service may indeed be in violation of OSHA sanitation
11	regulations that state common drinking cup and other
12	common utensils are prohibited.
13	In sum, the grievance also alleges, as you
14	heard yesterday that we allege the management
15	committed an unfair labor practice in violation of
16	this statute by repudiating the September 2009 MOU.
17	Now, an isolated or discreet violation of the
18	collective bargaining agreement is not, of course, an
19	unfair labor practice, but a repudiation of an
20	agreement is, and he contractual violation arises to
21	the level of an unfair labor practice when two
2.2	
22	criteria are met. When the breach is clear and

1	patent, and two, when the provision goes to the heart
2	of the parties' agreement. The Agency acts at its
3	peril, according to the FRLA, if it repudiates a
4	provision in the belief that the provision is
5	illegal. A violation may be found, even if the
6	agreement being repudiated is not necessarily the
7	parties' master collective bargaining collective
8	agreement.
9	In this case, the evidence will show that the
10	breach of the 2009 MOU is not only clear and patent,
11	but undisputed, and the grievance involves not just a
12	breach of that agreement, a patent breach of that
13	agreement, but the evidence will show that the
14	Weather Service explicitly repudiated the agreement
15	in the e-mail that Mr. Kensky sent the Union in April
16	of this year. And it goes to the heart of the
17	agreement, because the section repudiated is one of
18	the only two paragraphs in the e-mail.
19	Now, in sum, we believe the evidence will show
20	that the Agency's claim that the MOU is illegal,
21	their legal argument on that is so insubstantial, and
22	that the evidence will show that the real reason why

1	it repudiated this MOU is simply to save money.
2	Coincidentally, this repudiation happened at the same
3	time as the sequestration was imposed last March, and
4	the Weather Service was under significant financial
5	pressures at the time, and initiated a hiring freeze
6	and took lots of other actions to cut back. We
7	believe the evidence will show that that was the real
8	reason, and in fact, we will have evidence that, in
9	early April, the meteorologist in charge of the Green
10	Bay forecast office sent his staff an e-mail, telling
11	them that the office would no longer buy these
12	materials, pursuant to the MOU, and his e-mail
13	telling the staff said nothing about any alleged
14	illegality or impropriety of the agreement, but
15	merely said that it was being done in response to the
16	tightening and uncertainty of the Weather Service
17	budget.
18	So, that's our case that we expect to show
19	today.
20	THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. Thank you very
21	much. Ms. Smith, do you wish to make opening now or
22	do you want to reserve until the employer's case

1 opens? I'll make it now. 2 MS. SMITH: THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. MS. SMITH: As we discussed, good morning, my name is Nia Fripp Smith. I have the privilege of 6 representing the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration of the U.S. Department of Commerce. As you said earlier, the parties have agreed to 8 9 resolve three issues, the facts presented today will 10 show that management terminated its provision of 11 disposable cups, plates and utensils because doing so 12 was illegal. The practice was in plain violation of 13 federal appropriations law which had to stop without 14 a delay, as a result the Agency could not and cannot 15 comply with the September 2009 MOU to the extent that it requires it to provide disposable items for the 16 17 personal use of Weather Service employees. Today you will hear that in 2012 and 2013, the 18 19 Weather Service, and frankly, the entire federal 2.0 government was facing significant financial 21 management challenges, as opposed to budgetary 22 challenges. Yet, the Weather Service was working

1	diligently to move through these challenges. One
2	step in that process was requiring training regarding
3	appropriations law and the appropriate use of federal
4	funds, which as we all know, Congress appropriates
5	the funds and decides what we should do with them.
6	And you know, just as a side note, we'll get into
7	appropriations, but I don't think anybody here is an
8	expert and I'm sure no witness testifying is an
9	expert on appropriations law, however, there are
10	people who have been significantly trained who will
11	testify.
12	So, out of this training came the question of
13	whether the use of appropriated funds could be spent
14	disposable items. The issue came to the attention of
15	John Longenecker, our first witness. At that time,
16	Mr. Longenecker was acting chief financial officer
17	for the Weather Service. He was charged with
18	managing the funds that Congress appropriates to the
19	Weather Service and ensure they were used with
20	appropriations law. When he learned that Weather
21	Service employees were using appropriated funds for
22	these items, he directed senior management officials

1	to make sure the practice ceased.
2	Further, he will testify that he sent, through
3	this March 26, 2013 e-mail further, he will
4	testify that he sent this e-mail because he knew the
5	practice was illegal. He will also testify that
6	there are significant penalties for employees who use
7	appropriated funds for unauthorized expenditures.
8	We will also hear from Laura Furgione, deputy
9	assistant administrator for the Weather Service. Ms.
10	Furgione will testify regarding the Agency's decision
11	to terminate this practice, that this practice was
12	illegal, and that she agreed with Mr. Longenecker
13	that the practice needed to stop. She will also
14	testify as to her experience in the field, she has
15	been with the Weather Service for about 20 years, and
16	she has also been a shift worked, and she has
17	personal experience with those conditions.
18	Our last witness will be David Murray, chief
19	negotiator for the Weather Service. He will testify
20	regarding the Weather Service's notification to NWSEO
21	about its decision to stop the practice. He will
22	also testify that, although invited to, NWSEO never
1	

- 1 presented any proposals.
- 2 THE ARBITRATOR: NWSEO never what?
- 3 MS. SMITH: Presented any proposals regarding
- 4 the change. As you heard in Mr. Hirn's opening
- 5 statement, you heard the a lot of history and
- 6 overview of the bargaining process of this MOU.
- 7 While that history may be informative, it will not
- 8 demonstrate that the Agency's provision of disposable
- 9 items was a legal use of appropriate funds. It
- 10 simply cannot. And, in any event, we believe that
- 11 the post-hearing briefs that the parties will submit
- 12 will lay out our legal arguments in an erudite
- 13 fashion.
- Today, we should stick with the facts. As a
- result, the Agency respectfully requests that you
- 16 find that it did not violation the MOU or commit an
- unfair labor practice, and thus no remedy lies here.
- 18 Thank you.
- 19 THE ARBITRATOR: Thank you both counsel. All
- 20 right, Mr. Hirn, you may call your first witness.
- 21 MR. HIRN: Which would be Dan Sobien.
- 22 (Off the record.)

THE ARBITRATOR: Our first witness for the 1 2 Union is Dan Sobien. And Mr. Sobien, do you have any objection to taking an oath? THE WITNESS: No. 4 5 Whereupon, 6 DANIEL A. SOBIEN called as a witness, and having been duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and testified, as follows: 8 9 THE ARBITRATOR: I presume as Agency president 10 you've testified in hearings before? 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. The only thing that I would again caution you about is if opposing 13 14 counsel makes an objection, please just stop testifying and give me a chance to rule on the 15 objection. If I sustain the objection, I won't 16 17 permit you to continue on that line. If I overrule 18 it, I will permit you. And I will, of course, as you 19 know, let counsel go back and forth until all those 2.0 questions are answered. I may have a few questions 21 at the end. 22 So, very good.

1	DIRECT EXAMINATION:
2	BY MR. HIRN:
3	Q. Dan, what is your education?
4	A. I have a bachelors degree in meteorology and
5	an MBA.
6	Q. And where are those degrees from?
7	A. My bachelors degree is from Florida State
8	University and my MBA is from Amarillo Aeronautical
9	University.
10	Q. And how long have you been employed well,
11	when were you first hired by the National Weather
12	Service?
13	A. 1991.
14	Q. And where was that?
15	A. In Del Rio, Texas.
16	Q. And what were you hired to do?
17	A. Well, I was an intern. It was really a
18	training type job. I mean, I launched balloons, I
19	did numerous other things, took observations, general
20	technician work, but it was training for, you know,
21	future employment.
22	Q. And did there come a time when you were

1 promoted from the internship? 2 A. Yes. O. And when was that? A. That was 1994. 4 Q. And did you remain Del Rio? 5 6 A. No, I went to Tampa, Florida. And that's 7 where I remained, in Tampa, since 1994. O. Have you received any promotions since then? 8 9 A. Yes. I was promoted to a lead forecaster, that was around 1999, I think, 1998, 1999, and then I 10 11 was promoted to an emergency response -- well, lead 12 emergency response meteorologist in 2010, might have 13 been 2011, it was right there on the --14 THE ARBITRATOR: Just so I understand this, as 15 Union president, you still work for the Agency or are you now on full-time leave serving as president? 16 THE WITNESS: I'm 100 percent official 17 18 full-time, so I'm still an employee --19 THE ARBITRATOR: But you work --2.0 THE WITNESS: But I do Union work. I mean, 21 theoretically, and I have been called in to work from 22 time to time, but --

THE ARBITRATOR: Your job now is to be 1 2 president of the Union? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. 5 BY MR. HIRN: 6 Q. What was your first Union office? 7 A. My first Union office was steward in Tampa. Q. And when was that? 8 A. '97, maybe '98. 9 10 Q. And did you run for higher Union office after that? 11 12 A. Yes. Shortly after that, I was the vice-chairman of the southern region, then around 13 14 2000 I became the chairman of the southern region, vice-president of the Union a few years after that, 15 and I've been the president since 2006. 16 17 Q. And did you -- were you ever a member of the 18 national negotiating team, that led to the collective 19 bargaining agreement? 2.0 A. Yes. I was, in fact, the bargaining 21 agreement that was entered into as an exhibit, I 22 believe, I helped negotiate that, and since late 1999

1 I was on the negotiating team. 2 Q. Do you hold any department-wide labor position? A. Department-wide? O. With the department -- can you tell us what 6 the department of Congress labor council is? 7 A. Okay. I know what you're saying. The Obama administration issued an executive order shortly 8 9 after it was brought in its first term, its first 10 year, creating labor councils, at the department 11 level, and also they were supposed to created at the 12 Agency levels also. 13 I am the co-chairman of the Department of 14 Commerce national labor council. 15 O. And who is on that -- who's on the council and what does it do? 16 17 A. The heads -- the presidents of all the major 18 unions within the Department of Commerce are on the 19 labor side, and on the management side -- well, my 2.0 co-chairman is the CFO for the Department of 21 Commerce. And generally, it is HR-type people or 22 Agency heads from the Department of Commerce that

1 represent management side. It's not actually a 2 negotiating body, it's more like a pre-decisional-type body where we try to work out problems before they become problems. 4 Q. And how often does this meet? 6 A. Quarterly. Although, sometimes we have 7 interim meetings. O. How many employees does the NWSEO represent 8 9 at the National Weather Service? A. At the National Weather Service? About 3600. 10 11 Q. And what is the geographic scope of the 12 bargaining unit? 13 A. It -- well, you said it best in your opening 14 statement. We are -- we actually have one office in the southern hemisphere, Samoa, as far east as Guam, 15 which is almost in Asia, north of Barrow, Alaska, 16 17 Caribou, Maine and then San Juan, and every place in-between, there's over 150 offices in between, 18 19 various facilities, most of which are the weather forecast offices. 2.0 21 O. And how many weather forecast offices are 22 there?

1 A. 121. Q. 121. 2 3 A. Or 122. I think it's 121. 4 THE ARBITRATOR: It gives me an idea. BY MR. HIRN: 5 6 Q. And what are the hours of operation of these offices? 7 A. They are 24 hours a day, seven days a week, 8 9 all holidays, they never close. It's an 10 emergency-type function. 11 Q. And what is the responsibility of these offices? 12 13 A. Well, they issue, you know, all the weather 14 warnings. The weather forecast offices specifically 15 issue tornado warnings, severe thunderstorm warnings, winter storm warnings, they issue hurricane warnings 16 17 after -- beyond the immediate coast and any kind of 18 inland type areas that need hurricane warnings. They 19 essentially issue any type of weather warnings 20 specific to the geographical location that they're responsible for. They also issue more generalized 21 22 weather forecasts that are used by industry commerce

1 and just the economy in general. 2 Q. How about River Forecast Centers, what do they do? A. River Forecast Centers are a specialized unit, there are 13 of those around the country. They 6 are usually -- they are always in the same building 7 as a WFO somewhere. That might not be true in Alaska. I'm not sure about that. And they are 8 9 responsible for major river flooding, you know, when 10 the Mississippi was flooding a few years back, that 11 was the River Forecast Center providing that 12 information to emergency providers and water managers and that type of thing. 13 14 Q. What are the hours of operation in a typical 15 RFC? A. That's not 24/7 unless there's an event going 16 17 on. Typically they run 14 to 16 hours a day, as a rule of thumb. 18 19 Q. Every day or just --20 A. No, seven days a week. 21 Q. Are there any smaller Weather Service offices 22 in remote locations that are not fully forecast

offices? 1 2 A. Yes. The only ones I know of are very remote locations in Alaska and some of the South Pacific Islands which are in Hawaii. (Off the record.) 5 6 BY MR. HIRN: Q. And are there any -- are we sitting in weather forecast headquarters right now? 8 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And are there any employees here? 11 A. Oh, yes. We have hundreds of employees here. 12 Q. Are there any operational employees here? 13 A. Sure. Two units I can speak about off the 14 top of my head, and it might be more. There is 15 what's called a telecommunications gateway, and just to describe it, when a forecaster issues a warning or 16 17 a forecast, they get it into a computer, it goes 18 electronically to a group in this building, who then 19 disseminates it out, and we see it on the crawler, on 2.0 the bottom of the screen. They're a 24/7 operation, 21 and then there's another --22 THE ARBITRATOR: The crawler on the bottom of

your TV screen? 1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. THE WITNESS: When it says a warning came from --6 THE ARBITRATOR: Right, right, right. THE WITNESS: And it's disseminated by that group that's in this building. They didn't issue a 8 9 warning -- the forecaster in Dodge City or Chicago or 10 wherever issued that, but they electronically got it 11 and made sure that it got to everybody and 12 double-checked and triple-checked it. There's another unit in this building. I'm 13 14 not 100 percent sure if it's 24/7, but it's an 15 operational unit, much like the WFO or perhaps EON, 16 and it's called the NOP, the National Operations 17 Center. And, in fact, now that I think about it, I 18 think they're only 24/7 when there is a big event 19 going on. And their duties primarily are to collect 2.0 the warnings and information and coordinate them, and 21 so there's one location where people can go and if 22 the media or the president or whoever wants that kind

of information they can get it from them. 1 BY MR. HIRN: 2 Q. Are there any larger centralized forecasting units? A. Yes, yes, there's -- we have national 6 centers. The weather forecast offices deal with a worldwide area. I'm from Tampa. In the west coast of Florida, we're responsible for, if there's a 8 9 thunderstorm in that area, you know, our guys start 10 -- our people issue the warnings and -- for that 11 The larger scope forecast, nation-wide 12 forecast for in-flight aircraft, the forecast for hurricanes, for large scale tornado watches, we have 13 14 a space runner mother center that monitors solar 15 flight. They come from what's called a national 16 17 center, and they are collectively grouped all into a group called the national center for environmental 18 19 prediction, which is in the Washington, D.C. area but 20 a few miles away, it's not in this building. So, yes, we have a larger, national centers, with 21 22 specific customers or duties like the hurricane

center, there's the aviation weather center that 1 2 forecasts for aviation groups, you mentioned the storm prediction center, that's primarily looking at tornados and severe thunderstorms. There is also the ocean prediction center 6 that forecasts for ocean-going vessels, that sort of thing. O. Where is the bulk of bargaining employees 8 9 found? A. They are in the weather forecast offices. 10 11 O. And have you visited many forecast offices? 12 A. I've probably been to a quarter or a third of 13 them. 14 Q. Are these buildings generally part of a larger complex or are they standalone? 15 A. Of all of those that I've ever been to, 16 17 Sacramento was the only one that was in like a 18 government complex, and that was a state government 19 complex, California state government. The Tulsa 2.0 office was in a separate office commercial building, 21 but almost all of them are standalone buildings, maybe 4,000 to 5,000 square feet. 22

1 THE ARBITRATOR: When you say almost all of 2 them, almost all of them that you visited, or almost all of them are --THE WITNESS: All the ones --THE ARBITRATOR: That are in the bargaining 6 unit? THE WITNESS: Almost all of the ones I visited and almost all the ones that I haven't visited that I 8 9 know of --10 THE ARBITRATOR: All right. 11 THE WITNESS: There are very few that aren't 12 standalone buildings, and they are usually in very 13 remote locations. The offices are usually co-located 14 with a large radar, and the radar emits radiation, and that's how we're seeing the storms. 15 Not many people wanted those in their back 16 17 yards, so these are usually offices that are usually out in farms or warehouse districts that -- where 18 19 people aren't going to complain about having this 20 big, tall, giant radar in their back yard. BY MR. HIRN: 21 22 Q. And do the employees have access to

cafeterias in these buildings? 1 2 A. No. 3 O. And --A. With the possible exception of Sacramento. That one might have, I don't know. 5 6 Q. Where do employees eat then? A. Well, as a rule of thumb, you eat at your work station. And let me explain a work station in a 8 9 weather forecast office. It isn't like in this 10 building, where you have your own cubicle, your own 11 computer and you kind of control your space. It is a 12 place that has -- there's some dubbing terminals to 13 the main computer that they call AWIPS, and you know, 14 two or three terminals of that, and then a personal 15 computer next to it, and that's your home for eight hours, and after your eight hours you pick up your 16 17 stuff and leave and the next guy comes in and sits down at that same terminal, and it's 24 hours a day, 18 19 seven days a week like that. 2.0 So, they get pretty disgusting after a while. 21 O. And where do employees get their food or 22 prepare their food?

1 A. You know, it --2 Q. Let me withdraw that and let's do this another way. I'm going to ask you to look at what's 3 been marked as exhibit -- I'll ask you to mark for identification as Union Exhibit 2. It's a photo 6 array before you that says WFO Mobile, and in Union Exhibit 2. There's a photo array before you that says WFO Monterey, we'll mark that for identification 8 9 as Union Exhibit 3. And there's a photo array before 10 you of WFO Duluth, Union Exhibit 4. 11 (The photographs referred to were marked for 12 identification as Union Exhibit Numbers 2, 3 and 4.) 13 BY MR. HIRN: 14 Q. Dan, are these photo arrays typical of what we would find in the standard forecast offices? 15 A. Yes. And, in fact, I think if you look at 16 17 it, there are -- you know, you can see that they're 18 all the same. I mean, everything is pretty much --19 this is a typical forecast office kitchen, except 20 that I've been to Mobile several times, and they've 21 cleaned it for the picture. 22 MR. HIRN: I'll move the admission of Union

- 1 Exhibits 2 through 4.
- 2 THE ARBITRATOR: Ms. Smith, any objection?
- 3 MS. SMITH: Who took the pictures?
- 4 THE WITNESS: Are you asking me or him?
- 5 MS. SMITH: Yes.
- 6 THE ARBITRATOR: No. She's asking you --
- 7 MS. SMITH: I mean, somebody --
- 8 THE ARBITRATOR: Do you want to do voir dire
- 9 on this? Do you know who took the pictures?
- 10 THE WITNESS: I believe our steward in each
- 11 office.
- 12 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay.
- 13 THE WITNESS: That's where I get them from, so
- 14 I assume they took them.
- MS. SMITH: So before today, have you seen the
- 16 pictures?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. Not all of them, actually.
- 18 I saw Duluth, but I did not see Monterey or Mobile,
- 19 but I saw the e-mails, but I didn't look at them on
- 20 my e-mail.
- 21 MS. SMITH: I don't know about 4. He doesn't
- have any personal knowledge. I mean, you're saying

- that this is what Mobile looked like when you saw it?
- 2 THE WITNESS: That is Mobile, yes. I mean,
- 3 this is a typical WFO. We asked our stewards to go
- 4 take pictures of their kitchens and e-mail them.
- 5 They e-mailed them over to Richard, they copied me, I
- 6 looked at the Duluth one. I didn't go in and open
- 7 all of them because I know what a WFO looks like.
- 8 THE ARBITRATOR: You've been personally to
- 9 these three, or --
- 10 THE WITNESS: No, I've been to Mobile. I've
- 11 never been to Duluth or Monterey.
- 12 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. But these were
- received in response to your request of some stewards
- 14 to take pictures?
- 15 THE WITNESS: My stewards in these offices.
- 16 MR. HIRN: And his testimony was that this is
- typical of a weather forecast office.
- 18 THE ARBITRATOR: Understood. Do you have any
- 19 objection to 2 and 3?
- 20 MS. SMITH: I'm fine with it.
- 21 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Very good. The
- 22 Union's 2 through 4 are admitted into evidence.

(The documents referred to were received into 1 2 evidence as Union Exhibit Numbers 2, 3 and 4.) BY MR. HIRN: Q. How long are the typical operational shifts at a forecast office? 6 MS. SMITH: Objection, asked and answered. MR. HIRN: I don't remember that. MS. SMITH: Yes, we talked about 24 hours, 8 9 eight hour shifts. 10 MR. HIRN: No, that's not the -- I mean it's a 11 24 hour operation. I didn't ask what the typical 12 shift was. THE ARBITRATOR: Yes, I think his recollection 13 14 is correct. Overruled. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Well, there is no answer to that 15 16 question, because there's a whole -- there's a flurry 17 of alternate work schedules. I would say the --18 there is no common, okay, it's eight hours a day, but 19 as a rule, it's eight hours a day, that's the 20 standard that everybody goes by. But in some offices, they might work nine 21 22 hours a day, and then throw in eight hour a day

shifts in there so they only work, you know, less 1 2 days in a week, but an eight hour day is a, you know, eight hours a day is easily divisible by 24 is how things are usually calculated out. BY MR. HIRN: 6 Q. Is a meal hour scheduled during these operational shifts? 7 A. No. Meal hours aren't scheduled for the 8 9 operational folks. 10 Q. And when do employees eat? 11 A. If it's a really busy day, you don't eat. 12 There -- but typically, you know, you have a sandwich next to you, and you eat it, and you're looking at 13 14 the radar, and you pick it up, and take another bite, and you're looking at the radar, and it's just back 15 and forth like that. 16 17 O. Are there break-rooms exhibited in these 18 pictures? 19 A. Yes. 2.0 O. And are there dishwashers in these 21 break-rooms? A. No. I've never seen a dishwasher at a WFO. 22

O. Are employees allowed to leave premises

- during operational shifts for meals? 2 A. As a rule of thumb, no, but I would be lying if I told you it didn't happen sometimes, like on a quiet weather day somebody didn't send somebody out 6 for pizza, and they brought it back for the rest of the office, or something like that. O. How many employees are typically scheduled to 8 9 work on an operational shift at a forecast office? 10 A. Always there are two people on, two 11 forecasters on. On some occasions, it depends --12 then there is another unit within the Weather Service office, or weather forecast office called the HMT, 13 14 which is hydro-meteorological technician in-term
- within that office, and there's not always inside the

unit, and depending on what the duties of that

office, they might work one or two shifts a day

- office, because one of their duties is to go out and
- 19 fix some observational equipment they have, and make
- sure they're running and maintaining those. The --
- so, you can count on two people there.
- Say, for instance, it's an office that does

1

15

16

upper air balloon launches, they might actually have 2 three people there for most of the day, but if they don't do that, they might only have two people there at any given time of the day. And in fact, there are some offices that well, I think that answered your 6 question. O. Is this number sufficient to run operations during severe weather? 8 9 A. No. 10 Q. How many employees does it take to -- well, 11 what happens during severe weather? 12 A. They call somebody in on overtime. Q. Okay. How many employees does it take to 13 14 cover a typical two-person, 24/7 forecast office? A. Okay. Okay. So, if you have two people on 15 16 every shift, and the shifts are eight hours a day,

Merrill Corporation

that means you would have three shifts, during the

day, so it would take six people for the two people

-- seven days a week, that's 42 shifts. If you have

10 forecasters, five days a week, to cover eight hour

shifts, that's 50 shifts. However, those forecasters

are given annual leave, so that knocks that down to

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

45 shifts. 1 So, if you have 10 forecasters, you'll have 2 45 shifts to cover 42 that you need, but that doesn't count training, or if somebody gets sick, and it also doesn't count that the way the shifts run, if 6 something -- every week your shift is moving, and if you're on, say, a midnight shift, and the guy who is out is mostly on the day shift, you can't take the 8 9 guy who's been, you know, who's been working two 10 midnight shifts to work a day shift and then go back 11 to his midnight shifts, so it takes away that 12 flexibility. So, really, you need more than 10 people to run the two shifts a day, 24 hours a day, 13 14 seven days a week. So, when somebody is sick or absent, it 15 16 really throws a monkey wrench into the operations. 17 O. Is the Weather Service -- is the forecast 18 office when a forecast office is fully staffed, 19 does it generally have an excess of forecasters to 20 cover the shifts? 21 MS. SMITH: I'm going to object right here. 22 I'm not sure how this is relevant to the issues.

1 MR. HIRN: Well, I think it goes to the point 2 we are trying to make largely here, is that it's in the Agency's interests -- not necessarily only his interest, the employee's health, so you come to work, and you can issue the forecast every morning. 6 is an Agency that is -- I will proffer Dan will testify that it is minimally staffed and now under-staffed, and as such when employees get sick 8 9 and you can't more than two people sick at a time, 10 Agency operations are going to suffer, so it's in the 11 government's interest to keep the employees healthy, 12 as well as for the Agency's interest. 13 And why that is relevant is, to the extent 14 that one looks at the Comptroller General's decisions, the Comptroller asks, well, 15 notwithstanding the personal benefits to employees, 16 17 is there enough -- you know, is it benefiting from x, 18 y, z, etcetera. 19 MS. SMITH: Just being present at the office 2.0 doesn't mean you're healthy or -- I think that's too 21 far tangential to be -- and when you're talking about staffing woes and -- I mean, I think it's bringing up 22

1 other issues that just aren't relevant. I mean, we 2 can stipulate that, yes, everybody wants everybody to come to work, and how many people need to be there, but --THE ARBITRATOR: But I think what counsel is 6 arguing is if -- that if there is an interest in making sure that employees are healthy, thus the reason for --8 9 MS. SMITH: He's essentially said that. THE ARBITRATOR: Yes, but thus the reason for 10 11 the collective bargaining agreement and he's going to 12 link it up purportedly from what he is saying, to the decisions of the Comptroller General, so because it's 13 14 going to be linked up to some decisions which I haven't even seen yet, I'm going to have to permit 15 the testimony to come into record. 16 17 Overruled. BY MR. HIRN: 18 19 Q. Okay. I'm not quite sure where I left off, 20 so I apologize if I'm repeating myself. When a 21 forecast office is fully staffed, does it generally 22 have enough in excess of the minimum number of

1 forecasters to cover a 24/7 operational --2 operations? A. I -- without going through the whole number thing that I just did again, I think that -- my 5 opinion is no. 6 Q. Is even now the Weather Service fully staffed? 7 A. No. 8 9 Q. And why is that? 10 A. Well, there was a -- starting about three 11 years ago, they stopped filling positions to save 12 money and then --13 THE ARBITRATOR: You mean vacancies were --14 THE WITNESS: Yes. It's called lapsed labor. 15 There's a certain amount of lapsed labor that's built into the system, because it takes a certain amount of 16 17 time when someone leaves one position to get someone 18 else in there to fill it. They actually track that 19 in this building and use that as part of their budget formulations. 2.0 21 They made a conscious decision a couple of 22 years ago to increase that amount of lapsed labor to

- 1 help balance their books. Then sequester came along
- and they increased it further, and then NOAA, our
- 3 parent organization, declared a hiring freeze across
- 4 the books, which increased it even further in the
- 5 Weather Service. There were some ways around the
- 6 hiring freeze but the Weather Service rarely took
- 7 advantage of that.
- 8 THE ARBITRATOR: When was the sequester and
- 9 when was the hiring freeze?
- 10 THE WITNESS: The sequester was part of the FY
- 11 13, but I think it didn't start until January 1st.
- 12 I'm looking at him because I'm not --
- 13 MR. HIRN: Sequestration and budget control --
- 14 MS. SMITH: I'm going to object to --
- 15 THE ARBITRATOR: Well, perhaps -- yes, unless
- 16 we --
- 17 MR. HIRN: I'm not testifying. Everybody
- 18 knows when the --
- 19 MS. SMITH: She doesn't know. She doesn't
- know.
- 21 THE ARBITRATOR: Can you stipulate? Do you
- 22 know? Because if you both know, since you're both,

- 1 you know, you're more familiar with the federal
- 2 government than I am, but --
- MS. SMITH: Well, this goes back to my
- 4 objection, because the point is, we have to have a
- 5 related issue with respect to hiring, which we're
- 6 getting to far afield in it. So, to the respect that
- 7 we're talking about why the Agency hired and didn't
- 8 hire people, that's not relevant.
- 9 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. But he's testified to
- 10 the sequestration and I need a date. Can you
- 11 stipulate to approximately what it was?
- 12 MS. SMITH: I believe that it was in the
- 13 spring.
- 14 THE ARBITRATOR: Spring of 2013?
- 15 MS. SMITH: Yes.
- 16 MR. HIRN: March, it was March.
- 17 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay, can you stipulate March
- 18 of 2013, spring of 2013.
- 19 MR. HIRN: Well, in fact it wasn't spring, it
- 20 was winter, March 1st was winter.
- 21 MS. SMITH: 21 days shy.
- 22 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. I'm going to take

- 1 judicial notice that it occurred approximately in
- 2 March of 2013. When was the hiring freeze that you
- 3 were just testifying to?
- 4 THE WITNESS: That was right around the same
- 5 time.
- 6 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. I just want to get
- 7 dates, I don't want to go back and read the
- 8 transcript, now that I know the time frame that
- 9 you're talking about.
- 10 THE WITNESS: March 27th.
- 11 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Counsel, try to
- 12 refrain from testifying.
- 13 MR. HIRN: I think that's an undisputed fact
- 14 THE ARBITRATOR: Well, but you're not here to
- 15 testify about facts, okay, so, refrain from
- 16 testifying, get it through your witness, or we'll get
- it by stipulation.
- 18 MS. CIOFFALO: And if I may object, I need
- 19 testimony about what the intentions of the Weather
- 20 Service matters when I don't think that Mr. Sobien
- 21 can competently testify as to what the weather -- why
- the Weather Service did anything or they

- 1 intentionally did.
- I mean, I think some of this testimony is
- 3 getting largely opinionated.
- 4 THE ARBITRATOR: I can't have you double-team.
- 5 One of you needs to handle each witness.
- 6 MS. CIOFFALO: Okay. I'm sorry.
- 7 THE ARBITRATOR: So, this witness -- okay.
- 8 Very good.
- 9 MR. HIRN: I'm sorry. Did I ask -- please
- 10 correct me if I did -- did I ask a question about
- 11 management's intent?
- 12 MS. CIOFFALO: No, it's just part of what he
- 13 testified.
- 14 COURT REPORTER: Okay. You need to keep your
- 15 voice up.
- 16 MS. SMITH: Okay.
- 17 THE ARBITRATOR: All right. To the extent
- 18 that you know because of something that you heard
- expressed by a manager as to what their purpose was,
- 20 you are certainly free to testify about that. You
- 21 don't know what management's intent was unless
- somebody said something to you. Okay. You may have

- an opinion, okay, but I'm not here to hear your
- 2 opinion.
- I'm here to get the facts that you observed
- 4 and have personal knowledge of, okay. But what I'm
- 5 saying is, is that their objection goes to the intent
- 6 of management, and you can testify that to the degree
- 7 you know it.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Okay, well --
- 9 THE ARBITRATOR: And not just suppose it.
- 10 THE WITNESS: To the degree that I know it and
- my meetings with management and the discussions that
- we've had.
- 13 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Everything I said before was
- 15 their intent.
- 16 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good.
- 17 BY MR. HIRN:
- 18 Q. Are any of the employees you've talked about
- 19 today designated emergency essential?
- 20 A. Yes. All employees in a weather forecast
- 21 office and I believe in the RFCs also are emergency
- 22 essential. The regional headquarter employees are

all essential, there are some people in this building 1 2 that are emergency essential. Most of the National Weather Service -- probably over 80 percent of the National Weather Service is. But everybody in a weather forecast office 6 is. Q. What's the consequence of being designated emergency essential? 8 A. It puts us in the same boat as, say, the FBI, 9 and air traffic controllers. It's something that has 10 11 -- it's a function of government that has to be done, if the hurricane is ongoing, if the blizzard is 12 ongoing, if a bomb goes off, these guys still have to 13 14 go to work. 15 O. If the government is shut down due to severe weather or some other physical disaster, do these 16 17 employees still have to come to work? A. The men and women that work in these forecast 18 19 facilities have to go to work, unless there is an 2.0 immediate physical danger to them in that building, 21 like a bomb was in that building. 22 Q. When the government shut down earlier this

- fall, due to a lapse in appropriations, did these
- Weather Service emergency essentials -- were they
- 3 required to work?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 O. Did there come a point in time when the
- 6 Weather Service feared that its operations would be
- 7 in danger from the H1-N1 influenza pandemic that was
- 8 expected during the 2009 flu season?
- 9 MS. SMITH: Objection. He can't testify as to
- 10 what management expected, and that was the question.
- 11 THE ARBITRATOR: Do you want to try and
- 12 re-phrase, perhaps, you know?
- 13 MS. SMITH: And I object that it's complex, as
- 14 well. His paragraph.
- 15 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. It's -- you know, all
- 16 right -- try not to lead the witness and get your
- 17 testimony in, but if you can re-phrase --
- 18 MR. HIRN: Well, first of all, with regard to
- 19 preliminary foundation questions, which is undisputed
- 20 this is, there's no dispute that the Weather Service
- was concerned about H1-N1.
- 22 THE ARBITRATOR: Whether they're concerned or

not, the operative fact is, is did there come a time 1 2 when in fact negotiations commenced about the H1 -there were concerns raised by management, whatever. BY MR. HIRN: O. Did the Weather Service ever express concern 6 to you about the H1-N1 influenza pandemic? A. Yes. Around that time, I believe the directive came out of the OBM, that directed all 8 9 agencies to come up with plans for H1-N1 10 preparedness, because of the scare that it was going 11 to create an epidemic, and as you mentioned, we are 12 an emergency management organization. The CFO at the 13 time, Bob Byrd, mentioned it to me that we needed to 14 get together and discuss this issue and --15 THE ARBITRATOR: And the time period is what? THE WITNESS: That was in 2009, in the fall of 16 17 2009. Late summer, early fall. 18 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. 19 BY MR. HIRN: 2.0 Q. And did you -- with whom did you meet with 21 management to negotiate over these matters? 22 A. At the time, the chief negotiator for the

National Weather Service was Mickey Brown, who was 1 2 the deputy director of the eastern region. We went to his office in Long Island and had a meeting with some folks from our side and some folks on their side, where we discussed the issue and negotiated an 6 agreement. Q. Did the parties mutually agree on how to mitigate the potential impact of the anticipated 8 9 H1-N1 outbreak? 10 A. Yes. 11 MS. SMITH: Objection. 12 THE ARBITRATOR: Basis? 13 MS. SMITH: I object to the second part of 14 that question, did they negotiate an agreement. 15 think he's already testified that there was an 16 agreement. Was the purpose of the agreement, what 17 Mr. Hirn just said, I think is the question of fact, so there is a fact late in the second half of his 18 19 question that has not been established. In other 2.0 words, he never got -- he never got his testimony 21 about management's intent or if management was scared 22 about the H1-N1 virus.

1	All we know Mr. Sobien testified to, that
2	management expressed concerns, they negotiated, but
3	the purpose is what we haven't which is in the
4	premise of Mr. Hirn's question, has not been
5	established.
6	THE ARBITRATOR: Do you want to re-phrase your
7	question?
8	MR. HIRN: I'm a little confused what it is,
9	but I'm also confused, and I think maybe you better
10	have more than a day hearing on it, if counsel is
11	going to continue objecting to every question I ask
12	to things that are undisputed
13	THE ARBITRATOR: Well, but I think
14	MR. HIRN: You know, these are I mean, if
15	you want
16	THE ARBITRATOR: But Mr. Hirn, you're leading
17	your witness. You're leading your witness, so, I
18	know, I think you I think you're seasoned enough,
19	obviously, to be able to re-phrase the question as to
20	certainly what is the Agency's understanding as to
21	what the MOU was about what management told him. I
22	mean, obviously, anything any admission that was
1	

1	made to him by any manager as to what their concerned
2	comes in, and anything as to yes, were you concerned
3	about the H1, and that's why we want the MOU, or what
4	was the Agency's understanding, and what was the
5	Agency's understanding based on.
6	Obviously, it's going to based on
7	conversations they have had with managers, so, I
8	presume that you can re-phrase it so that hopefully,
9	and I understand, you know, your diligence, counsel,
10	but, you know, we're trying to get to the meat of
11	this. If we have objections every, you know, ten
12	seconds, we're going to be here for more than one
13	day, so let's try I know these I understand
14	counsel is leading, and I've asked him to to
15	this is direct testimony, try not to lead but
16	let's just try to get through some of the preliminary
17	events.
18	I think I'm a seasoned enough arbitrator to
19	know, looking at what the issue is, whether you have
20	hiring issues, you know, that may be something else.
21	I need to focus on what you have said the issues are
22	before me.

1 Okay, go ahead, Mr. Hirn. BY MR. HIRN: 2 Q. Dan, with regard to Union Exhibit -- excuse me, Joint Exhibit 4, the September 2009 MOU, with 5 whom did you negotiate that? 6 A. That was Mickey Brown. Q. And did Mickey express to you any concerns that management had that led him to want to negotiate 8 9 such an MOU? 10 A. Well, I mean, that's why we flew to New York, 11 to negotiate an MOU over the H1-N1 virus, and -- and 12 -- and as I said a few seconds ago, I also had discussions with Bob Byrd, who was then the CFO, who 13 14 was heading up labor relations for all the agencies, and -- and he -- he also, you know, stated that --15 16 that they needed to come up with this plan. 17 Q. Did they explain to you why they needed to 18 come up with a plan? A. Well, obviously -- well, again, my 19 20 understanding was that they were directed to do that 21 and I'm assuming that came from OBM, I'm not 100 22 percent sure on that. The -- the reason is because,

1 you know, an epidemic would be catastrophic to the 2 operations of the National Weather Service. fact, we had these discussions, so, you know, what are you going to do if many offices close down. I mean, every office has a back-up office, 6 but if the office and the back-up office closes down, you know, because they can't fill the positions, and yes we had these discussions, you know, what do we 9 do, how do we, and the, you know -- and of course the 10 MOU itself was, well, let's do things to prevent that 11 from happening, let's do everything we can to prevent 12 that from happening. Q. What was the intent of providing the tissues 13 14 and the disposable plates, cups and utensils? A. It was to prevent the spread of disease, to 15 16 keep people healthy in general; there were several 17 offices that were already doing that, we just said, 18 look, there are offices already doing this already, 19 let's just do this nation-wide, it just makes sense, 20 and, you know, we added a couple of things to it at 21 the time, like the Dorel, and -- but, you know, it 22 was, again, to provide a healthy working environment

for the employees of the National Weather Service. 1 2 Q. Was the agreement limited to just the 2009-2010 weather season? A. No. O. Is there any language in the agreement 6 indicating that the agreement to provide the tissues 7 and disposable plates, cups and utensils was not limited to the 2009-2010 flu season? 8 9 A. Yes, there is language in there, and the 10 language we put in there on purpose, to make sure 11 that it wasn't limited to the 2009 worry about the 12 pandemic issue. 13 Q. And what language was that? 14 A. It states that hereafter they will purchase these items. 15 THE ARBITRATOR: Can I just interrupt -- do 16 17 you recall whether there were specific discussions on whether this would be limited to the 2009 virus, do 18 you recall discussions with your counterpart in 19 20 management? 21 THE WITNESS: Can I -- the -- I did a little 22 research before this. What's referred to as the 2009

- virus doesn't just deal with what happened in 2009.
- The H1-N1 virus even today, and ten years from now,
- 3 we refer to as the 2009 H1-N1, so, your question, I
- 4 don't know if it dealt with --
- 5 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Here's my question.
- 6 I've got to -- I've got to determine what your
- 7 memorandum of understanding means.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Right.
- 9 THE ARBITRATOR: And generally, in our field,
- 10 the way that is, is you get someone who likely in a
- 11 position like you, who is there at negotiation, and
- 12 you tell what happened at negotiations. So,
- negotiations. You're sitting with your counterpart
- in management, and statements are being made by both
- sides, and from that, I've got to determine what the
- intent of the parties was.
- So, you're saying, oh, this was supposed to
- 18 go beyond just that 2009, how do you know that, what
- are you basing that statement on?
- 20 THE WITNESS: That was the specific
- 21 discussions that we had at the time.
- 22 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay.

1	THE WITNESS: If you look at the memorandum of
2	understanding, there are basically two parts to it,
3	two paragraphs. One part, while it doesn't
4	specifically say you can't keep doing this, it says,
5	look, we've got something going on here, you need to
6	educate people, let them know about how to take
7	leave, let them know not to share pencils, and all
8	that, and then there was a second part where we
9	specifically sat down and discussed, well, this we
10	want to go on forever, and and it says hereafter
11	you're going to purchase the Purell, whatever the
12	generic term for that is, for work stations, so
13	people can kill the germs there, paper plates and
14	plastic utensils, and paper cups, and for sanitary
15	purposes, to, you know, because it's an environment
16	that's and he had the discussion at the table,
17	that it's an inherently dirty environment that get's
18	used a lot, and usually you don't have time to do the
19	type of cleanliness you do at home.
20	THE ARBITRATOR: Was the proposal from one
21	particular side they go on beyond this H1 concern, or
22	were both parties saying, yes, we have to have this

- 1 go on? 2 THE WITNESS: The -- I would characterize it as jointly agreed to. I think we brought it up 4 initially but I'm not going to swear to that. I'm not 100 percent, but clearly, it was like, yes, this 5 6 is something we have to do, jointly. 7 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Okay. Sorry, Mr. That was just something I had to clarify for 8 9 myself. 10 BY MR. HIRN: O. Who in the forecast office is responsible for 11 12 ordering supplies such as these? 13 A. You know, every office is a little bit 14 different, and they have different people doing it. I would say, if there was a, you know, inside the 15 bell curve kind of person who usually would do this, 16 17 would be the administrative support assistant, or the ASA, I'll refer to him as. 18
 - 20 A. Yes.
 - 21 O. And --
 - 22 A. Most of the time, there are a few locations

Q. Is that a bargaining unit employee?

19

1 that are not. 2 Q. And have you communicated with any ASA's to find out where they ordered these materials from? A. Me specifically no. I communicated with some stewards about ordering this kind of information. 6 You know, what -- in concert with this arbitration, in the past I have contacted ASA's about purchasing this type of equipment and I've talked to them about 8 9 -- so, yes. very recently no, but over the last --10 over my -- you know, the last 10 years, because my 11 office in Tampa happened to be one of the ones that 12 had been purchasing these things since day one, since 13 I've been there, so 14 Q. From your years as a federal government employee, do you know what the General Services 15 Administration is? 16 17 A. Yes. The General Services Administration is 18 -- it's a federal Agency that essentially -- they do 19 bulk buying, they pull resources so that at each 20 office say doesn't go out and buy tissue paper -- GSA 21 buys huge amounts of it, stores it, or has contracts,

nowadays it has global distribution networks the way

22

they are. So, it's -- think of it as the supermarket 1 2 for federal agencies. O. Okay. Earlier this morning you showed me a document that says GSA order, is this -- and I'll --THE ARBITRATOR: We'll mark this as U5. I'm 6 marking this for the purposes of identification, it's a multi-page document, so I'm marking it as U5a, U5b, U5c, and U5d, U5e, U5f, U5q, U5h, U5i, U5j. 8 9 (The document referred to was marked for identification as Union Exhibit Number 5A-J.) 10 BY MR. HIRN: 11 12 Q. Is this the document you showed me earlier 13 today? 14 A. Yes. Q. And where did you find that? 15 A. I found it on the -- well, I did a Google 16 17 search. When the Arbitrator asked about who can purchase from GSA, I did a little Google search, and 18 19 found their -- I don't know what you would call it -we call it directives in the National Weather 2.0 21 Service, but it is essentially a description of 22 everybody who can buy from the GSA, a description of

- 1 what the GSA is, and the --
- 2 Q. And where on the internet did you find this?
- 3 MS. SMITH: I'm going to object to that. I
- 4 thought -- I'm going to object to that, because I'm
- 5 assuming things you're going to be asking, and then
- 6 comes back with this other document.
- 7 THE ARBITRATOR: What difference does it make?
- 8 MS. SMITH: I just -- you know, I think it's
- 9 kind of a sandbag surprise to the Agency that, you
- 10 know, if we can always go back and look up stuff on
- Google, then why have a hearing?
- 12 THE ARBITRATOR: Well, anything that's on
- Google is something that I can take judicial notice
- 14 of.
- 15 MS. SMITH: Right. So what are testing on?
- 16 We can stipulate to this.
- 17 MR. HIRN: Okay. Those --
- 18 THE ARBITRATOR: Do you want to stipulate that
- 19 this is a GSA-ordered directive? Great.
- 20 MS. SMITH: It is what it says. The document
- 21 says what it says.
- 22 THE ARBITRATOR: U5 is in.

(The document referred to was received into 1 evidence as Union Exhibit Number 5A-J.) 2 BY MR. HIRN: Q. Okay. MS. SMITH: We can stipulate to all of them. 6 MR. HIRN: Okay. Well, which ones. I mean, you can -- let's cut to the chase. MS. SMITH: Let's see where -- it would 8 9 probably take an hour to -- if we get more further 10 along the field with things that are, you know --THE ARBITRATOR: You just let us know if 11 12 there's something that he introduces that you can 13 stipulate to. 14 MS. SMITH: All right. 15 BY MR. HIRN: 16 Q. Okay. Did the -- there, the GSA catalogue --17 THE ARBITRATOR: U6? MR. HIRN: U6. 18 19 THE ARBITRATOR: This is stapled, so I'm not 20 going to separately mark each page. (The document referred to was marked for 21 22 identification as Union Exhibit Number 6.)

BY MR. HIRN: 1 2 Q. Do you recognize this document? 3 A. It's what it says it is. Supply catalogue for 2014 from --4 5 MS. SMITH: I'm going to have some voir dire 6 on this. THE ARBITRATOR: You have some voir dire? MS. SMITH: Yes. 8 9 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Go ahead. 10 VOIR DIRE: 11 BY MS. SMITH: 12 Q. Have you seen the entire supply catalogue? A. No, this is a print-off from the internet. 13 14 Q. And is it the entire catalogue, to your knowledge? 15 16 A. No. 17 Q. So, how many pages did you print out? 18 A. Well, I'll tell you, it starts at 157 and 19 goes through -- well, there are just various 20 different pages. It's -- it ends on 224, but there 21 at least 224 pages of this catalogue, I'm guessing 22 well over 400 or 500, I mean, it's a huge catalogue,

- 1 but nobody really uses the catalogue anymore, they go
- 2 online and get things off of it like this.
- 3 MS. SMITH: Well, we'll stipulate that a few
- 4 of the pages that have items on there that you say
- 5 you can get on the GSA website.
- 6 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. But what made you
- 7 choose these particular pages to get together as
- 8 Exhibit U6?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Well, I believe that it
- 10 highlights what the General Services Administration,
- 11 who only federal agencies can purchase from, are
- 12 actually selling, things like table napkins, that we
- 13 are arbitrating here, things like plastic forks and
- knives, like we're arbitrating here.
- I mean, if you weren't allowed to buy them,
- they wouldn't be selling them.
- 17 THE ARBITRATOR: I understand. But you
- 18 essentially restricted to what you pulled off to what
- we're dealing with today?
- 20 THE WITNESS: I think -- I'm not going to
- 21 swear to it, but, you could have probably pulled off
- 22 many more pages.

1 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Well, these are 2 certain pages that you pulled off, and counsel is going to stipulate that these are the pages from the supply catalogue. U6 is in. (The document referred to was received into 6 evidence as Union Exhibit Number 6.) 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION (resumes): BY MR. HIRN: 8 9 Q. Dan, did there come a time -- well, did there 10 come a time earlier this year, that you had learned 11 that Weather Service headquarters had issued quidance 12 preventing the purchase of these disposable items? A. Yes. 13 Q. I'm going to show you what has been marked 14 for identification as -- we're going to skip over --15 16 to move things along, we're going to skip over -- a document titled, it's from Gary Austin, dated -- an 17 18 e-mail dated April 4. 19 MS. SMITH: Okay. MR. HIRN: And we'll withdraw the document. 20 THE ARBITRATOR: Well, we -- it's not in -- so 21 22 -- it's a document that says at the top forwarding

1 message? 2 MR. HIRN: Yes. THE ARBITRATOR: So we're going to mark this 4 as U7? MR. HIRN: Yes. 5 6 (The document referred to was marked for identification as Union Exhibit Number 7.) 7 BY MR. HIRN: 8 9 Q. Did this e-mail get forwarded to you? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And what did you do as a result of seeing 12 this e-mail? 13 THE ARBITRATOR: Well, before we can do that, 14 who's Gary Austin? 15 MR. HIRN: It's a Green Bay file e-mail, you can see on signature line. 16 17 THE ARBITRATOR: Meteorologist in charge NWS Green Bed [sic] -- it's the Weather Service office in 18 19 Green Bay? 20 THE WITNESS: Meteorologists in charge are 21 often what we refer at the MIC as the -- as the top 22 supervisor in a weather forecast office.

- 1 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good.
- 2 THE WITNESS: And he happens to be in the
- 3 Green Bay office.
- 4 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. Okay.
- 5 BY MR. HIRN:
- 6 Q. Okay. What -- after seeing this, what, if
- 7 any action did you take?
- A. We filed a grievance.
- 9 Q. Is that the grievance we're arbitrating here
- 10 today?
- 11 A. Actually, I take that back. I believe I also
- 12 forwarded -- before I filed the grievance I think I
- 13 was forwarded an e-mail from the CFO, but yes, we did
- file a grievance, that was the action I took, so,
- 15 yes.
- 16 O. Did -- I will show you what's marked -- what
- 17 will be marked for identification as Union Exhibit
- 18 8 --
- 19 THE ARBITRATOR: Mr. Hirn, before you move on
- 20 from U7, could you have the witness identify what CRH
- and NWSH are?
- 22 BY MR. HIRN:

1 Q. Yes. What is CRH -- do you know what the 2 acronym CRH slash NWSH stand for? A. Sure. NWSH stands for National Weather Service headquarters, and that's the facility we're in right now. Below them there are regional 6 headquarters for the National Weather Service. 7 Bay happens to be in the central region headquarters area responsible. 8 9 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good, thank you. 10 BY MR. HIRN: 11 Q. Did you linger -- were you later -- did you 12 later see the guidance to which -- from Weather 13 Service headquarters to which Mr. Austin is 14 referring? 15 A. Yes, I did. Q. Directing your attention to Agency -- to 16 17 what's being marked for identification as Union Exhibit Number 8, a March 26 e-mail from John 18 19 Longenecker to NWS office directors. Do you 20 recognize that document? 21 A. Yes, that's the -- I was -- I quess -- I 22 believe this -- I don't recall if anybody actually

1 sent it to me, but this is the e-mail that was the 2 basis of the MIC in Green Bay's e-mail, and apparently this went to, as you can see from the headers, all the regional offices of the National Weather Service, and then that was further 6 distributed down. (The document referred to was marked for identification as Union Exhibit Number 8.) 8 9 MR. HIRN: I'll move the admission of Union Exhibit 7 and 8. 10 11 THE ARBITRATOR: Any objection? 12 MS. SMITH: I don't have any objection. THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. 13 (The documents referred to were received into 14 evidence as Union Exhibit Numbers 7 and 8.) 15 BY MR. HIRN: 16 17 Q. And do you know John Longenecker? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And who is John Longenecker? 2.0 A. At the time, he was the acting chief financial officer for the National Weather Service. 21 22 Q. After you received the grievance -- after you

filed the grievance in this case, did you receive 1 2 some acknowledgement from management that they did in fact instruct managers to cease purchasing these supplies? A. Yes. 6 Q. Directing your attention to an e-mail from 7 Stan Kensky to Dan Sobien dated April 11, which is marked for identification as Union Exhibit 9. Can 8 9 you identify this document? 10 A. This is the e-mail that I got from Stan 11 Kensky. (The document referred to marked for 12 identification as Union Exhibit Number 9.) 13 14 THE ARBITRATOR: Who is Stan Kensky? 15 THE WITNESS: Stan is sitting over there in the corner, and he is the assistant to the chief 16 17 negotiator. I don't know his exact title, but -- but he -- he helps in labor management to formulate 18 19 policies and relations. 2.0 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. 21 BY MR. HIRN: 22 Q. Did you respond to Mr. Kensky?

A. Yes, I did. 1 2 Q. Directing your attention to an e-mail from you to Mr. Kensky dated April 22, which will be marked for identification as Union Exhibit Number 10. 4 Do you recognize this document? 5 6 A. Yes, I do. 7 (The document referred to was marked for identification as Union Exhibit Number 10.) 8 9 BY MR. HIRN: 10 Q. Is this the response that you sent to Mr. 11 Kensky? A. Yes, it is. 12 MR. HIRN: Move for the admission of Union 13 Exhibit Number 10. 14 15 THE ARBITRATOR: Any objection? MS. SMITH: No. 16 THE ARBITRATOR: 9 and 10 are in. 17 (The documents referred to were received into 18 19 evidence as Union Exhibit Numbers 9 and 10.) BY MR. HIRN: 2.0 21 O. Did you ever discuss this grievance with 22 management in person?

A. Yes, I did. 1 2 O. And where was that? A. In this building on the 18th floor. Q. And with whom did you discuss it? 4 A. On our side of the table, it was our 5 6 vice-president and our secretary treasurer, on the management's side of the table it was the assistant 7 administrator of the director of the National Weather 8 9 Service, Louis Uccellini, his chief of staff at the time, Dennis Staley, David Murray, the chief 10 negotiator for the National Weather Service was 11 12 there, I believe Stan was in the room, and Monique 13 Ciofallo, the counsel for the Agency. 14 THE ARBITRATOR: And what are the names of the people who were there for you? 15 THE WITNESS: Bill Hopkins and Dave Solano, 16 17 and that's S-O-L-A-N-O. THE ARBITRATOR: And which position does Mr. 18 19 Solano hold? 2.0 THE WITNESS: Mr. Solano is the secretary 21 treasurer, and Mr. Hopkins is the vice-president. 22 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good.

1	BY MR. HIRN:
2	Q. And what did you say to them about why you
3	filed a grievance?
4	A. Well, I I you know, I told them that we
5	have an MOU, and the MOU was in effect, and they
6	can't unilaterally just stop doing an MOU and I told
7	them that their explanation for doing it, for
8	stopping the MOU, the 1920-something Coolidge
9	administration GAO study or report, wasn't valid, I
10	mean, you know, I don't even think plastic knives and
11	forks were invented back then. The the I
12	handed them several other Comptroller General reports
13	that that while, none of them exactly answered
14	the the what we do in the National Weather
15	Service, taken in their totality, I think would
16	clearly show that it was completely legal to provide
17	these types of of of items for the benefits of
18	the employees' health and and I mean, that was
19	in general, that was the meeting.
20	Q. Does management take any action to protect
21	their managers and other employees from catching the
22	flu every year?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And could you tell us what that is?
3	A. Yes. On the ninth floor in this building,
4	you could go right now and get a free flu shot. You
5	could also go right now and get a free cholesterol
6	screenings, and blood sucrose screenings. People in
7	this building do get free health you know, things
8	that help promote their health, which is good, but,
9	it seems they're interested in keeping themselves
10	healthy, but not so much their employees, but maybe
11	that was something I should have kept to myself,
12	sorry about that.
13	Q. The I will ask you to look at a page form
14	the internet from the NOAA website which has been
15	marked for identification as Union Exhibit 11; is
16	that right?
17	THE ARBITRATOR: Yes.
18	(The document referred to was marked for
19	identification as Union Exhibit Number 11.)
20	BY MR. HIRN:
21	Q. And ask you if you have seen this before and
22	know anything about this health service?

A. I -- in fact, I have in fact went there this 1 morning just to check it out, because, while I come 2 to this building frequently, I've never actually been there, and it looks like a small doctor's office, there's nurse practioners there, I actually talked to 6 two of them this morning, so, yes. Q. And which one is that, is that, looking at Union Exhibit 11? 8 9 A. Are you asking which of the facilities I visited? 10 11 O. Yes. 12 A. That would be the SSMC campus facility. 13 THE ARBITRATOR: In Silver Spring. THE WITNESS: In Silver Spring. 14 15 BY MR. HIRN: Q. And is that -- did you say that that is in 16 17 this building? 18 A. That is in this building on the ninth floor, 19 same exact location on the ninth floor. 20 O. And directing your attention to the second 21 page of this, did you confirm in your visit -- did 22 you ascertain whether in fact they provided these

1 services? A. I didn't ask about each and every one of these specifically, like for instance the allergy shot clinics, but I did ask about some of them, you know, the health fair, the educational materials, I 6 was specifically noticed the glucose and the cholesterol checks, and of course immunizations. O. And directing your attention --8 9 THE ARBITRATOR: When you say immunizations, 10 are you referring to traveling immunizations, or the influenza shot? 11 12 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. No, the influenza --13 the free immunization shots that they offer. 14 BY MR. HIRN: 15 Q. And directing your attention to the next page which is a document that begins, know your numbers, 16 17 mark this for identification as Union Exhibit 12, 18 have you seen this before? 19 A. Yes. 2.0 (The document referred to was marked for identification as Union Exhibit Number 12.) 21 22 BY MR. HIRN:

1 Q. And how did you obtain this document? 2 A. This was on the counter up there, and when I 3 was speaking to the nurses they handed this to me. Q. And what did they -- did they explain this to you? 6 A. They said it -- they said it's some of the services that they offer, it's by no means the 7 totality of it, but it gives an idea of the -- for 8 9 this specific concern, diabetes, and related 10 cardio-vascular disease, some of the stuff that they do. 11 MR. HIRN: I move for the admission of Union 12 Exhibits 11 and 12. 13 14 THE ARBITRATOR: Any objection? MS. SMITH: I'm going to object on the grounds 15 that it's not relevant. 16 17 MR. HIRN: Well, I think that the point is, if 18 they're saying we can't provide -- we can't spend 19 federal funds on personal -- things that may be 20 personal items to prevent employees from getting the 21 flu, but we can spend funds to give them -- to give headquarters employees flu shots, and other kinds of 22

- 1 health preventative things, and glucose tests --
- 2 MS. SMITH: But this is a legal question.
- 3 THE ARBITRATOR: So then it doesn't go to
- 4 relevance, it's a legal question.
- 5 MS. SMITH: But why do we need this in the
- 6 record?
- 7 THE ARBITRATOR: Because his legal point is
- 8 that it's legal based on the argument he just made.
- 9 MS. SMITH: But what does it have to do with
- 10 the common cold? This is about weight, blood
- 11 pressure, waist measurement, and cholesterol --
- 12 THE ARBITRATOR: Because it's the Union's
- argument that these are things of personal concern
- that the Agency is spending money on, and therefore
- it undercuts the Agency's argument that they can't
- spend money on the napkins, and other disposable
- 17 things because it violate appropriations law.
- 18 MS. SMITH: We haven't really related those
- issues in a health way, we've taken it for granted.
- 20 But no one has testified that there is a health need
- 21 for a plastic knife as opposed to a disposable one.
- That's not a fact that's been established.

THE ARBITRATOR: I understand, but counsel is 1 2 still putting his case on, and this is part of his argument, and that may be something you'll raise in your brief if he doesn't ever establish it, but it's arguably relevant to counsel's case and I'm admitting 6 Agency 11 and 12. (The documents referred to were received into evidence as Union Exhibit Numbers 11 and 12.) 8 9 MR. HIRN: But I think Mr. Sobien has 10 testified that both parties believed, based on the course of the discussion, that the disposables were 11 12 necessary to prevent people from transmitting colds and flus to each other at the forecast offices. 13 14 MS. SMITH: That hasn't been established. 15 THE ARBITRATOR: Well, it'll be on the record. 16 I'm not going to tell you how to put your case on, or what's -- what's been testified to. I can't remember 17 18 everything that's been said, these documents are in. 19 They're arguable relevant. 2.0 BY MR. HIRN: 21 Q. Dan, I'm going to show you what will be marked as Union Exhibit -- well, let me back up. 22 Is

there a cafeteria in the next door building? 1 2 A. Yes. Q. Is that part of the NOAA campus? A. Yes. 4 O. Do employees of the Weather Service and the 6 other NOAA offices here go in there to eat? 7 A. Yes, sometimes. O. This will be marked for identification as 8 9 Union Exhibit 13. Do you know what this is a photo 10 of? 11 A. It's a photo of a poster that -- can I ask 12 the Arbitrator a question? Can I -- can I explain what this complex is so you know what the building is 13 14 I am talking about? 15 (The document referred to was marked for identification as Union Exhibit Number 13.) 16 17 THE ARBITRATOR: Sure, anything to make it 18 clear to me what we're talking about is helpful. 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. The -- what is referred 2.0 to as the Silver Spring metro complex. It's -- it's 21 four buildings that -- that the -- mainly, I'm sure 22 there are other clients, too, but mainly the Weather

1 Service and NOAA headquarters are located at, well, 2 actually I think the NOAA headquarters might be downtown, but most of the NOAA facilities are within this complex. This is building two. Immediately adjacent 6 to and actually connected by a parking garage is 7 building three, and this was a poster -- you can see the easel, and everything that was up in building 8 9 three, telling people to go to the health unit over 10 here in building two to get their free flu shots. MR. HIRN: I move for the admission of Union 11 12 Exhibit 13. 13 THE ARBITRATOR: Any objection? 14 MS. SMITH: I think it's redundant, that's my 15 objection. 16 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Very good. 17 counsel's objection, I am admitting Union 13. (The document referred to was received into 18 19 evidence as Union Exhibit Number 13.) 2.0 BY MR. HIRN: 21 O. Operational employees at the forecast offices 22 and the River Forecast Centers, and the smaller

WSO's, are they offered free flu shots at the 1 2 government's expense? A. The only time that I ever heard of that, and other than in the Washington, D.C. area, which would be the NOAA headquarters, the only other time I know 6 of this was a few years back at western region headquarters, which was another large government facility, where they brought someone in to give free 8 9 flu shots. I've never heard of it at a WFO, RFC, at 10 a WSO, or CWSU any of the other units. 11 CWSU is a center Weather Service unit, it's a 12 very small unit of meteorologists that are at air 13 traffic control centers around the country, advising 14 them of weather information. O. Dan, have you looked into whether the -- what 15 kind of flu is being -- what kind of influenza is 16 17 spreading this season? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And where did you look into that? 20 A. I went to the CDC website. 21 MS. SMITH: I'm going to object on relevance 22 we well. The e-mail that terminated the practice was

in March. Whether there is flu now is irrelevant. 1 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. What's your argument? 2 MR. HIRN: Just to go to the extent that Mr. Kensky's letter said that H1-N1 is over, we don't need it anymore, whatever. If there is any doubt 6 whatsoever about the continuing effect of the MOU, if -- and I haven't necessarily heard this argument other than in communication from Mr. Kensky's e-mail, 8 9 that it's -- that the H1 -- that the MOU is only 10 applied to the threat from the 2009 strain of 11 influenza, this evidence will show that this year's 12 flu -- almost all of the flues that around this year, is the 2009 H1-N1. 13 14 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. But if in fact the MOU -- let's take what his argument is, this MOU was 15 limited to the 2009 influenza outbreak. 16 17 we're in another influenza outbreak that happens 18 fortuitously, you know, to be the same as not, 19 doesn't matter. From what he expressed, the Agency's 20 position is this was limited to 2009, it's done, it's 21 four years later, we're done. Your argument is this

is a broader MOU.

22

1 Even if the influenza virus that is coming around this year was totally different, your argument 2 would be the same, it doesn't matter which strain is coming around, this is still in effect. MR. HIRN: Yes, but if they're going to say 5 6 it's hinged to one particular strain of flu --THE ARBITRATOR: I thought his argument -right. I thought his argument was not that it's 8 9 restricted to a particular strain, it was --MS. SMITH: The kind of strain that was a 10 11 pandemic outbreak, the H1-N1. 12 THE ARBITRATOR: No -- so -- okay. 13 correct and that the Agency will admit that the 14 Agency's position as expressed by Mr. Kensky was that 15 it was limited to the 2009 outbreak, is that the 16 Agency's position? 17 MS. SMITH: I don't believe that's what Mr. 18 Kensky said that it was limited to, but I will say 19 that that was -- I mean, the title of the MOU says 2.0 this is the implementation plan of the Agency's 21 pandemic. We're talking about a pandemic of H1 --22 not the virus in and of itself, so the outbreak of

the virus is the issue, it's not --1 2 THE ARBITRATOR: It's not that it was that particular virus. MS. SMITH: Yes, it's not -- yes, it wasn't that it was that particular virus, and in fact, if 6 you look -- I mean, given to what a pandemic is, and that was the word that was used at that time, there were -- and I think it is Mr. Hirn's argument that it 8 9 doesn't matter if it was H1-N1 strain or if it was an outbreak of cholera. 10 11 It still is that -- their argument is that 12 this is for the health of the employees, so whether the H1-N1 2009 strain is going on right now, that is 13 14 not relevant. MR. HIRN: Can I -- well, maybe this will help 15 to clarify things. In the grievance denied, and in 16 17 the discussions with Agency counsel, we have not 18 heard the Agency to argue that the MOU is no longer 19 in effect, other than it's illegal. We're -- I'm 20 only addressing this based on what I saw was an 21 implication from Mr. Kensky's e-mail. I am not sure, 22 and it's not clear to me because I haven't done it in

the greivant's denial, that they're taking the 1 2 position that if it was legal, the agreement is no longer in effect because it expired on its own terms. If we can get that clarified, that might save a lot of time. 6 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Here's my problem, is 7 that I can't stop the hearing at this point and go look at Mr. Kensky's e-mail and try to make a ruling 8 9 on what his intent was. It sounds to me like the Agency is saying, look, our position was it dealt 10 with 2009, 2009 was over, and that's the end of it. 11 12 There may be some more argument as from what counsel 13 is saying as well it was illegal anyway to do it, the 14 way he is interpreting it. 15 I can't look at these documents now. If I -you know, I'm going to let counsel proceed on this 16 17 line of questioning -- I may find it irrelevant, you 18 may be right, but I just can't make a definitive 19 statement at this point and we're just going to have 20 to take up more time if -- you know, we argue more 21 about it. We'll get it in, it may or may not be 22 relevant, you may be right.

1 You may be right -- I just can't -- so I'm going to let you go --2 3 MR. HIRN: But maybe we can save some time, and have the Agency clarify what I had understood 4 from my conversations with --6 MS. SMITH: Counsel is testifying. THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Let's go off the record. 8 9 (Off the record.) 10 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. We had hoped -- there 11 had been an objection raised by counsel for the 12 Agency to permitting counsel's current line of 13 questions regarding the current virus strain that's 14 going around. We had hoped that perhaps we might get some stipulation as to whether the Agency's defense 15 was simply that the MOU was rejected because it was 16 illegal and they never should have entered into it, 17 or was the basis of the Agency's position also that 18 19 it really was executed for the 2009 virus and 2009 is 20 over, so the parties really couldn't stipulate off 21 the record, so I advised them the parties that I'm 22 going to give counsel for the Union latitude, because

- 1 he is not quite clear what the Agency's position is
- and therefore if he feels there is something
- indicative in a response he's gotten from the Agency
- 4 that may be an issue, he needs to explore that in his
- 5 examination, and I'm going to give him that latitude.
- 6 So, with that said, counsel, feel free to ask
- 7 whatever question you want.
- 8 MR. HIRN: Thank you, and the expense of
- 9 repeating what I did before --
- 10 THE ARBITRATOR: That's fine.
- 11 MR. HIRN: I don't know where we left off --
- 12 THE ARBITRATOR: I think it was we were
- dealing with the flu strain that's going around now
- and whether it's the same flu strain or not.
- 15 BY MR. HIRN:
- Q. Dan, did you make -- did you do any
- investigation to find out what the flu strain is
- 18 that's going around now?
- 19 A. I -- I went -- no, I went to the CDC website
- 20 to see -- I heard about the flu strain, in fact I
- 21 just read yesterday that a lady in the Tampa area, 27
- 22 year old mother of three died from this flu strain.

- Q. Which flu strain? 1 2 A. Well, it's ultimately called swine flu, but it's H1-N1 virus. The -- the -- but I went to the CDC website just to see what the flu situation was like this year. When I -- when I went back to Stan 6 Kensky's e-mail and it said the pandemic was over, I 7 wanted to see, so what is going on this year, and that's what I found, that, well, actually, there's a 8 9 lot of flu going on, and it happens to be this H1-N1 10 -- actually, the 2009 H1-N1 virus. O. And what is the Union Exhibit that's been 11 marked for identification as Union Exhibits 14 and 12 15? Are these the materials you found in the CDC 13
- 15 A. Yes.

website?

14

- 16 Q. I'm moving they should be entered as Union
- 17 Exhibit 14 and 15.
- 18 MS. SMITH: We didn't identify them.
- 19 THE ARBITRATOR: We didn't identify them, but
- that's okay.
- 21 MR. HIRN: 14 is the -- it says flu Centers
- 22 for Disease Control.

- 1 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. U14 --
- 2 MS. SMITH: They both say flu, so --
- 3 MS. CIOFFALO: The pie graph, or the --
- 4 MR. HIRN: The multi-page document I have
- 5 marked as 14.
- 6 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. U14 and the pie graph
- 7 is U15.
- 8 MR. HIRN: Yes.
- 9 THE ARBITRATOR: Any objection?
- 10 MS. SMITH: No.
- 11 THE ARBITRATOR: U14 and 15 are in.
- 12 (The documents referred to were marked and
- 13 received into evidence as Union Exhibit Numbers 14
- 14 and 15.)
- 15 BY MR. HIRN:
- 16 Q. Okay. Now, I apologize for -- if this is a
- 17 repeat, but I think there was some lack of clarity as
- to whether you did testify to this or not, so let me
- 19 go back and ask you. The second paragraph of the
- 20 2009 MOU, what was the intent of providing the
- 21 tissues, the disposable paper plates, cups and
- 22 utensils?

1 A. For the health of the employees. 2 Q. And how would that promote the health of the 3 employees? A. The -- in a typical weather forecast setting, like in the pictures, there's no dishwasher, there's 6 a one-bowl sink, one multi-bowl sink, there's no 7 bleach to sterilize anything there, when somebody's finished using a glass, you're trusting that they 8 9 actually use soap when they washed it out and didn't just swish it out and put a little water in it and 10 11 put it back in the counter. 12 The same goes for forks and knives and 13 spoons, so it -- it clearly is a way that germs are 14 spread throughout the office; and in addition, part 15 of the -- the -- the agreement included not only plastic utensils but also it included the gelled 16 17 alcohol that people use -- the Purell that people use 18 to kill viruses and germs, so -- we specifically 19 discussed that, yes, this is not just for H1-N1, but 20 for any germs, you know, that -- just to help create 21 a better, cleaner working environment for employees. 22 Q. I have no further questions and I marked for

identification earlier on in my opening this printout 1 from the GSA website on the lab-coats --2 THE ARBITRATOR: Did this witness print this out? 5 MR. HIRN: No. Well -- no, but you said 6 earlier that you -- that's why I'm not asking to 7 identify this, or --THE ARBITRATOR: Just take judicial notice of 8 9 it? 10 MR. HIRN: Do you want me to leave it as just 11 for identification or do you want it as an exhibit, 12 or should I withdraw it -- whatever you want. THE ARBITRATOR: I'm going to admit it -- you 13 14 objected to U1 and I'm going to admit over counsel's 15 objection, since it is something I think I already took notes of. All right, we are done with the 16 17 Union's direct. 18 Now, I know that both parties had expressed 19 an interest in taking a lunch break. Do you want to 20 do it before you begin your cross? MR. HIRN: Well, we have another witness. 21 22 have -- we're calling the management negotiator to

- 1 testify.
- 2 THE ARBITRATOR: I know, but she's entitled to
- do cross.
- 4 MR. HIRN: Oh, I'm sorry, I'm sorry. I'm
- 5 sorry.
- 6 THE ARBITRATOR: So, I presume that we ought
- 7 to take a break now, so, what time -- 2:15?
- 8 MS. SMITH: Yes, that's great.
- 9 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay, very good. All right.
- 10 We'll come back here at 2:15 to begin with counsel
- for the Agency's cross examination. Mr. Sobien, you
- are on the stand and because you are on the stand,
- 13 you cannot talk to anybody during this break about
- this testimony, and that includes your attorney.
- 15 THE WITNESS: Gotcha.
- 16 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Very good.
- 17 MR. HIRN: And Ms. Schick, you said that you
- 18 might -- you were planning on taking a 5:00 o'clock
- 19 train home. Did you make a reservation for that, and
- 20 perhaps that might be ambitious --
- 21 THE ARBITRATOR: Well, I'm wondering at this
- rate if we're even going to get done today. But, you

```
1
        know, as I said when you were out of the room, you
        know, if -- if we can finish and it means I have to
 2
        stay here til 5:30, 6:00, whatever, I don't mind
        taking a 6:00 o'clock train home. I'm not sitting
 4
        here til 7:00 or 8:00 at night and getting home at
 6
        10:00 or 11:00 at night, I can't do it at this stage
 7
        of the game, so, you know, let's come back at 2:15,
        let's see where we are somewhere around 4:00, 4:15,
 8
 9
        if it looks like we're not finishing today, I'm going
10
        to go take the train home.
                If it looks like we can finish if I stay til
11
12
        5:30 or 6:00, I'll stay til 5:30 or 6:30. Okay. All
13
        right. We're breaking for lunch.
14
             (Whereupon, at 1:20 p.m., a luncheon recess was
15
        taken.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

1	AFTERNOON SESSION 2:10 p.m.
2	THE ARBITRATOR: We are back on the record at
3	ten after 2:00, after a luncheon break; we have
4	finished with the direct examination of the witness,
5	and we're ready for cross by the Agency counsel.
6	CROSS EXAMINATION:
7	BY MS SMITH:
8	Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Sobien, how are you
9	today?
10	A. I'm fine.
11	Q. I just had a few questions about your direct
12	testimony. So, you talked about eating at your work
13	stations, is that correct?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. Do you bring your food from home?
16	A. Either that or somebody would run out and
17	grab there's a couple of fast food restaurants
18	nearby, and we'd bring that back, or someone would
19	get a pizza, or sometimes, if the weather was good,
20	maybe somebody would cook something, or bring in a
21	pie, or, you know, that kind of stuff.
22	And in addition, like everybody else in the

office, we have like TV dinners and stuff in the 1 2 freezer, just in case you got stuck there and couldn't go home. Q. You don't get free food at a WFO? How much? A. Well -- sometimes I didn't pay, if that's 5 6 what you're -- I mean, what --7 Q. To your knowledge, does the Agency provide you free food? 8 9 A. Oh, no, no. Well --10 Q. On a regular basis. 11 A. No, not on a regular basis. If it happened 12 it was once or twice in my whole career of 20 plus 13 years. 14 Q. So, you went to the cafeteria in building two, today, right, at the Silver Spring campus? 15 A. Three. 16 17 Q. Building three. And you went to the 18 cafeteria and you paid for the food there, right? 19 A. Yes, however they had paper napkins and 20 plastic forks, and I wasn't sure who paid for those. 21 Q. Okay. Well, do you know who runs that 22 cafeteria?

1 A. I have no idea. 2 Q. So do you know, to your knowledge, does the 3 Agency run it, or provide the food therein? A. Well, no, but I know that -- I know that the 4 agency does subsidize some of the -- I better not say 5 6 that, because I've never seen it, it's just hearsay, 7 so --O. So, fast forwarding to the MOU that's at 8 issue here. Isn't it true that the first sentence of 9 10 the MOU discusses the implementation of the H1-N1 11 preparedness plan of action for NOAA? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And have you ever seen that H1-N1 14 preparedness plan of action? 15 A. The whole implementation plan? O. Uh-huh. 16 17 A. I might have seen it, it was four years ago, I've not gone back and read it. 18 19 Q. Okay. So, to your knowledge, does it say 20 anything about disposable plates, cups or utensils in 21 that implementation plan? 22 A. I was concerned with the portion of it --

1 O. That's not my question. My question --2 THE ARBITRATOR: Answer her question. THE WITNESS: Okay. So what was the question? BY MS. SMITH: 4 O. To your knowledge, does it say anything in 6 the implementation plan about disposable items? 7 A. I have no knowledge one way or the other on that. 8 9 Q. You weren't involved in developing that plan? 10 A. No. 11 O. And also, you talk about virus prevention techniques. To your knowledge, what are virus 12 prevention techniques? 13 14 A. You know, washing your hands, certainly not re-using plates or -- I mean I grew up in the 15 restaurant business, so I know there's some very 16 strong standards for sterilizing plates to re-use, 17 18 you can't just wash them and put them back out again. 19 You know, plastic utensils, the gelled alcohol 2.0 supposedly kills a good amount of germs. You know, 21 I've heard about using your hands to cover your mouth 22 when you sneeze, but I'm not here as a health expert

1 by any means. 2 But I am here as -- as a reasonable citizen that obviously doesn't want to get sick either, so I've been able to research some of this stuff and answer it the best that I could. 6 Q. In your research, did you come across any information about agencies are supposed to react to 7 pandemics? 8 9 A. How agencies are -- I never researched that. 10 Q. You're not familiar with the NOAA pandemic influenza and continuity of operations plan, you're 11 not familiar with that? 12 13 A. I have heard of it, but I've never read it. 14 Q. Okay. So you don't whether it discusses 15 disposable items in this plan? A. I don't. 16 17 Q. Okay. And you're not a purchase card holder? A. No. 18 19 Q. So you can't buy anything in the GSA 2.0 cataloque? 21 A. That is correct. 22 Q. Only a purchase card holder can.

- 1 A. That is correct.
- 2 THE ARBITRATOR: Can you keep your voice up,
- 3 because I'm having a little trouble hearing you.
- 4 MS. SMITH: I'm sorry.
- 5 BY MS. SMITH:
- 6 Q. And you're not an authorizing official for a
- 7 purchase card holder, either, right?
- A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. So, the agency does provide health insurance
- 10 for Weather Service employees, is that right?
- 11 A. The agency pays some of the expense of health
- insurance, yes.
- 0. And, so --
- 14 A. It's subsidized.
- Q. Right. I think you testified that the 2013
- 16 H1-N1 influenza, that that's prevalent this season,
- is that right?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. You are aware that the H1-N1 outbreak ended
- in 2010, is that right?
- 21 A. No. That -- first of all, it's specifically
- 22 the 2009 H1-N1 virus -- I think there might be more

1 -- I've seen it specifically referred to that way, so 2 there might be more than one H1-N1, and, no, clearly the outbreak didn't end, or we wouldn't be having the outbreak we're having right now. O. Well, what's your definition of an outbreak? 5 6 A. What is your definition of an outbreak? Q. I'm not testifying, so my definition's not relevant. 8 9 A. Of course you're not. I mean, an outbreak is 10 when a lot of people are getting sick. 11 Q. Are a lot of people getting sick right now, 12 to your knowledge, in the Weather Service? 13 A. Yes, yes. Based on my research on the CDC 14 website, it's higher than average amounts of cases being reported, and like I said before, just last 15 16 night, I saw an article from a local newspaper -- not 17 a newspaper, a TV station, in the Tampa area that a 18 27 year old woman died from the H1-N1 virus; I mean 19 she was fine like a week or so ago, and now she's 20 dead, so -- so -- so I mean, I'm not an expert, I 21 can't tell you what an outbreak is, but in my head --22 Q. You know that the definition of a pandemic is

- an outbreak, something that happens quickly and
- 2 spreads fast, it's not something that is always
- 3 around.
- 4 You know there's a difference between a
- 5 pandemic and -- I'm sorry.
- 6 (Off the record.)
- 7 BY MS. SMITH:
- 8 Q. So, actually I don't have any more questions.
- 9 THE ARBITRATOR: Nothing further? Any
- 10 re-direct? Thank you very much for your testimony.
- 11 You may discuss your testimony with counsel, do not
- discuss it with any other witness. Next witness?
- 13 Excuse me?
- 14 MR. HIRN: He may be subject to recall if we
- don't conclude today.
- 16 THE ARBITRATOR: He may be subject to recall,
- 17 but he still can't discuss his testimony at this
- 18 point.
- 19 MR. HIRN: But I mean --
- 20 THE ARBITRATOR: New testimony he may discuss,
- 21 yes.
- 22 MR. HIRN: Okay. Our next witness is going

- 1 to be Mickey Brown, who is the eastern region
- director, and I think, Monique, or --
- 3 MS. SMITH: I called him during the break to
- 4 give him a heads up, and I can call him now, to just
- 5 go in there.
- 6 MR. HIRN: We don't have to take him in order
- 7 if he's hung up somewhere.
- 8 MS. SMITH: I don't think -- he was waiting.
- 9 THE ARBITRATOR: Oh, we're going to watch him
- 10 on video, okay.
- 11 MS. SMITH: Yes. I will try to keep my voice
- 12 up.
- 13 (Off the record.)
- 14 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. The next witness on
- 15 behalf of the Union is --
- 16 MR. HIRN: We're calling Mickey Brown.
- 17 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Mr. Brown, can you
- 18 hear me?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 20 THE ARBITRATOR: Mr. Brown, my name is Mariann
- 21 Schick, and I'm the arbitrator that the parties have
- 22 selected to settle this dispute between them. You

1 are being called as a witness on behalf of the Union, 2 and you're going to be questioned by Union counsel and then by Agency counsel, and I'm going to let the attorneys go back and forth until they've had all their questions answered, and I may have a few 5 6 questions at the end. Do you have any objection to taking an oath? THE WITNESS: No. 8 9 Whereupon, 10 MICKEY BROWN 11 called as a witness, and having been duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and testified, as follows: 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION: 13 14 BY MR. HIRN: O. Hi, Mickey, would you give us a capsule 15 summary of your employment history with the Weather 16 17 Service? A. Yes. I've been with the National Weather 18 19 Service for about 25 years now, started in 1988 as a 20 meteorologist intern in Meridian, Mississippi, went 21 to the hurricane center for about a year, then as a 22 general forecaster in the New York city office,

senior forecaster in the New York city office, came 1 2 to the eastern region headquarters as the regional transition meteorologist, then I was promoted to the chief of the meteorologist services division, and in my current position as deputy director for the 6 eastern region. Q. Okay. And I presume that that's management position, not bargaining, correct? 8 9 A. Correct. 10 Q. And you have been involved in representing 11 management at the bargaining table, have you not? 12 A. Correct. 13 Q. Please tell us in capsule summary what your 14 responsibilities were, you know, what kinds of stuff have you done for management in the way of labor 15 relations? 16 17 A. Sure. It started -- as deputy director for 18 the eastern region, part of my responsibilities is to 19 represent management in regional labor issues, I'm 20 management's representative to the labor council, 21 back in the early, I guess late 1990's, early 2000's, 22 I was part of the negotiating team management side

for the current collective bargaining agreement. 1 2 Somewhere in, I guess it was 2007-ish, I became chief negotiator for the agency when the person who was doing the job and left to go somewhere else. I was chief negotiator up to about 2010 and 6 in between that obviously I was dealing with regional and national issues and since 2010, back to doing regional issues. 8 9 THE ARBITRATOR: One second. Let me ask Union 10 counsel. Are you calling this witness as a cross? As an adverse witness? 11 MR. HIRN: A hostile witness. 12 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes. Okay. Very good. 13 14 just wanted to make it clear that since you are a 15 management rep, you are being called as if you were being called on cross examination and that will 16 17 permit counsel to ask leading questions. BY MR. HIRN: 18 19 Q. So, just to be clear, when you were chief --

Merrill Corporation

that was on the national level, not just on the

when you say you were chief negotiator for management

in, from like 2007 to 2010 I believe you said, you --

2.0

21

22

1 eastern region, correct? 2 A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you were involved in the negotiation that led to the September 2009 memorandum of understanding about the pandemic flu H1-N1, 6 correct? A. Correct. O. And what did --when the parties went to go 8 9 negotiate that general subject matter, was that at 10 the initiation of management or of the Union? 11 A. Management. 12 Q. And what did you -- why did management initiate negotiations over this subject matter? 13 14 A. During that time frame, a direction came from the office of personnel management to the agencies to 15 have contingency plans in place for pandemic 16 17 influenza outbreaks. NOAA took that quidance and turned that into what I believe is called the NOAA 18 19 pandemic influenza plan, or annex. That -- we were 20 requested from the NOAA level to notify our Unions of 21 NOAA's intent to implement that plan, or annex, and 22 that's when I gave notice to NWSEO of management's

1 intent to implement that plan. 2 Q. Did the parties negotiate any provisions or -- any provisions that went above and beyond that which management had intended to implement in its own contingency plan? 6 A. I think -- above and beyond what management intended to implement. I think the main thrust of 7 the negotiation was to get that plan implemented. 8 9 it covers other contingencies, so be it. 10 Q. Well, let me direct your attention to the 11 second paragraph. Do you recall that second 12 paragraph. 13 A. I don't have the document in front of me, but 14 I do -- but I know what we're referencing. Q. And that is the provision of --15 THE ARBITRATOR: Why don't you -- we're going 16

- 21 documents. We sent him these documents in advance,

we're talking about, the same thing.

MS. SMITH: And he has access to the

so if you want to refer specifically to the document.

to have counsel read to you that second paragraph,

just so it's in the record, and we all know what

17

18

19

2.0

- 1 Mickey, do you have them printed out?
- 2 MS. CIOFFALO: I don't know if he printed them
- 3 out.
- 4 THE WITNESS: I can go print them out if you
- 5 give me a minute.
- 6 THE ARBITRATOR: Sure, why don't you take a
- 7 minute and go do that?
- 8 MS. SMITH: Wait, wait, wait. Mickey, wait.
- 9 Not all of the documents. It's Exhibit A, Exhibit B,
- 10 Exhibit C, Exhibit E --
- 11 MR. HIRN: Well, Mickey, I only need to ask
- 12 you about the MOU itself.
- 13 MS. SMITH: Which is Exhibit C.
- 14 MS. CIOFFALO: It's Exhibit C. If we need the
- others later you can print them out later. No, I'm
- 16 sorry, it's Exhibit C.
- 17 THE ARBITRATOR: It's Exhibit C, print out
- 18 Exhibit C. Okay.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. One second.
- 20 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. Okay. We'll go
- off the record.
- 22 (Off the record.)

- 1 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. We're back on the
- 2 record. The witness is back in the room. Do you
- 3 have what Agency counsel sent you as -- she denoted
- 4 as Exhibit C that she mailed you or faxed you,
- 5 whatever it was, which is the memorandum of
- 6 understanding? Which is one of our Joint exhibits.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 8 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Very good.
- 9 BY MR. HIRN:
- 10 Q. Mickey, if I'm correct, these two paragraphs,
- 11 the provisions here, these are not what was included
- in the Agency drafted implementation plan, correct?
- 13 These were something you guys, you and Dan
- 14 negotiated, correct?
- A. If you're referring to the NOAA influenza --
- 16 pandemic influenza annex, I would -- the answer is
- no, this is -- that -- to my knowledge, what's here
- is not in that plan.
- 19 Q. Right. And this is something -- in other
- 20 words, you and Dan didn't lift this out of the plan,
- 21 right?
- A. Not to my knowledge, no.

1 Q. Right. This was the product of the 2 conversations between you and Dan, correct? A. Correct. Q. Okay. With regard to the second paragraph, was the primary intent of the second paragraph to 6 provide these matters as a convenience to employees, or rather was it intended to limit the potential to transmit the cold, flu and other illnesses in the 8 9 workplace? 10 A. It was not an intent for the primary use of -- the primary use of the employee, it was to have 11 12 available to the office when they were needed, when 13 employees needed to use them, to ensure the 14 operations of the office. 15 Q. What are the consequences to the Agency if multiple employees at a Forecast Office or River 16 17 Forecast Center are out sick at the same time? 18 A. If the office doesn't have enough people to 19 cover shifts, then responsibility for forecasting at 20 that office, or all the responsibility associated 21 with that particular office are transferred to their 22 backup office, which places the burden on their

backup office to do the backup office's primary job 1 2 and their job as backup. O. The break-rooms. Are there dishwashers in the break-rooms of the Forecast Office and River Forecast Centers? 6 A. Not the ones that I've been in. Q. When you were negotiating the second paragraph, was there any discussion that the promise 8 9 to provide these materials was limited to only the 2009-2010 influenza season? 10 11 A. Not that I recall, no. 12 Q. What was the intent of the -- of adding the word will -- adding the phrase will here and after 13 provide? What was the intent of the word hereafter? 14 15 A. Honestly, I don't remember. I'm not sure. I 16 don't know. I don't know where exactly that wording 17 came from. To the best of my recollection, that one -- I can't give you a good answer on that one. 18 19 Q. Do you recall receiving the e-mail from John 2.0 Longenecker instructing regional offices and 21 subordinate managers not to purchase these supplies 22 anymore?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Were you surprised when you received that?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And why were you surprised?
5	A. Having gone through this process to get this
6	MOU signed, I thought that I thought that what we
7	were doing was consistent with particular laws and
8	regulations.
9	Q. And why did you believe that?
10	A. Because before this MOU was moved forward, it
11	was provided to Agency lawyers.
12	Q. And did the Weather Service continue to
13	provide those materials covered itemized in the
14	second paragraph after the end of the 2009-2010 flu
15	season?
16	A. I would say we did, yes.
17	Q. And is it correct to say that you were the
18	offices in your region continued to provide them up
19	until the time that they received Mr. Longenecker's
20	memo?
21	A. Without checking the records, because I don't
22	deal directly with purchasing, from that perspective,

1 I would say that's a reasonable statement, yes. 2 Q. During March of this year, when this memo came down, was the Weather Service facing any particular financial troubles? A. Yes. 6 Q. And could you tell me to your knowledge what 7 they were? A. Part of sequestration and the limited budget 8 9 that we had, our budget has been tight, particularly 10 tight, I would guess -- I would say, for at least the 11 last year or so. 12 Q. Were there any other out of the ordinary economizing actions that were implemented last March? 13 14 A. I wouldn't go back specifically to last March, but we certainly have cut back on travel and 15 16 training, as an example. Q. How about the hiring freeze? 17 18 A. There is a hiring freeze in place. 19 Q. And that was -- that became effective in 20 March, did it not? 21 A. I -- I can't tell you exactly when it did. 22 MR. HIRN: I have nothing further.

- 1 THE ARBITRATION: Okay. You are now going to
- 2 be cross-examined by Agency counsel.
- 3 MS. SMITH: I think I'm going to --
- 4 well, I'm going to ask him to print out those
- 5 exhibits.
- 6 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good.
- 7 MS. SMITH: Mickey, it's more printing.
- 8 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes, we'll go off the record
- 9 to give you a chance to print the other documents
- 10 that were sent to you.
- 11 (Off the record.)
- 12 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Back on the record.
- 13 You have the documents printed out now?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
- 15 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. Okay. Now we'll
- go to cross examination by Agency counsel.
- 17 CROSS EXAMINATION:
- 18 BY MS. SMITH:
- Q. Okay. Good afternoon, Mr. Brown, how are you
- 20 today?
- 21 A. Okay. Thank you.
- Q. You discussed this continuity of office plan

1 earlier, the pandemic annex. Do you have Exhibit A 2 in front of you, what the Agency marked as Exhibit A? Is that the plan that you were referring to earlier? (The document referred to was marked for identification as Agency Exhibit Number A.) 5 6 THE WITNESS: That was the plan that I was 7 referring to that we were asked by NOAA to implement and notify the Unions of that implementation. 8 9 BY MS. SMITH: Q. I'm also -- you also talked about -- when you 10 11 said we, who were you talking about? We were 12 implementing -- are you referring to Weather Service? A. The line offices within NOAA. 13 14 Q. Okay. Did the Weather Service come up with its own implementation plan? 15 A. Specifically for pandemic influenza, I don't 16 17 think so. We have other continuity plans for facilities. 18 19 Q. So, are you familiar with the H1-N1 20 preparedness plan of action? 21 A. Not off the top of my head, no. 22 Q. Okay, can you look at Exhibit B?

1 A. Okay. (The document referred to was marked for 2 identification as Agency Exhibit Number B.) BY MS. SMITH: O. Have you seen this document before? 6 A. Say again, please? Q. Have you seen this document before? 7 A. Yes. 8 9 Q. What is this document? 10 A. That was a memo that Bob Byrd -- or Robert Byrd issued, who at the time was the chief financial 11 12 officer for the National Weather Service, issued 13 around the time that the influenza plan for NOAA was 14 put out. 15 Q. Do you remember if this plan of action was completed at the same time as the memorandum of 16 17 understanding you were discussing before? A. It's within the same timeframe if I remember 18 19 correctly. 20 MR. HIRN: Is it customary for opposing 21 counsel to get a copy of the exhibits that are --22 MS. SMITH: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes.

- 1 MS. CIOFFALO: Sorry about that.
- 2 BY MS. SMITH:
- 3 Q. So, do you know if implement -- the
- 4 preparedness plan of action, was that created before
- or after the memorandum of understanding?
- 6 A. I don't remember what the exact timeframe as
- 7 to when one went out with the other, that I don't
- 8 remember off the top of my head.
- 9 MS. SMITH: We're going to enter Agency
- 10 Exhibit A and B into --
- 11 THE ARBITRATOR: A and C? Or A and B?
- 12 MS. SMITH: A and B.
- 13 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Any objection to the
- 14 admission of Agency A and B? Very good. Agency A
- and B are in.
- 16 (The documents referred to were received into
- evidence as Agency Exhibit Numbers A and B.)
- 18 BY MS. SMITH:
- 19 Q. Now you talked about the intent of the MOU on
- 20 cross examination. Was the purpose of the MOU to
- 21 prevent the spread of the common cold among Weather
- 22 Service staff?

- 1 A. I don't -- I would -- I would say, it
- 2 --probably not the common cold.
- 3 Q. So, what exactly was it supposed to prevent?
- 4 THE ARBITRATOR: Which document are you
- 5 referring to?
- 6 MS. SMITH: I'm just asking a question.
- 7 THE ARBITRATOR: Oh.
- 8 MS. SMITH: About the MOU. We're talking
- 9 about the MOU.
- 10 THE ARBITRATOR: The MOU, okay.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I think the MOU is -- the MOU is
- 12 put in place as part of negotiations associated with
- 13 the pandemic flu -- or pandemic influenza annex on a
- 14 plan -- it's part of the tools that offices can use
- 15 to maintain operations.
- 16 BY MS. SMITH:
- 17 O. In the event of what? What would be
- 18 something that would interrupt operations?
- 19 A. There are many things that can interrupt
- 20 operations. There could be sickness, there could be
- 21 facility problems, there could be weather; there's a
- 22 number of things that can prevent operations, or I

- 1 should say prevent -- interrupt operations.
- 2 Q. But sickness would not include the common
- 3 cold, is that right?
- 4 MR. HIRN: Objection.
- 5 THE ARBITRATOR: Basis?
- 6 MR. HIRN: That's just leading.
- 7 THE ARBITRATOR: Well, but I mean --
- 8 MS. SMITH: It's already in evidence.
- 9 THE ARBITRATOR: He was called on cross, but
- this is cross examination by her, so, overruled.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I don't know to what extent the
- 12 common cold could do that. I don't know. I don't
- 13 know how to answer that question.
- 14 BY MS. SMITH:
- Q. So, what's your understanding of a pandemic?
- 16 A. An outbreak of some type of virus.
- Q. Who determines that a pandemic has occurred?
- 18 A. I would assume the Center for Disease
- 19 Control.
- 20 Q. Has a pandemic happened since you signed the
- 21 MOU?
- 22 A. Not to my knowledge.

Q. So, at the time that you signed the MOU, do 1 2 you know if the Weather Service employees were purchasing disposable cups, plates and utensils? A. Can you repeat that question? O. At the time that you signed the MOU, do you 6 know if Weather Service employees were purchasing 7 these disposable items? THE ARBITRATOR: Do you mean whether 8 9 individual employees were purchasing these items? 10 MS. SMITH: For the -- as employees for the 11 Weather Service funds -- using Weather Service funds. 12 THE ARBITRATOR: Oh. Okay. So, the question is, do you know that at the time of the MOU whether 13 14 agents of the Agency -- employees of the Agency on behalf of the agency were purchasing these plastic 15 16 plates, cups and everything. Okay. Before the MOU 17 was signed? MS. SMITH: Before. 18 19 THE ARBITRATOR: Before the MOU was signed? 20 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I'm going to have to ask for one more clarification. Could I have that 21 22 question repeated?

1 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes. What she's asking is, 2 before you entered into the MOU, were these items being purchased by employees of the Agency, on behalf of the Agency, acting in their official capacity, to provide these items? That's her question. 5 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. 7 BY SMITH: O. And do you know why they were being 8 9 purchased? A. Yes. It was a result of a directive that we 10 11 have in place to address continuation of offices 12 during significant weather or disasters. Q. Okay. Do you know what the number of that 13 directive is? 14 A. Not off the top of my head. 15 16 Q. Can you take a look at Exhibit E, please? 17 A. Yes. (The document referred to was marked for 18 19 identification as Agency Exhibit Number E.) BY MS. SMITH: 20 21 O. Is that the directive that you're referring 22 to?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 MS. SMITH: I'd like to enter Exhibit E into
- 3 the record.
- 4 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Any objection?
- 5 MR. HIRN: Yes. He said that -- well, it
- 6 can't possibly be what he said it was, because he
- 7 said that before the 2009 memorandum, stuff was being
- 8 purchased based on the directive.
- 9 THE ARBITRATOR: And this directive is dated
- 10 March 1st, 2011.
- 11 MR. HIRN: Yes.
- 12 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes.
- 13 MR. HIRN: Is that a problem?
- 14 MS. SMITH: I can ask him to -- I believe it's
- just been updated, it's not -- it's the same
- directive that was in place at the time.
- 17 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good.
- 18 BY MS. SMITH:
- Q. Mr. Brown, can you refer back to Exhibit E?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. You see how it's dated March 1, 2011. Was
- this directive in place in 2009 when you signed the

MOIJ? 1 2 A. Yes. Q. Can you explain why the date is different on this document? A. The date probably is associated with a 6 revision that was done around that time frame. Τf you actually look at the first page, it says summary of revisions. There -- there's a listing of what was 8 9 revised, so, that's why I believe it's got that new date on it. 10 11 THE ARBITRATOR: Can -- would it be possible to get a copy of the directive that was in effect --12 13 this directive that was in effect in its provisions 14 prior to the MOU? THE WITNESS: I would say yes. I would --15 that's something the Weather Service headquarters 16 17 maintains. I would believe somebody in that building 18 could get you one. 19 THE ARBITRATOR: May I suggest this to the 20 Agency, that we'll hold the record open to give you 21 an opportunity to submit Exhibit E that would have 22 been in effect prior to the execution of the MOU?

- 1 looks like it would have been in effective as of June
- 2 2007.
- 3 MS. CIOFFALO: In the meantime, can we, for
- 4 the purposes of going through it, assume that the
- 5 changes that are summarized on the first page reflect
- 6 the differences between this document and the 2009
- 7 document?
- 8 THE ARBITRATOR: I mean, we can presume it
- 9 reflects the changes. I don't know what the
- 10 relevance is here. Maybe you can point it out.
- 11 MS. SMITH: The relevance of the document?
- 12 THE ARBITRATOR: No, no. I don't know. I
- 13 thought you were introducing Exhibit E to show that
- the Agency was engaging in purchases prior to the
- 15 execution of the MOU. I don't see that that says
- 16 that here.
- 17 MS. CIOFFALO: Oh, right. We're going to get
- 18 through what circumstances the Agency was purchasing
- 19 those items, in limited circumstances, per this
- directive, those purchases were happening.
- 21 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. But this directive
- gives you the changes as of 2011.

- 1 MS. SMITH: But those changes didn't -- that
- 2 -- none of these changes reference the authority that
- 3 this delegation gave to the Weather Forecast Offices
- 4 to purchase these items. The authority didn't
- 5 change, the specific provision changed.
- 6 THE ARBITRATOR: But this document doesn't
- 7 have the authority in it, does it?
- 8 MS. SMITH: It does.
- 9 THE ARBITRATOR: Oh, okay. Well, then show me
- 10 where that is.
- 11 MS. SMITH: Okay.
- 12 BY MS. SMITH:
- Q. So, Mr. Brown, can you turn to page four of
- 14 the document?
- 15 A. No, because I don't think it printed. I
- 16 don't have it as part of -- we lost a page here in
- 17 translation. If you'll indulge me for one second, I
- 18 can actually make a copy from the website if that's
- 19 acceptable.
- 20 THE ARBITRATOR: Just -- sure, I mean, you'll
- 21 have it for your testimony. We are going to submit
- the substitute -- the 2007 document, but so that

counsel can ask you questions now, why don't you go 1 do that? 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. THE ARBITRATOR: And we'll go off the record. (Off the record.) 6 THE ARBITRATOR: All right, back on the 7 record. BY MS. SMITH: 8 9 Q. So, have you reviewed page four? 10 A. No. Any paragraph in particular? 0.3.33. 11 12 A. Okay. Q. What does this paragraph give Weather Service 13 14 employees the authority to do? 15 A. Based on the opinions of -- based on opinions issued by the Comptroller General to permit the 16 17 expenditure of funds for lodging by securing hotel accommodations for emergency situations --18 19 THE ARBITRATOR: I can read the document. You 2.0 Is there anything other than the words to the 21 document as to your understanding as to what this 22 document does, or -- I mean, essentially, counsel,

- 1 the document speaks for itself.
- 2 BY MS. SMITH:
- 3 Q. Right. Right. Well, what is your
- 4 understanding of -- that was the question, though.
- 5 What does the document say, and so I was --
- 6 THE ARBITRATOR: Well, we don't need to -- I
- 7 can see what the document says, but if you want to
- 8 explore what his understanding of what the authority
- 9 was in 2007, you may do so.
- 10 MS. SMITH: Okay.
- 11 BY MS. SMITH:
- Q. So, Mr. Brown, can you explain what your
- 13 understanding of the delegation I 2008 in place of --
- well, as of 2009, what did that directive give you
- 15 the authority to do?
- 16 A. Gave us the ability to purchase emergency
- supplies for continued operations of an office.
- 18 MS. SMITH: That's -- that's all my questions
- 19 are.
- 20 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good.
- 21 BY MS. SMITH:
- Q. And what kind of emergencies were you

referring to? 1 2 A. Could be anything from weather keeping people in an office for an extended period of time, could be some situation that requires us to implement a shelter in place; what we need to keep operations 6 going. Q. But all weather operations that -- I mean -weather reasons for these emergencies, is that right? 8 9 A. Not necessarily. That was not my 10 understanding. 11 O. So what else besides weather would give you 12 the authority to purchase these items? 13 A. It's any -- anything that's associated with, 14 I believe as the title says, weather emergencies or 15 disasters. O. But not the common cold, is that right? 16 17 A. Unless the common cold was having a significant impact on the office, I quess I would say 18 19 no. 2.0 MS. SMITH: That's all my questions for Mr. 21 Brown. 22 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. We're now going

- to have re-direct from Union counsel.
- 2 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION:
- 3 BY MR. HIRN:
- 4 Q. Mickey, have you ever taken sick leave when
- 5 you've gotten a cold?
- A. Rarely, but yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. Do employees that work for you, have
- 8 you ever known -- you've approved sick leave slips,
- 9 haven't you, obviously?
- 10 A. Yes, I have. Yes, I have.
- 11 Q. Have employees who work for you taken sick
- leave when they've gotten a cold?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Does sick leave cost the government money?
- 15 A. I would -- I guess it does because of the
- 16 employees being paid for sick leave.
- Q. And there's lost productivity when employees
- 18 take sick leave, right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. And if you have a lot of sick leave at a
- 21 Forecast Office, or a Forecast Center, that's going
- to impact operations, correct?

1 A. It does, yes. Q. And it doesn't matter whether the sick leave 2 is from the cold, or influenza, or a broken toe -sick leave is sick leave, correct? A. Yes. Employees have the right to take sick 6 leave. MR. HIRN: All right. I think that's all I 7 8 have. 9 THE ARBITRATOR: Anything further? MS. SMITH: Yes. 10 **RE-CROSS EXAMINATION:** 11 BY MS. SMITH: 12 13 Q. Mr. Brown, was the intent of the MOU to minimize the use of sick leave? 14 15 A. No. O. The intent of the MOU was to ensure that 16 17 operations could continue, as you understood it, isn't that right? 18 19 A. Yes. 2.0 Q. And operations continue when people go on 21 sick leave, isn't that right?

A. They do.

22

1	Q. Okay. And when you schedule people for
2	shifts, don't you budget into your schedule that
3	there might be some extra people for your shifts?
4	When you're planning a shift well, let me ask the
5	question this way. Let me ask the question this way.
6	Earlier today we heard testimony that Weather Service
7	Offices scheduled extra shifts when people need
8	leave.
9	Is that your understanding of the way that
10	shifts are scheduled?
11	A. Shifts are shifts are scheduled, or,
12	schedules are planned months in advance, from a
13	planning schedule and then from a fixed schedule. As
14	people come and go from the office or or people
15	need leave annual leave, vacation or sick leave,
16	shifts are filled through a process that's contained
17	in the collective bargaining agreement.
18	Q. So in the planning in the planning of
19	schedules for shift works at the Weather Service,
20	there is an understanding that sick leave may come
21	up, is that right?
22	A. I think it's part of operations I mean,
Ī	

1 it's part of -- managers understand when sick leave 2 comes up. Q. And do they do anything specific from preventing people taking sick leave? A. To prevent people from taking sick leave? 6 Not to my knowledge. I don't have any more questions. MS. SMITH: THE ARBITRATOR: Anything further? 8 9 FURTHER RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION: 10 BY MR. HIRN: 11 Q. Yes. Mickey, at a Forecast Office, when you 12 are doing rotation schedules for forecasters, or the interns in the HMT's and upper air shift, one does 13 14 not commonly schedule extra people in advance in the anticipation that there might be sick leave, correct? 15 You only schedule the minimum staff that is 16 17 operationally necessary, correct? A. That's correct. 18 19 Q. And there really isn't only extra staff 20 otherwise on duty, except during the day shift Monday through Friday, correct? 21 22 A. Yes.

1 Q. And so when there is an unexpected illness 2 sick leave on an operational shift, it's generally necessary to call somebody else in or assign overtime to cover that shift, correct? A. It can be covered with somebody who's 6 considered a super numerary shift that may be in the office, and or overtime, reassignment of a shift -- a number of ways to cover that particular scenario, 8 9 through our collective bargaining agreement. 10 Q. But the super numerary -- there's only a 11 super numerary if at all on the Monday through Friday 12 day shift, correct? 13 A. The majority of the time correct, yes. 14 MR. HIRN: That's all. THE ARBITRATOR: Anything further? 15 I don't have anything further. 16 MS. SMITH: 17 THE ARBITRATOR: Thank you very much for your 18 testimony. You were called as an adverse witness by 19 the Union, so there's not going to be any need I'm 20 sure for you to discuss your testimony with Union 21 counsel, but I want to caution you against discussing 22 your testimony with any other witness in this case,

- 1 all right?
- 2 Very good, thank you.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Very good, thank you.
- 4 THE ARBITRATOR: Thank you. Okay. We just
- 5 finished with the testimony of a witness, and we had
- 6 referenced Agency Exhibit E. Did you want to admit
- 7 Agency Exhibit E -- the 2007 version of it?
- 8 MS. SMITH: Yes, I do.
- 9 THE ARBITRATOR: All right. Would you have
- any objection, counsel? Fine. I'm going to leave
- 11 the record open and you will send Exhibit E -- send
- it directly to me, because I am going to take my
- 13 exhibits with me. Have you been given a copy of
- 14 exhibits?
- Okay, very good, so you'll send them to me
- 16 and to counsel and we will -- at the time of receipt
- they will be considered part of the record, so --
- 18 MR. HIRN: This is not --
- 19 THE ARBITRATOR: That copy is not being
- 20 admitted into the record. E will be sent to us, the
- 21 2007 version. All right. Mr. Hirn, another witness?
- MR. HIRN: No, we rest, thank you.

THE ARBITRATOR: All right. The Union rests. 1 2 All right, very good. Ms. Smith, the Agency's case? MS. SMITH: Okay. The Agency would like to present John Longenecker. 5 THE ARBITRATOR: Mr. Longenecker, my name is 6 Mariann Schick and I'm the arbitrator that the parties have selected to settle this or to decide this dispute between you. All right. You're being 8 9 called as a witness on behalf of the Agency. Have 10 you ever been a witness before in a proceeding? 11 Okay. 12 It's not like Perry Mason. You're first 13 going to be questioned by Ms. Smith, and then you're 14 going to be cross-examined by Mr. Hirn, and I'm going 15 to let the counsel go back and forth until all their 16 questions have been asked and answered. I may have a 17 few questions at the end. When you're testifying, 18 Ms. Smith asks you a question and Mr. Hirn has an 19 objection, would you stop testifying, give me a 2.0 chance to rule on the objection. 21 If I overrule the objection, I'm going to 22 permit you to keep testifying on that point, but if I

sustain the objection, I'm going to cut you off on 1 that. Do you have any objection to taking an oath? 2 THE WITNESS: No, I do not. Whereupon, JOHN LONGENECKER 5 6 called as a witness, and having been duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and testified, as follows: 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION: 8 9 BY MS. SMITH: 10 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Longenecker. 11 A. Good afternoon, ma'am. 12 Q. Could you please state and spell your name for the record? 13 14 THE ARBITRATOR: And could you keep your voice Thank you. 15 up? THE WITNESS: My name is John Longenecker, 16 17 J-O-H-N, L-O-N-G-E-N-E-C-K-E-R. 18 Q. Are you currently employed? 19 A. Yes, I am. 2.0 Q. Where are you employed? 21 A. I'm employed with the Department of Commerce, 22 National Fisheries Service, Office of Law

Page 157

1 Enforcement. 2 Q. What's your current position? 3 A. My current position is assistant director for operations for Office of Law Enforcement. 4 O. What are your duties and responsibilities? 6 A. Duties and responsibilities are to manage the finances for the Office of Law Enforcement as well as 7 the personnel actions and responsibilities regarding 8 9 staffing for all of the OLE. 10 Q. Where were you were employed before the Office of Law Enforcement? 11 12 A. Again, with the Department of Commerce, National Weather Service. 13 14 Q. And what positions did you hold? 15 A. I was -- my billet was the deputy chief financial officer. 16 17 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. You're going to have 18 to keep your voice up, because I'm right next to you. 19 I imagine Mr. Hirn must be having a great deal of 2.0 difficulty unless his hearing is a lot better than 21 mine. But please do keep your voice up. Okay. 22 BY MS. SMITH:

Q. How long were you deputy CFO of the Weather 1 2 Service? A. I was deputy CFO from September 2012 til November of 2013. Q. How long were you -- you said that you -- I'm 6 sorry. We didn't get to your positions. You talked about your billet. What positions did you hold? A. So, I was acting chief financial officer from 8 9 September 2012 to May of 2013, and then I was acting chief of operations from August of 2012 til November 10 of 2013. 11 Q. Okay. What was your series and grade level? 12 A. The series and grade level was a GSA 15 301. 13 14 Q. And was that the same position for all of the -- was it the same series and grade levels for all 15 16 the positions? 17 A. Yes, even though they were acting SES's, you 18 do not change series or grade. 19 Q. And what's an SES? 2.0 A. Senior executive staff. 21 O. What were your duties and responsibilities as 22 deputy CFO for the Weather Service?

A. As deputy CFO, it was my responsibility to 1 2 carry out the actions, or the desires of the chief financial officer and any functions associated with that position. O. What were your duties and responsibilities as 6 acting CFO? A. As acting CFO it was my responsibility to basically make sure that all the financial matter of 8 9 the Weather Service were taken care of as well as 10 communication and personnel actions. 11 O. What were your duties and responsibilities as 12 acting chief of operations? 13 A. As acting chief of operations, the main 14 responsibility was ensuring that the data systems 15 were fully operational, as well as the dissemination of that data across the Weather Service. 16 17 Q. So, let's talk about your chain of command as acting CFO. Who was your first line supervisor? 18 19 A. First line supervisor was the deputy director 2.0 of the Weather Service, or deputy assistant 21 administrator. 22 Q. And when you were in that position, who was

in the acting -- or, I'm sorry -- who was the deputy 1 assistant administrator? 2 A. Initially, when I took over in September, it was Stephen Cooper, and then it became Laura Furgione. 5 6 Q. When you were acting CFO did you have direct 7 supports? A. Yes, I had five. 8 9 Q. When you were acting CFO, what was the structure of the Weather Service? 10 A. The current structure what it is right now, 11 12 they have essentially regional directors and office directors that manage the financial management 13 centers of the Weather Service. 14 15 Q. How many regional directors? A. There are six. 16 17 Q. How many office directors? A. Five. 18 19 Q. And who headed the regions -- I mean -- we 2.0 already know that. Who handled the financial 21 management of the regions? 22 A. The regional directors.

1	Q. Was it the same for the office directors?
2	A. The office directors manage their funds, yes.
3	THE ARBITRATOR: Keep your voice up.
4	THE WITNESS: Sorry.
5	BY MS. SMITH:
6	Q. Did you interact with the regional directors?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. How.
9	A. We had regional director calls on a regular
10	basis, as well as through e-mail and other
11	communication as necessary.
12	Q. How many employees are in the Weather
13	Service?
14	A. Roughly five thousand.
15	Q. How many of those employees are in the
16	bargaining unit?
17	A. Just over three thousand, I believe.
18	Q. And what's the name of the bargaining unit?
19	A. National Weather Service Employees Union, or
20	NWSEO.
21	Q. Did you interact with NWSEO?
22	A. Yes, I did.

1	Q. How?
2	A. Through either phone calls and direct
3	communication and e-mails as well.
4	Q. And with whom did you most interact?
5	A. With Dan and Richard.
6	Q. Before the Weather Service
7	THE ARBITRATOR: Can you give me their last
8	names?
9	THE WITNESS: Richard Hirn and Dan Sobien.
10	THE ARBITRATOR: Right.
11	BY MS. SMITH:
12	Q. Before the Weather Service, where were you
13	employed?
14	A. I was employed with Department of Commerce
15	NOAA, under the office of program planning
16	integration.
17	Q. And how long have you been with NOAA?
18	A. I've been with NOAA for over 22 years.
19	Q. How long have you been in the federal
20	government?
21	A. Over 30.
22	Q. What's your educational background?

1 A. Educational background? 2 O. Yes. A. I hold two Masters certificates and a Bachelor Degree in mechanical engineering. 4 THE ARBITRATOR: What's a Masters certificate? 5 6 THE WITNESS: It's one of the Masters degree, but not quite there. THE ARBITRATOR: 8 9 BY MS. SMITH: 10 Q. Okay. So, when you were acting CFO, what 11 were the biggest challenges facing the CFO's office? 12 A. The biggest challenge facing the CFO's office was the continuation of the -- I won't say fallout, 13 but the follow-on actions from the internal 14 investigation -- the decision memorandum from both 15 Dr. Lubechenco and Dr. Blank, the corrective actions 16 17 required by them, and then of course being September 18 when I took over we were looking at how to position 19 ourselves for execution for Y13, at the time looking 20 at a pending continuing resolution as well as the 21 budget control act sequestration potential issues 22 coming up.

- 1 THE ARBITRATOR: Are you going to explain who
- these doctors are -- I have no idea what you're
- 3 talking about. Thanks.
- 4 MS. SMITH: I do. Yes.
- 5 BY MS. SMITH:
- 6 Q. Now, you said decision memorandum from Dr.
- 7 Lubechenco? Can you spell that for us?
- 8 A. Yes. L-U-B-E-C-H-E-N- --
- 9 THE ARBITRATOR: K-O?
- 10 THE WITNESS: No. C-O.
- 11 BY MS. SMITH:
- 12 Q. And who was that?
- 13 A. She was the NOAA administrator at the time.
- Q. And the other person that you mentioned?
- 15 A. Under secretary of commerce. The other
- 16 person was Dr. Blank, she was the acting secretary of
- 17 commerce.
- 18 O. And what was the gist of the decision
- 19 memorandum?
- 20 A. The gist of the decision memorandum was
- 21 basically their interpretation of the results of the
- internal investigation as to what corrective actions

1 they wanted to have done for the Agency as well as 2 the department, to ensure that the failures within the Weather Service do not occur again, or at least the similar types of occurrence, so essentially the internal investigation did find, you know, 6 anti-deficiency act violation, of which the letter was forwarded to the President with several of these names on it --8 9 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. I'm just getting 10 confused. This is all before sequestration -- there was some kind of an internal investigation? 11 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. You're going to have 14 to give me the background on this, because I have no idea what you're talking about, and all of the sudden 15 16 there are these people's names who I don't recognize, 17 so, if you could just give me a little background, 18 you know -- obviously there was something that was 19 done as a result of which you took corrective action. 20 What happened? THE WITNESS: In 2011, well even prior to 21 22 that, there was several employees that felt the use

1 of programmatic funds were not being appropriately 2 utilized the way in which Congress intended it, they had supposedly raised their concerns within the Weather Service, nothing was done about it, they then went to the office of the Inspector General, the 6 office of the Inspector General referred the case back to NOAA for investigation. THE ARBITRATOR: Kind of like whistle-blower. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Correct. So they did an 10 internal investigation, meaning that NOAA did their 11 own investigation on themselves, that investigation 12 resulted in finding of a anti-deficiency act, actually the internal investigation cannot find that 13 14 per se, they had to recommend that to general counsel, general counsel had made the determination 15 that --16 THE ARBITRATOR: So they found certain 17 18 shortcomings in the way you were operating. 19 THE WITNESS: They found that the funds were 2.0 being misused, inappropriate use of funds, 21 mismanagement of funds, was some of the terminology 22 they used within the report.

- 1 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Okay. So that was the
- biggest thing you were facing when you came in.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. Essentially, there was a
- 4 lack of trust amongst the downtown leadership, but
- also the Hill, others the way that the money was
- 6 appropriated to the Weather Service was being spent.
- 7 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Now I understand.
- 8 BY MS. SMITH:
- 9 Q. So what did you do as acting CFO to address
 10 the corrective actions in the decision memorandum?
- 11 A. So, as acting CFO it was my responsibility to
- 12 ensure that the corrective action plan that we had
- 13 put through the department was continuously followed
- and we were ensuring that, you know, the milestones
- 15 that we put forth were being met. At the time, you
- 16 know, some of the corrective actions required us to
- 17 re-look at our budget process. I had established a
- 18 team of which Steve Pritchard was a member of, to
- 19 look at how to restructure PPA's, PPA being a program
- 20 project and activity which is the way we get our
- 21 funding. The funding that we were receiving and
- 22 still receive within the Weather Service, the

1	restructuring will take effect hopefully in the 15
2	budget.
3	Came in at such a fashion that it was hard for
4	any employee to really understand how to
5	appropriately spend that money, so we were trying to
6	do to make it simpler and easier to understand, that
7	was, it was if mistakes were being made it would have
8	not been from ignorance on how to go about doing it,
9	it would have been more potentially blatant mistakes,
10	in other words, they the issue was there that
11	everybody could understand.
12	Anyway, and along with that we were looking
13	at, you know, headquarters restructuring or
14	restructuring the leadership in order to manage to
15	the new program structure. You know, the decision
16	memorandum also required us to look at communication
17	methods so that any employee who questioned anything
18	within the department or you know within the
19	individual line offices or agency would have a path
20	to go forward whether it's through your current chain
21	of command, and if you're not getting any results
22	that you feel are warranted for your concern, you

have the ability then to go direct to other leaders 1 2 within the department if not the OIG of course, always was an avenue. There was requirements for training, for appropriation of law training, specifically for every 6 employee associated with any kind of financial matters, the department set up a four hour training course that every employee had to take -- that 8 9 training course was deemed not adequate for most of 10 the Weather Service employees that were financial 11 managers. We required them to take a week long 12 training course, and that was approved through the deputy under-secretary as well. 13 14 You know, the summary level transfer policy that was a NOAA policy had to be re-written, we were 15 16 in the process of, you know, complying with that, or 17 looking at how we need to change business to follow 18 those new structures, that policy came out right at 19 the beginning of September. So those were some of 20 the things we were dealing with. 21 Q. Thank you. Let's talk about the 22 appropriations law training that you mentioned.

1 you taken this training? 2 A. Yes, I have. Q. How many times? A. I've taken a week long course once, and the 4 shortened version several times. 5 6 O. And what other resources do you have available for abiding appropriations law? 7 A. Well, we have the general law division within 8 9 the Department of Commerce that we, you know, routinely call and ask questions if need be. You 10 11 know, the appropriation law book that the General 12 Counsel puts out that is called the red book, is 13 obviously a resource that can be used, it's online as 14 well. There are other resources that you can, you 15 know, utilize, you know, through NOAA's General Counsel as well, not necessarily always through the 16 17 departments. 18 O. Okay. And do you own the red book? 19 A. Yes, I do. I also have an electronic 2.0 version. 21 O. I'm going to move forward Joint exhibit --22 well, actually it's the Union Exhibit, just to

minimize exhibits. It's Union Exhibit 8. Have you 1 seen this document before? 2 A. Yes, I have. I created this document. Q. What prompted you to create this document? A. So, basically, what was happening is after 5 6 the folks were taking the appropriations law class, they were raising things to my level that they felt were not appropriate, and at one meeting we had with 8 9 regional directors, one of the directors had raised the issue that they felt that the purchase of some of 10 11 the items that they were doing within the region were 12 considered to be personal use, and based on the 13 appropriations law class they just took, they did not 14 think it was appropriate. 15 At the same -- the way they were describing it to me, I also felt it was not appropriate, and 16 17 therefore I took action to ensure it would stop. 18 Q. What items were you aware of they were 19 purchasing? 2.0 A. They were talking about paper plates, and 21 cups and utensils mostly. 22 Q. Do you remember who brought those concerns to

1 your attention? 2 A. I do not which regional director it was. Q. So, did you discuss this issue with anyone before you sent out this e-mail? A. I did not discuss in the sense of getting 6 permission; I did inform both the deputy assistant administrator and my deputy financial officer at the time, to let them know that I was sending it out, 8 9 because, typically, anything that I send out, people 10 would question either one of them in regards to, what 11 did he really mean, what, you know, what does it 12 really apply to, and that sort of thing, so I wanted 13 to ensure that they were aware. 14 Q. So, just to get clarification, who exactly did you send this e-mail to? 15 A. This went to the regional directors, the 16 17 office directors, and then I copied, of course, on the XO's and the deputy regional directors. 18 19 Q. And who are the XO's? 2.0 A. The XO's are mostly the headquarters, 21 considered to be deputies. 22 Q. Okay. Now, why did you send it to the

regional directors and the office directors? 1 2 A. Because they are the financial management center directors or responsible parties. Q. Responsible for what, just to be clear? A. They are responsible for executing the 6 appropriated dollars within the Weather Service that are allocated to --7 O. So, when you said that you spoke to the 8 9 deputy assistant administrator, that was Laura Furgione? 10 A. Correct. 11 12 Q. And what did she say? 13 A. She basically just said if that's what you 14 need to do, go ahead. 15 Q. And the deputy CFO was who? A. Marie Laverne at the time. 16 17 Q. And what did she say? 18 A. She agreed as well. 19 Q. Did you discuss the issue with -- the issue 2.0 of using appropriated fund for these personal items with anyone after you sent the March 26, 2013 e-mail? 21 22 A. Yes. After I sent it, I discussed it with

- 1 several individuals, including the chief resource
- 2 manager, which is Ring Wiley downtown, as well as the
- 3 deputy chief of staff, Jackie Bright.
- 4 Q. And what did Ms. Wiley say?
- 5 A. She said I did the right thing and we need to
- 6 move forward. And also had a discussion with General
- 7 Counsel as well to verify it.
- 8 THE ARBITRATOR: To verify what?
- 9 MS. CIOFFALO: Objection. We cannot disclose
- any attorney client privilege information.
- 11 THE ARBITRATOR: But as I understood it, part
- of your defense was is that Mr. Longenecker consulted
- 13 with counsel as a result of when he took this action.
- 14 MS. SMITH: I mean, he consulted with them,
- 15 but --
- 16 MS. CIOFFALO: It's not part of the defense.
- I mean, he consulted with attorneys, I mean, but
- that's not part of the defense.
- 19 THE ARBITRATOR: It's not part of the defense
- 20 that this was -- that you repudiated the MOU because
- of this citation in here which he has three Com Gen
- 22 4339 1924?

1 MS. CIAFFOLO: But I mean, whether or not the 2 witness consulted with counsel is not germane to the issue. I mean, his conversations with counsel are protected -- attorney client protected information -it's not, you know -- Mr. Longenecker took action 6 based on whatever knowledge and counsel that he has received. I don't think that the Agency should be --I don't think -- you know, I don't think that that 8 9 waives attorney client privilege in any way. THE ARBITRATOR: But he's the client. And 10 11 he's -- as I understand it, he's -- he's put out an 12 e-mail here that this is being appropriated funds can't be used based on this citation that is here, 13 14 and I understood that this citation, I presume you don't go do your own legal research, correct? 15 THE WITNESS: I did for this. I did not 16 17 contact counsel until after the fact. 18 THE ARBITRATOR: Oh, so you --19 THE WITNESS: The red book. This came from 20 appropriation law red book. THE ARBITRATOR: Are we going to get this red 21 22 book -- I mean --

- 1 MS. SMITH: It's very big. It's three
- 2 volumes.
- 3 MR. HIRN: It's about 1500 pages.
- 4 THE ARBITRATOR: Well, I mean, you know, I can
- tell you both, you're raising questions here of
- 6 appropriations law that so far are not part of the
- 7 record. You're apparently relying on that, on the
- 8 other hand I've got collective bargaining legislation
- 9 that pertains to federal employees and I'm going to
- 10 have to make some kind of a legal judgment as to
- 11 whether or not I think this gentleman was correct in
- 12 what he did.
- Now, I'll tell you right now, when you submit
- 14 your post-hearing briefs, anything that you want me
- 15 to look at, whether it's statutory, regulatory, or
- 16 case law, you must provide a copy of. I don't go
- 17 searching for these things in the law library.
- 18 MS. CIOFFALO: Absolutely, we will.
- 19 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay.
- 20 THE CIOFFALO: We plan to address the legal
- 21 question substantively in our post-hearing brief;
- 22 we're trying to stick to just the facts of what

- occurred here, for the purposes of witness testimony.
- 2 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Well, since you went
- and did your own research, I guess it's not necessary
- 4 to get into the question of what counsel may have
- 5 advised you, so it's really moot. Okay. Go ahead,
- 6 continue.
- 7 BY MS. SMITH:
- 8 Q. So, what happened after you sent this March
- 9 26, 2013 e-mail? What happened in the agency?
- 10 A. So, shortly after I sent this out, it was
- 11 brought to my attention that there was a MOU between
- 12 the National Weather Service Employees Union and NOAA
- 13 management to allow them the purchase of these types
- of items. We then looked at that MOU; I was not
- aware of the MOU at the time, we questioned whether
- or not there was an issue, and Dr. Uccellini felt
- 17 that we needed to possibly rescind my direction. He
- 18 wanted to have --
- 19 THE ARBITRATOR: Who's he?
- 20 THE WITNESS: He is the actual assistant
- 21 administrator of -- my second level supervisor.
- 22 BY MS. SMITH:

1	Q. So let me to the extent that you are going
2	to get into I mean not that you talked to any
3	attorney client privilege, but the substance of that
4	we're not, we can't divulge that right now.
5	A. Okay. But he did want to have a formal legal
6	opinion received. We did that and request that from
7	the Department of Commerce, and that was received.
8	You know, at the same time, we were trying to
9	determine whether or not it was in our interpretation
10	an illegal activity, whether or not that that
11	outweighed any agreement that was in place. The
12	counsel not general counsel, I'm sorry, but the
13	advice from Ring Wiley and other downtown was, yes,
14	that is true, you do not continue any illegal
15	activity, and therefore I did not rescind the
16	direction Dr. Uccellini was fine with that, but
17	still was anxiously awaiting the general counsel
18	ruling.
19	By that time, we'd already received a
20	grievance from the National Weather Service Employees
21	Union for sending out this notification. That
22	grievance was then, you know, and action was taken on

1 it. Unfortunately at that time we did not, I guess 2 follow the appropriate steps, which was later informed to me, that we should have notified NWSEO prior to sending this out, because of the prior agreement, but as I stated, I did not -- was not 6 aware of that prior agreement before doing it, nor was I aware that this was a requirement to notify them, so the notification then went out after the 8 9 grievance was received 10 Q. Okay. So, to your knowledge, who in the 11 Weather Service would have purchased these disposable 12 items? 13 A. So, these items would have been purchased more than likely by the credit card holders at the 14 Weather Forecast Offices, and I believe most of those 15 16 would have been the ASA -- the assistant support 17 services type folks. 18 O. And they're in the bargaining unit? 19 A. Yes. 2.0 Q. So, are there any penalties associated with 21 the unauthorized expenditures of appropriated funds? 22 A. There is. You know, within the commerce

1	acquisition manual, it clearly states you are not
2	authorized to do personal items, they call out some
3	of the items, specifically those discipline actions
4	that are referenced in there; it either goes to the
5	department of administrative order, which will allow
6	removal from your position, to, you know, the most
7	extreme, to basically just losing the credit card and
8	losing the ability to, you know, spend appropriated
9	funds.
10	Q. So, who would have to pay these penalties?
11	A. If it was determined that it was an
12	inappropriate use, then it would be the employee's
13	liability.
14	Q. Okay. I just want to mention two documents
15	I want to make sure that we have them in the
16	record. It's Exhibit J. J and K, sorry.
17	(The documents referred to were marked for
18	identification as Agency Exhibit Numbers J and K.)
19	BY MS. SMITH:
20	Q. So, if you could turn to Exhibit J, let's
21	just look at the front page. Well, you can review
22	it. So, is this the commerce acquisition manual that

1 you were referring to? 2 A. Yes. Q. And where are the penalties that you discussed? A. Several clauses in here. One is under 6 personal liabilities, it says the card holder and prudent officials shall --O. Can you tell us what page you're on first? 8 Q. Sure, it's page 19, section 3.14. 9 THE ARBITRATOR: And this is J? J 19? 10 11 MS. SMITH: J 19, yes. 12 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. 13 `THE WITNESS: So, section 3.14 under 14 liabilities where it states that the card holders and 15 approving officials shall make sure that all purchases made with the purchase card are in 16 17 accordance with all federal department and operating unit acquisition laws, regulations, policies and 18 19 quidance. 2.0 Card holders and approving officials may be 21 held personally liable for any action deemed by the 22 reviewing officials as non-compliant with these

- 1 acquisition policies and regulations --
- 2 THE ARBITRATOR: Excuse me, what page are you
- 3 on?
- 4 MS. SMITH: Page 19, section --
- 5 THE ARBITRATOR: Oh, you're on J 22.
- 6 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
- 7 MS. SMITH: Oh, that's right.
- 8 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. 3.14 is what you were
- 9 reading?
- 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
- 11 BY MS. SMITH:
- 12 Q. And the provision that you're referring to?
- 13 A. So, page J 18, where it says federal
- 14 appropriation law restrictions, in accordance with
- the principles of federal appropriation law, the
- 16 following items are generally prohibited from
- purchases with appropriated funds, as there may be
- 18 authorized exceptions of certain items card holder
- shall obtain approval from the head of the
- 20 contracting officer after legal review and document
- 21 the exception prior to the purchase of any of the
- following items, and it then goes on to personal

expenses and furniture and other types of things that 1 2 are of the personal nature. O. I think that's good, that gives us a overview. And you also mentioned another document or directive? Is it Exhibit --6 A. Well, somewhere in this acquisition manual it references the department of administrative order, 7 which is the discipline order that involves 8 9 discipline action to be taken against folks that were essentially are not following regulations, but 10 knowingly not following regulations or policies. 11 12 Q. Can you turn to page 10? A. 10? 13 14 Q. Well, it's my page 10 and you can tell us all what page it is in your version. 15 16 A. J 13. 17 Q. So, section 2.11 on that page, is that the 18 section you were referring to? 19 A. Yes. 2.0 O. So, let's turn to Exhibit -- I'd like to enter Exhibit J into evidence. 21 22 THE ARBITRATOR: Any objection?

- 1 MR. HIRN: None.
- THE ARBITRATOR: Exhibit J is in. Agency J.
- 3 (The document referred to was received into
- 4 evidence as Agency Exhibit Numbers J and K.)
- 5 BY MS. SMITH:
- 6 Q. Agency J. The next document is K. Exhibit
- 7 -- Agency Exhibit K.
- 8 MR. HIRN: No objection to that.
- 9 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. J is admitted, K is
- 10 admitted.
- 11 BY MS. SMITH:
- 12 Q. And what provision were you citing in this
- 13 document?
- A. Well, this is actually the DAO, it's
- referenced in the section to which she just brought
- 16 up in the acquisition manual, it gives you different
- offenses, and what level of penalty is appropriate
- 18 for first offense, second offense, and I'm not
- 19 sure --
- Q. I'll direct you attention to the one at the
- top, it says 8 out of 11?
- 22 A. Okay, I'll skip over to that.

1 Q. Okay. 2 A. Use of a loan and use of government funds property or personal or other resources for unauthorized purposes, is that the one you're 4 referring to? 5 6 Q. Is that the section you were referring to? A. That is one that could be used in this case, 8 yes. 9 Q. Okay. 10 A. All right. No further questions for Mr. 11 Longenecker. Oh, I'm sorry. I just want to enter 12 K --13 THE ARBITRATOR: J and K are in. They're in. 14 All right, cross? 15 MR. HIRN: Yes. CROSS EXAMINATION: 16 17 BY MR. HIRN: 18 Q. John, you said you looked to the red book 19 when you --20 (Off the record.) 21 THE ARBITRATOR: Back on the record. 22 BY MR. HIRN:

1 Q. John, did you -- when you read the red book, 2 did you read any of the cases cited in it in either of the Comptroller General decisions? A. I read cases but I don't remember if I did for this particular case. 6 Q. Okay. So, you don't know whether you -- you 7 don't know what cases you relied upon in making your decision to terminate -- that this appropriation was 8 9 impermissive. 10 A. There was a case cited in my e-mail, I assume 11 I read that case, but I -- at this point, I could 12 not --13 Q. You don't remember reading anything other 14 than the case -- do you remember reading any of the 15 Comptroller General decisions other than the one cited in your e-mail, in your particular research on 16 17 this matter? 18 A. Not for this matter, no. 19 Q. Okay. Do you -- in making your decision, did 20 you take into account 5 US C 7901, the statute that 21 authorizes federal agencies within the limits of 22 their appropriations to fund a health service program

1 for their employees? A. I am aware of that but I did not take that 2 into consideration for this. Q. When you decided to terminate -- to issue that memo, you said you were not aware there was an 6 MOU outstanding, correct? 7 A. Correct. O. So, it's fair to assume then that you didn't 8 9 consult with management negotiator Mickey Brown about what the intent of that MOU was? 10 11 A. Mickey Brown was not the management 12 negotiator at the time. 13 Q. Well, but he was -- he did negotiate this 14 MOU. 15 A. That's what I understand after the fact, but at the time, there -- MOU's were negotiated 16 17 throughout the years. I've -- you know, each one of them by different --18 19 Q. Right, but it's fair to say then, that 20 because you didn't even know it existed, you didn't call up Mickey and say, Mickey, why are we buying 21 22 this?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 THE ARBITRATOR: Can I just ask -- did you
- 4 consult with any of the HR people? Do you have an HR
- 5 department, a human resources, or a labor relations
- 6 department at the agency?
- 7 THE WITNESS: We do.
- 8 THE ARBITRATOR: Did you consult with them?
- 9 THE WITNESS: I mean, the decision was based
- on the appropriation law, not based on any human
- 11 resource or --
- 12 THE ARBITRATOR: Did you -- my question is did
- 13 you consult with human resources or labor relations?
- 14 THE WITNESS: No, but I still don't understand
- why that would have been something I would have
- 16 thought of.
- 17 THE ARBITRATOR: You don't need to understand.
- 18 You just need to answer my question, okay. You did
- 19 not consult, is that correct?
- 20 THE WITNESS: That is correct.
- 21 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. That's all I need
- 22 to know.

BY MR. HIRN: 1 2 Q. When you found out after the fact -- when you found out there was an MOU, have you since that time at all consulted with Mickey Brown about what the 4 Agency's intent was in negotiating the provision to 5 6 buy these things? 7 A. I personally did not, but that was done by 8 the Agency, yes. 9 Q. And who did it, and how do you know that? 10 A. Well, David Murray. 11 THE ARBITRATOR: Who's David Murray, I don't 12 know who that is? 13 THE WITNESS: David Murray is our current lead 14 negotiator. 15 THE ARBITRATOR: Lead negotiator? THE WITNESS: Yes. 16 17 BY MR. HIRN: O. And Dave -- did David -- what did David 18 19 Murray tell you that Mickey said? 2.0 A. I do not know about what the conversation 21 was. 22 Q. Okay. Now, as the CFO did you ever have the

1 occasional look at sick leave usage at the National Weather Service? 2 A. Have I ever had the --4 O. Yes. 5 A. No. 6 Q. You don't have any idea how much sick leave 7 costs the Weather Service every year? A. Not off the top of my head, no. 8 9 Q. Maybe a generalized idea? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Okay. Do you have any idea of how many days a year sick leave that the employees of the Weather 12 13 Service take? 14 A. No, but I don't -- I mean, sick leave is used for doctor's appointment, dental appointments, it's 15 used for a lot of things, it's not a correlation of 16 17 somebody being ill. So, I'm not sure that I would have had a need to look for that based on this case. 18 19 Q. No. I just was asking whether you had any 20 idea how many days of sick leave employees take? 21 A. No. 22 Q. Okay. You mentioned the budget control act

and sequestration. When did sequestration go into 1 effect? 2 A. Well, the budget control act was passed in 2011, and that's why we were looking at that with what needed to be done starting in 2012. 5 6 Q. But when did sequestration go into effect? A. Sequestration was actually in effect 13. 7 O. And what -- was there a particular day that 8 9 it went into effect. 10 A. I don't remember the exact day off the top of 11 my head. 12 THE ARBITRATOR: Do you remember approximately the time of year? 13 14 THE WITNESS: January, I think, is when it 15 kicked in. 16 BY MR. HIRN: 17 Q. The --- when sequestration went into effect, 18 didn't the Agency take any number of things to 19 significantly cut back its expenses? 2.0 A. We were looking at different things that needed to be curbed back, in order to ensure the 21 22 mission was being accomplished, yes.

Q. Okay. You looked at it, but did you actually 1 2 take any -- take any actions to limit Agency expenses at the time, as a result of sequestration? A. Well, yes, I mean, every office was restricted what allotments they were given, yes. 6 O. Okay. What actions did the -- did the Weather Service take to cut back on expenses when 7 sequestration went into effect? 8 9 A. Well, there were a lot of things that were done when sequestration went into effect. Including 10 11 basically putting furloughs on the table, basically 12 saying we're not going to make it without furloughing 13 employees and that was done right as sequestration 14 was before us. 15 We talked about the need to cut back on radiosonde launches per day --16 17 THE ARBITRATOR: Cut back on what? 18 THE WITNESS: Radiosonde launches, they're 19 launches with balloons that collect atmospheric data 2.0 across the spectrum. Radiosonde launches. 21 THE ARBITRATOR: What's the second word you're 22 saying?

- 1 THE WITNESS: It's one word, radiosonde, it's
- 2 a --
- 3 THE ARBITRATOR: Radiosonde.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 5 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. R-A-D-I-O-S-O-N-D?
- 6 THE WITNESS: E.
- 7 THE ARBITRATOR: S-O-N-E. Radiosone.
- 8 THE WITNESS: S-O-N-D-E.
- 9 THE ARBITRATOR: S-O-N-D-E.
- 10 BY MR. HIRN:
- 11 Q. You say you looked at them, but what actions
- 12 did you actually take?
- 13 A. We did actually say that we were cutting back
- to one a day, we were going to furloughing ten days
- across one service, and that's when downtown started
- 16 looking at options to either get us additional
- appropriation, or other ways to mitigate those
- impacts.
- Q. But furloughs never actually took place?
- 20 A. They did not, only because Congress
- 21 appropriated additional funds.
- Q. Well, Congress re-programmed additional

- 1 funds.
- 2 MS. SMITH: Objection. We're getting
- 3 argumentative about what Congress did and what kind
- 4 of --
- 5 THE WITNESS: -- supplemental was
- 6 additional --
- 7 MS. SMITH: Wait, wait, wait.
- 8 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. It's established that
- 9 apparently there was no furloughs as he said as a
- 10 result of additional action by Congress which awarded
- 11 you more money, is that correct?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- 13 THE ARBITRATOR: All right, so that's fine.
- 14 BY MR. HIRN:
- Q. And there was an Agency hiring freeze?
- 16 A. Sorry?
- 17 Q. There was a hiring freeze March 27th.
- 18 A. I don't remember when the hiring freeze memo
- came out, but it was shortly after sequestration took
- effect, yes.
- 21 Q. What was your -- you said you had previous
- 22 employment with NOAA, you were a NOAA Corps officer,

1 were you not? 2 A. I was. 3 Q. And that's a ship-work position, correct? A. No. 4 Q. You were -- you didn't -- you never sailed? 5 6 A. I did, for two years. 7 Q. Oh, and what vessels did you sail on? A. The Oregon II was my primary vessel. NOAA 8 9 Corps is the seventh smallest unit of service. 10 THE ARBITRATOR: But what does it stand for, 11 NOAA Corps? THE WITNESS: NOAA is the National Oceanic and 12 Atmospheric Administration, Corps is just like a 13 14 marine corps, it's just like --15 THE ARBITRATOR: Oh. Okay. Oh, so NOAA Corps. Gotcha. Thank you very much. 16 17 BY MR. HIRN: 18 O. And those ships were mixed civilian and NOAA 19 Corps crew, correct? 2.0 A. Correct. 21 Q. And how often did -- how long a voyage did the Oregon take? Was it like an overnight voyage, 22

1 six months, voyage? 2 A. You know, usually took where we wouldn't stay out longer than 30 days, but that was not -- I mean we were out longer from home port for 30 days, but just between ports was no more than 30 days. I mean 6 that was back in 1992 I was in that, so --O. And it was a mix of civilian crew and NOAA Corps crew, correct? 8 9 A. Yes. O. And some of the officers were civilian 10 11 employees, correct? A. Some of the officers were civilians? 12 13 Q. Yes, engineering department. 14 A. Well, they were not commissioned officers, 15 no. Q. Right. They were civilian employees. 16 17 A. Yes. 18 O. And did any of the crew be it officer or 19 unlicensed or low-corps, did any of them bring --20 have to bring paper cups and paper plates and 21 utensils with them when they sailed? 22 A. Not to my knowledge, no.

1	Q. I'm going to show you what will be marked for
2	identification as Union Exhibit 16.
3	(The document referred to was marked for
4	identification as Union Exhibit Number 16.)
5	BY MR. HIRN:
6	Q. Do you recognize this cup and saucer? Ever
7	seen anything like it before?
8	A. I have seen cups and saucers, yes.
9	Q. And where do you recognize this from?
10	A. I don't know where this came from, no.
11	Q. Isn't this the china that NOAA uses aboard
12	its vessels?
13	A. I do not know. The Oregon II did not have a
14	wardrobe.
15	Q. You did not have a wardrobe.
16	A. We did not have a wardrobe. It's a fishing
17	vessel. People are operating fishing
18	Q. So what kind of did you eat on board?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And what did you eat off of?
21	A. Very cheap plates and nothing that was ever
22	embossed with NOAA emblems or china, or anything like

1 that. 2 Q. Did you have to bring it from home, or did 3 the Agency supply them to you? A. Well, the Agency had it as part of the crew's 4 mess, it was not personal use of the employees. 5 6 Q. Well, the employees used them, correct, for their meals, when they were working, right? 7 A. Yes. 8 9 Q. Okay. Do I understand your testimony that it is impermissible for federal agencies to buy paper 10 cups for example for their employees' use, or coffee 11 12 cups? 13 A. No, I did not say that. 14 Q. Okay. Is it permissible for federal agencies 15 to buy coffee cups for use of federal employees? There could be times when, yes, that would 16 17 be permissible. Q. And when would that be? 18 A. Well, it depends on bona fide need, or the 19 actual intent of the appropriation for which the 20 21 agency received. 22 Q. Well, give me an example.

A. Well, say, for example, FEMA disaster 1 2 response, when you're trying to feed a group of mass of people who are displaced from their homes and or 4 community. O. That's for the civilians that they're 6 helping, correct? 7 A. I don't work for FEMA, I don't know what they define as --8 9 Q. But basically -- you see, you've made a 10 determination based on your understanding of the 11 appropriations law. I guess my question to you is 12 I'm asking under what circumstances would it ever be 13 permissible for federal agency to pay for paper cups 14 or coffee cups for use by federal employees at the 15 work site? A. In the work that I do I cannot think of any. 16 17 Q. Okay. So, I'm going to show you what will be marked for identification as Union Exhibit 17. 18 19 THE ARBITRATOR: Do you -- since he couldn't 20 identify 16, do you want to withdraw that? 21 MR. HIRN: Yes, yes. 22 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. U 16 is withdrawn.

MR. HIRN: I'm sorry, I don't want to stand over 1 the witness and ask him something. 2 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. BY MR. HIRN: O. And do I understand your position that in 6 order for, in order to -- the Weather Service employees would have to bring coffee cups and plates 7 from home to work, correct? 8 9 A. I would assume if they're using it for 10 personal use, yes. O. Okay. To show what will be marked as Exhibit 11 12 17. Do you remember seeing this picture any time 13 before? 14 A. Yes. 15 (The document referred to was marked for identification as Union Exhibit Number 17.) 16 17 BY MR. HIRN: 18 O. Is it your testimony that the Secretary of 19 Defense and the Secretary of State brought these

paper cups and coffee cups from home?

MS. SMITH: Objection. Objection.

THE ARBITRATOR: Cross examination.

20

21

22

1 Overruled. BY MR. HIRN: O. Or did the White House violate federal appropriations law by supplying --A. You're making an assumption that the White 6 House actually used appropriated funds to buy them. 7 O. So --A. There are, in the DOD and other areas, what's 8 9 called a morale and welfare fund, that they use to purchase things like refreshments and those sort of 10 things, that are outside of the standard 11 12 appropriation. O. But this is the situation room of the White 13 14 House, is it not? 15 A. But they also have morale and welfare fund that they can use, so I have no idea where these 16 17 funds are used or where they came from. 18 Q. I have no further questions. 19 THE ARBITRATOR: Any re-direct?

into evidence or no?

Yes.

MS. SMITH:

THE ARBITRATOR: Do you want to submit this

2.0

21

22

- 1 MR. HIRN: I was just trying to make a point.
- 2 THE ARBITRATOR: Do you want to withdraw it?
- 3 MR. HIRN: Sure.
- 4 THE ARBITRATOR: U 17 is also withdrawn. Did
- 5 you hear me counsel? He withdrew U 17.
- 6 MS. SMITH: Okay. That's good.
- 7 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION:
- 8 BY MS. SMITH:
- 9 Q. So, Mr. Longenecker, I've got just a few
- 10 clarifying questions. On cross examination, Mr. Hirn
- 11 asked you about 5 US C 7901. What's your
- 12 understanding of that statute?
- 13 A. I do not know the statute by number, but it's
- just what he said, it was the health services statute
- that allows federal government to provide health
- 16 services to federal employees in metropolitan areas
- such as this and other places where there is a vast
- 18 groupings.
- 19 Q. So, what kind of health services does it
- 20 authorize?
- 21 A. It's basically --
- Q. Understanding.

1 A. You know, there's usually a nurse available 2 to deal with immediate needs if necessary, will do periodic physicals, it will do flu shots, those kinds of things necessary for ensuring the welfare of the employees. 6 Q. Now, on direct you also talked about a conversation with -- I'll withdraw that question. Now, in terms of sick leave, what's your 8 9 understanding of how an agency budgets for sick leave? 10 11 A. How an agency budgets for sick leave? 12 Q. Yes. Or does an agency budget for sick leave? 13 14 A. It is not written in the budget per se, no. Q. Okay. So, what's the understanding when you 15 16 created a budget about sick leave, or employees using 17 sick leave? 18 A. You create a budget based on FTE account, 19 which is a federal -- full-time employment, and 20 essentially each position may not work the full 80 21 hours, but you have to have so much coverage, and 22 it's based on the dollar amounts required to ensure

that your mission is being accomplished within that 1 2 limits, so, whether it's family medical leave, whether it's sick leave, whether it's some other thing, you have to have a body to ensure the mission is getting accomplished. 6 Q. Okay. So the idea of an FTE encompasses sick 7 leave and annual leave and temporary unavailability of an employee? 8 9 A. Correct. 10 Q. In terms of the budget control act, where 11 does sequestration fall into that, what was the 12 process? 13 A. Well, the budget control act basically put a 14 ceiling authorized for discretionary spending across 15 the government, which required also periodic cuts to everybody's budget. There were different 16 17 interpretations as to what -- whether it had to be an across-the-board cut, whether it had to be cuts 18 19 dictated by the agency --

sequestration, right? Or this was sequestration?

THE ARBITRATOR: This was before

THE WITNESS: This was sequestration.

2.0

21

22

1 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. BY MS. SMITH: 2 Q. So, when you say sequestration, that was a mandatory budget cut that Congress put into the law and the budget control act? 6 A. Correct. Q. And it mandated five percent of each account, is that right? 8 9 A. Correct. 10 Q. How many accounts does the Weather Service have? 11 12 A. Oy vey. I believe it's about 16. THE ARBITRATOR: Accounts is what you're 13 14 saying? 16 accounts? 15 BY MS. SMITH: Q. So, can you just explain --16 17 A. You probably know better than I do. 18 THE ARBITRATOR: You mean on your PNF? THE WITNESS: PPA structure --19 2.0 THE ARBITRATOR: You mean on the PNL, is that 21 what you're talking about? 22 THE WITNESS: Well, there are programs, plans

- 1 and activities, each one of them is --
- 2 MR. HIRN: These are the lines in the --
- 3 THE WITNESS: Lines in the --
- 4 MR. HIRN: NOAA appropriations.
- 5 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay.
- 6 MR. HIRN: That the Commerce Department
- 7 appropriates.
- 8 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good.
- 9 BY MS. SMITH:
- 10 Q. And pursuant to sequestration, NOAA was
- 11 required to cut five percent of each of those
- 12 accounts, is that right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Hirn asked you about your
- time at sea. Were you provided food when you were on
- 16 a vessel?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And why are you provided food?
- A. Because there were no other options available
- to the employee. There was no McDonald's, you know,
- 21 you couldn't pull the ship over every day to -- or
- 22 during lunch breaks, whatever, so --

Q. So there was no option available to get food? 1 2 A. Correct. Q. There was no option available to get a paper 4 plate? A. Correct. 6 Q. There was no option available to get a paper 7 cup, there was no option available to get utensils, is that right? 8 9 A. Correct. O. Is that the same for Weather Service 10 11 employees? Do they have options to purchase paper 12 plates, cups and utensils? 13 A. They do. They're all land-based. 14 Q. Now, you talked about a bona fide need, when you were talking about the use of appropriated funds. 15 Can you tell us more about that? 16 17 A. Bona fide need is a concept in appropriation law that basically says that anything you do must 18 19 have a need that fits within the timeframe that 2.0 appropriation is good for, and the intent to which 21 Congress gave you the funds for. 22 Q. So, to your knowledge, did Congress intend to

- give Weather Service employees personal plates, cups
- 2 and utensils?
- 3 MR. HIRN: Objection.
- 4 MS. SMITH: I said to his knowledge.
- 5 MR. HIRN: The intent of --
- 6 THE ARBITRATOR: Unless he knows legislative
- 7 history, he's not going to know what the intent of
- 8 Congress is.
- 9 Sustained.
- 10 BY MS. SMITH:
- 11 Q. Is it your responsibility to ensure that
- 12 funds are -- appropriated funds are spent in
- 13 accordance with Congressional intent?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 O. So, to your --
- 16 A. As the CFO it was.
- Q. So, as acting CFO it was your job to make
- 18 sure that appropriated funds were spent in accordance
- 19 with Congressional intent, is that right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 O. To your knowledge, was it the Congressional
- intent to provide paper plates, cups, utensils, or

- 1 napkins --2 THE ARBITRATOR: I'm going to -- how does he 3 know -- you haven't laid a foundation as to how he knows what Congressional intent is. MS. SMITH: If this was his job to --5 6 THE ARBITRATOR: But you still haven't laid a 7 foundation. I don't know whether he's looking at a document, he gets a document that says this is the 8 9 Congressional intent --10 MS. SMITH: Okay. Okay. BY MS. SMITH: 11 12 Q. So how -- when you were acting CFO how did you know what the Congressional intent was for 13
- 14 appropriated funds for the Weather Service? 15 A. So, NOAA submits a budget to Congress, with a desire to say, we need X number of dollars to perform 16 17 the following things, and for the following purposes. Congress then will either approve that or will give 18 19 you a version of their own with documentation that 2.0 will tell you what these funds are to be used for? 21 O. And how long have you been involved in the 22 budget process with the federal government?

- 1 A. Probably over 12 years of my 30 year career.
- Q. And so, just give us an overview of what the budget process is for a federal agency.

A. So there's basically a two year budgeting process that every agency goes through. That you go 6 through, not only your agency, developing the budget, then it goes through department review, then it goes on to OMB before it's submitted to Congress, and each 8 9 one of those steps will alter the budget if you will, 10 so to speak, to ensure that it continues to meet with 11 the bigger picture of the administration, so that 12 then is submitted to Congress as the President's budget, as the administration's budget, and those 13 14 budgets are then reviewed by committees on the Hill, and would then be altered or blessed, which I have 15 not seen a President's budget blessed without 16 alteration yet, but -- and then those are returned to 17 us through what's called appropriations, or what's 18 19 called an appropriations bill, either through a 20 continuing resolution, which is what we're currently 21 under or a full budget.

O. And what's your role in that process? As an

22

1 acting CFO, what was your role in that process? 2 A. As the acting CFO, it was my responsibility to develop the budget, through the planning process and then also to execute the budget to which we received from Congress, so it was an end to end kind 6 of process. Q. So based on your knowledge of the budget process, budget procedures, in your capacity as 8 9 acting CFO did you believe that providing these items 10 was appropriate? 11 A. No, I did not. That's why I sent the memo 12 out. 13 MS. SMITH: No more questions. 14 THE ARBITRATOR: Anything further? 15 MR. HIRN: Yes. **RE-CROSS EXAMINATION:** 16 17 BY MR. HIRN: 18 O. John, other than large recurrence, like 19 weather satellites, or radar systems, Congress 20 doesn't specifically authorize individual purchases 21 of things that the Agency uses on a daily basis --22 you get the video conferencing, the tables we're

1 using, the chairs, the rugs, the map on the wall, does it? 2 A. To a certain extent, yes, they do. Q. We're going to find this somewhere in --4 A. You will see under GAO determination that, 5 6 yes, in order to manage your program, certain items are to be expected to be purchased, other things are 8 not. 9 Q. Where do we see this? A. I've seen GAO determinations on numerous 10 11 things. 12 Q. You mean, when you say -- what is a GAO determination? 13 14 A. Well, when you look at a --Q. You mean the Comptroller General decisions, 15 is that what you mean? 16 17 A. Yes. 18 O. Yes. No, but Congress didn't -- we won't go to 2000 -- FY 2013 Congress appropriations act and 19 20 Congress didn't say the Weather Service can buy, you 21 know, 14 radiosonde -- 4000 radiosondes, or the 22 Weather Service can buy carpeting, or the Weather

Service can buy light-bulbs, or the Weather Service 1 2 can buy toilet paper, it can't buy toilet paper -those kinds of individual items that agencies purchase on a day to day basis, that's not anywhere in the appropriations law, appropriations bill or the 6 report accompanying it, is it? A. There is -- I'm trying to think what it says in the appropriations law, but yes, there is some 8 9 degree of understanding that each program will need to have certain things procured under it -- others --10 11 THE ARBITRATOR: Well, let's say, like the 12 Congressional -- wherever this understanding is might 13 just say office supplies, for example? I mean, isn't 14 it correct that you've got discretion to purchase things you need to run this agency? 15 There is, and it says it in 16 THE WITNESS: 17 appropriation law, it defines what discretion is, and limits as to what that discretion is and the 18 19 appropriations given for. 2.0 THE ARBITRATOR: What does it say? 21 THE WITNESS: I don't have it in front of me, 22 I can't read it --

- 1 THE ARBITRATOR: How is it limited?
- 2 THE WITNESS: Well, it basically states that
- 3 if I give you money for a radar, I'm not going to
- 4 expect you to buying carpet for the floor, or
- 5 anything else based on, you know, what the intent to
- 6 which the funds were given. Now, if I give you money
- 7 to basically operate the headquarters type function,
- 8 then yes, you would be expected to buy computers,
- 9 desks, carpeting, those kinds of things, and that is
- in the appropriation law section.
- 11 BY MR. HIRN:
- Q. There's nothing in the appropriations act --
- 13 2013 appropriations act that says you can buy toilet
- 14 paper, correct?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Or paper towels?
- 17 A. I mean, there's never going to be that detail
- in there.
- 19 Q. Okay. That's --
- 20 A. But it does clearly state and you know, it
- 21 even says in the Congress acquisition manual, that
- there are certain things, personal use items are one,

1 that are not authorized within the appropriation law. 2 Q. Is there anywhere that you can show me in any 3 statute, in any legislative history, in any Comptroller General decision, in any federal regulation, that says you can't buy disposable paper 5 6 plates, cups, napkins and plastic utensils? 7 A. Yes, by definition of bona fide need, yes. O. No, no. Is there anything that says those 8 9 items? 10 MS. SMITH: I'm going to object to the arguing with the witness. 11 THE ARBITRATOR: Well, this is cross 12 13 examination. He's got latitude and frankly it's not 14 clear to me why he decided what he did, so I'm going 15 to allow it. 16 BY MR. HIRN: 17 Q. Is there anything we can look at where it 18 says you can't -- anything other than this general 19 you're not supposed to -- you say you can't buy 20 personal items, okay, from a 1924 Comptroller General 21 decision, that says you cannot buy paper plates, 22 paper cups, napkins, tissues, plastic flatware?

- 1 A. Yes. Within the bona fide need definition.
- 2 The Weather Service does not have a bona fide need to
- 3 buy those items.
- 4 Q. That's your opinion.
- 5 A. No it's not my opinion, that is --
- 6 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Stop. Everybody. He
- 7 keeps referring to a bona fide need -- is this in a
- 8 document somewhere?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, it's in the appropriation
- 10 law and it's also in the red book.
- 11 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Do you have the
- 12 document here?
- 13 MS. SMITH: I have a summary of what it says,
- 14 the concept -- do you want me to read it? I mean,
- 15 this is my notes.
- 16 THE WITNESS: The point is --
- 17 THE ARBITRATOR: No, let me see it. The point
- 18 is, quiet.
- 19 MS. SMITH: The first paragraph refers to the
- 20 concept insights --
- 21 MR. HIRN: I don't know what you're looking
- 22 at --

- 1 THE ARBITRATOR: You will after I look at it.
- 2 Everybody quiet. Okay. For example, you are showing
- 3 me something from --
- 4 MS. SMITH: That's what I said earlier -- I
- 5 said --
- 6 THE ARBITRATOR: No, you said it was a
- 7 summary, I thought it was an Agency summary --
- 8 MS. SMITH: No, these are my notes.
- 9 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay, please. Quiet, quiet.
- 10 Is there a document in this building that defines
- 11 this bona fide need?
- 12 MS. SMITH: I'm sure that every administrative
- office has a red book.
- 14 THE ARBITRATOR: Is your office in this
- 15 building?
- 16 THE WITNESS: No, it's not.
- 17 THE ARBITRATOR: An administrative office has
- 18 the red book. Is there someone we can look up and --
- 19 MS. SMITH: We can get it from someone in the
- 20 building, I'm sure.
- 21 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes, let's take a five minute
- 22 break. I want to see this bona fide --

- 1 MS. SMITH: Well, the whole thing --
- 2 THE ARBITRATOR: I just want to see the
- definition of bona fide that this witness keeps
- 4 referencing.
- 5 MR. HIRN: Well, I would suggest that a bona
- fide need is a long common law case --
- 7 MS. SMITH: Yes.
- 8 THE ARBITRATOR: It probably is, but I --
- 9 everybody's referring to this bona fide, and I don't
- 10 know what the hell he's talking about, where it comes
- 11 from. If it comes from the red book, I'm ready to
- look at Chairman Mao, my God. You know, usually,
- 13 when people are going to testify to documents, you
- bring in an exemplar of the document, you know,
- you're all referring to documents and nobody has
- 16 thought to bring in a document that he's relying --
- that he apparently is saying he didn't interpret and
- 18 he looked at a document.
- I need to see what he's talking about.
- 20 MS. SMITH: I don't mean to --
- 21 THE ARBITRATOR: Fine. Don't bring it in.
- MS. CIOFFALO: No, no, we can get it. Judge,

I think the witness testified that based on his 1 2 knowledge of appropriation law and, you know, consulted the red book, that that was the decision that he made, but I mean perhaps, if you want to go --6 THE ARBITRATOR: But he's just told counsel 7 here, yes, it's defined, because what is bona fide is defined, and he looked at that, so I want to see what 8 9 the hell he's talking about. 10 (Off the record.) THE ARBITRATOR: This is what I am confused 11 12 about and I will put it out for counsel, and if you guys can't clear the record up for me, then maybe I 13 14 should ask my own questions, but I have here an e-mail from an agent of the Agency, who was saying 15 16 that, as I understand your testimony, you are -- you 17 are the expert for the Agency on appropriations, you deal with the appropriations all the time. 18 19 You looked at the appropriations law, you looked at the red book, is that correct, and you 20 21 determined that the payment for these items that are 22 at issue before me are -- the paper goods and things

1 like that -- were deemed personal furnishings, 2 correct? THE WITNESS: The way that they were described, the use to me, yes. THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. And we had been 6 talking about bona fide, is bona fide personal belongings, or something, or is there bona fide purpose -- how is bona fide coming up in this? 8 9 THE WITNESS: So, bona fide need, basically 10 attaches to the purpose to which you're obligating 11 the funds. In other words, there has to be a true 12 bona fide need for using Congressional appropriated 13 funds, and it has to meet the intent or the purpose 14 to which --THE ARBITRATOR: Let me ask you this. What 15 16 was your thought process? How did you conclude, 17 looking at these items, that these items violated --18 the purchase of these items by the Agency in 19 accordance with this MOU, violated federal law. Give 20 me your thought process. 21 THE WITNESS: So, my determination was not 22 based on knowledge of the MOU, my determination was

based on the description that was given to me as to 1 2 how these items were being used, which was for personal nature, in other words it was for an individual to go into a break-room, grab a plate, put a sandwich on it, and use that for its own --6 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Now, when you say that 7 the item was being -- it was presented to you as it was being used for a personal nature. Did someone 8 9 give this to you in a memo, was it verbally? 10 THE WITNESS: This was through a phone call. 11 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. And who was it who 12 called you? 13 THE WITNESS: It was during a regional 14 director's call, and I do not know which regional director raised the --15 THE ARBITRATOR: So one of the regional 16 17 directors said, what about paper goods that employees are using at the various offices for their lunches, 18 19 and they're going in and using the paper goods -- did 20 he use the word personal? 21 THE WITNESS: So, as each one of these 22 regional directors was required to take the

appropriation law training class, they were all 1 2 coming back and throwing all kinds of things at me, to say, hey, I don't think this is right, I don't think that's right. So, one of them raised the fact 5 that, hey, do you know we're buying paper plates and 6 utensils and stuff for our break-room, and I'm like, no, I didn't know that. THE ARBITRATOR: 8 Okay. 9 THE WITNESS: And I said, well, what are they 10 being procured for, and they said, well, so people 11 can use them for their lunches and whatever else, and 12 I said, well that's of a personal nature, that's not for benefit of --13 14 THE ARBITRATOR: And why did you -- why did you conclude that? What did you base that conclusion 15 16 on, that it was something that was personal? 17 THE WITNESS: I mean, I based it on just the 18 understanding of a plate with a sandwich on it is not 19 benefitting myself or anybody else in that office --20 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. So you just -- it was 21 your own thinking, it wasn't, gee I looked at a red 22 book and I saw the word personal defined somewhere.

1 It was your own thought process. 2 THE WITNESS: I mean, I understood from my own appropriation law training and knowledge of the red book and things, that there is the definition of a personal use type item, and they do define things --6 THE ARBITRATOR: Where is that defined? Can we -- is there somewhere where that is defined, because you concluded that, and I'm -- and you're 8 9 basing it on your knowledge of appropriation law, and 10 I'm trying to find out if it's somewhere written down. 11 12 THE WITNESS: It is, and I'm used to using the 13 electronic version where I can search on things, but 14 here it says, personal expense and furnishings. 15 THE ARBITRATOR: See, now I know I'm older 16 than you are. I was taught at law school, I learned 17 how to research by books, and I had a tough time 18 trying to convert it to computer, and you're just the 19 opposite. 2.0 THE WITNESS: Items that are classified as 21 personal expenses or personal furnishings may not be 22 purchased with appropriated funds without specific

1	statutory authority.
2	THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. So, let the record
3	show that he is citing from what we have been
4	referring to as the red book, principles of federal
5	appropriation law, the red book, published by
6	Government Training, Inc., and you are referencing
7	section 13 of let's see if it's a particular
8	chapter four, availability of appropriations
9	purchase and and for the record, the red book
10	says, items that are classified as personal expenses
11	or personal furnishings may not be purchased with
12	appropriated funds without specific statutory
13	authority.
14	Most of the cases tend to involve government
15	employees, the theory being simply that there are
16	certain things an employee is expected to provide for
17	him paren her end parens self. A prime example is
18	food covered in detail previously in this chapter.
19	The rule on personal expenses and furnishings was
20	stated as follows in three Com Gen 433 1924, personal
21	furnishings are not authorized as to be purchased
22	under appropriations, in the absence of specific

provisions therefore contained in such appropriations 1 2 or such acts if such furnishings are for the personal convenience, comfort or protection of such employees, or as such to be reasonably required as part of the usual and necessary equipment for the work on which 6 they are engaged or for which they are employed. This decision is still cited frequently, and the rule is applied in many contexts. Of course, 8 9 over the years, exceptions have evolved, both 10 statutory and non-statutory. The remainder of this 11 section explores several categories of personal 12 expenses. And then the next -- that was the A 13 14 introduction. So, B we have business or calling cards, C we have health, medical care and treatment, 15 16 let's see if there's anything else, and under health 17 medical care and treatment, we have purchase of health-related items, rehabilitation act is cited, 18 19 office furnishings are cited. So, what was it -- and E personal 20 21 qualification expenses. F, photographs. G, seasonal 22 greeting cards, I, wearing apparel. Did you consult

this section, is this where you got this citation to 1 2 the 1924 case from this training, this red book? THE WITNESS: I got it from the red book, whether it was that particular chapter, or -- I'm not 4 sure if that's the same citation -- I don't know 6 which -- I got the e-mail from. THE ARBITRATOR: Well, it's the same -- it is the same citation to the 1924 opinion. Is there any 8 9 other section of the red book you would have 10 consulted other than personal expenses or furnishings? 11 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. Appropriateness of 13 expenditures which is also --14 THE ARBITRATOR: Do you want to find that 15 thing? MS. HIRN: Ms. Schick, while John is looking 16 17 at that thing, may I interject, and maybe I don't need to, but I will anyway, that the section you read 18 19 is sort of out of context, it needs to considered 2.0 within the whole body of the case decided later on, 21 and we will be discussing many cases cited in that 22 Chapter five --

- 1 THE ARBITRATOR: I'm just trying -- I'm not
- even there yet. I'm just trying to get what he
- 3 consulted. You know, I'm still at baby steps.
- 4 MS. HIRN: I just wanted to make sure that
- 5 this is not the final word.
- 6 THE ARBITRATOR: It's -- from what I see, this
- 7 is a training book.
- 8 MR. HIRN: Well, I would say that the red
- 9 book, known as the red book, is regarded as an
- 10 authoritative federal --
- 11 THE WITNESS: The red book is actually
- 12 published by --
- 13 THE ARBITRATOR: You both stipulate this is an
- 14 authoritative piece, right.
- 15 MS. SMITH: Oh, yes.
- 16 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay.
- 17 MS. CIOFFALO: Can we enter this into
- 18 evidence or you can take judicial notice of it, the
- online version, or something like that --
- 20 THE ARBITRATOR: Wonderful. Show me where I
- 21 can get it on line, then.
- 22 MS. CIOFFALO: We'll submit something after

with the address --1 THE ARBITRATOR: Wonderful. I'm just trying 2 to --MR. HIRN: We'll find it cited by the federal 4 5 courts. 6 THE ARBITRATOR: Great. I'm just trying to 7 find out what he consulted. He concluded it was personal, I'm trying to get to the thought process 8 9 and conclusion. I'm trying to figure that out. 10 THE WITNESS: So, based on the facts that we, 11 or I determined that, in my mind, this was a personal 12 use expenditure, and looking at --THE ARBITRATOR: But before you get there, I'm 13 14 trying to get to what you looked at to make that 15 determination. So we looked at the personal 16 furnishings, you say I may have looked at that, I may 17 have looked at, I'm going to find another section --18 THE WITNESS: So, what I'm saying, is based on 19 the purpose to which the appropriation was given, 2.0 which is what he was trying to get at with purposes 21 and things --22 THE ARBITRATOR: And what was the purpose of

1 the appropriation? 2 THE WITNESS: The purpose of the appropriation is for conducting a Weather Service mission --THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. THE WITNESS: And, to my knowledge, the 5 6 Weather Service mission does not include providing paper plates to employees, and that's what the determination which I made, but in here it states 8 9 that basically --10 MS. SMITH: Can you tell us what page you're 11 on? 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, this is 4-6, availability of appropriations appropriateness --13 14 THE ARBITRATOR: You're citing available appropriations purchase, A, general principles, 15 16 introduction, 31 US C section 1301 paren A, end 17 paren. 18 THE WITNESS: So, simply stated, 31 US C 1301 19 A states that appropriated funds may be used only for 20 the purpose or purposes for which they were 21 appropriated, and prohibits charging authorized items 22 to the wrong appropriation and unauthorized items to

any appropriation. So, my determination -- my 1 2 understanding of what a personal use item was, it was an unauthorized use of appropriated --THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Before you even get to 4 that, your -- that's what I'm trying to get at. 5 6 -- where did you find -- what was your understanding 7 of a personal use item, and where did you get that understanding? 8 9 THE WITNESS: So, reading the Comptroller's 10 determination, a personal use item is something that 11 basically benefits the employee, not the Agency. 12 THE ARBITRATOR: So, you're saying, reading -you -- as I understand it, you went to the red book, 13 correct? And --14 THE WITNESS: Correct. The electronic version 15 of the red book has links, if you go to that -- you 16 17 hit the link, and --18 THE ARBITRATOR: Right. And somewhere you saw 19 this 1924 citation. 2.0 THE WITNESS: Correct.

THE ARBITRATOR: And you read that Comptroller

General's decision.

21

22

- 1 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 2 THE ARBITRATOR: And based on your reading of
- 3 that decision you determined these were personal use
- 4 items.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Based on the way it was
- 6 described to me as to how they were being used, yes.
- 7 THE ARBITRATOR: Based on how they were
- 8 described to you and your reading of this 1924
- 9 decision, you reached a conclusion these were
- 10 personal use.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- 12 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. That's what I was
- 13 trying to get to.
- 14 THE WITNESS: And I mean, based on -- my
- determination was also based on the fact that we just
- 16 completed this investigation which found a lot of
- misuse and inappropriate use of funds within the
- 18 Weather Service --
- 19 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay.
- 20 THE WITNESS: So, my actions were quick and
- 21 precise to ensure that, you know, protecting the
- 22 employees that were potentially liable for making

- these procurements, as well as ensuring that we were
- 2 continuously violating the law as I understood it.
- Now, you know, at any time, we could have reversed
- 4 that action, but since then we have determined that
- 5 the decision was valid.
- 6 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. And the section I had
- 7 just cited to you, where I was going through the
- 8 explanations --
- 9 THE WITNESS: That's in here.
- 10 THE WABITRATOR: Okay. Personal things were
- 11 -- okay. The section 13 of Chapter four in volume
- one of the red book. Do you recall if you reviewed
- that section, section 13?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 15 THE ARBITRATOR: You did review it?
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 17 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. And do you recall my
- 18 reading to you, it says that this decision, meaning
- 19 the 1924 decision, is still cited frequently and the
- 20 rules applied in many contexts, of course over the
- 21 years exceptions have evolved, both statutory and
- 22 non-statutory, the remainder of this section explores

1 several categories of personal expense. Do you 2 recall reading that section? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE ABRITRATOR: Did you look at -- did you 5 personally look and see if there were --exceptions 6 have evolved, did you read any of the other decisions other than the one cited here, the 24 decision? THE WITNESS: Yes, but none that would have 8 9 applied to -- I mean, I remember reading something on 10 furniture from an army facility and some other things 11 that were in there, but nothing that would have 12 pertained to what I was trying to determine at this time. 13 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. How did you get to 14 those decisions that you said these just don't apply, 15 the furniture --16 17 THE WITNESS: I think it was through the link to the actual decision which I cited, there were 18 19 other decisions, or, you know, related-type decisions 20 they have --21 THE ARBITRATOR: Were the links cited in the 22 decision or was it part of the page on the web -- the

1 website? See, what happens in the law, sometimes if 2 you have a 1924 decision, you're going to have decisions cited in the 1924 decision that might be from 1917 or something, so what I'm trying to find, were things that you looked at decided after 1924? 5 6 THE WITNESS: I -- you know, I honestly don't 7 remember. THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. All right. So now I 8 9 -- now I understand at least where you got your belief, now I'm clear, okay. Very good. Now I'm 10 11 clear as to where he got his belief as a result of 12 which you issued this e-mail. Okay. Now, as a result of my questions, do you have --13 14 MR. HIRN: I think I'm still in the middle of 15 cross. THE ARBITRATOR: You're still in the middle of 16 17 cross. Okay. Fine. And you are free to ask any 18 questions or questions based on my question. I leave 19 it to you. 20 BY MR. HIRN:

Forecast Office, right?

Q. Okay. John, you've never worked in a

21

22

1 A. Correct. 2 Q. And Mickey Brown has worked in a Forecast Office? A. I do not know that for a fact, but if you say 5 so. 6 Q. Okay. But you know Mickey Brown was the chief negotiator for a number of years for the 7 Weather Service? 8 9 A. I am aware of that, yes. 10 Q. And, you know, Dan worked in the Forecast 11 Office for many years, correct? 12 A. I will take your word on that. 13 Q. Right. And --14 THE ARBITRATOR: Dan's last name for the 15 record is? MR. HIRN: Sobien. 16 17 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. BY MR. HIRN: 18 19 Q. And isn't it true that in September of 2000 20 -- now, you determined that it was not a bona fide 21 need to purchase these disposables? 22 A. Based on the reason to which they were being

- 1 procured that was described to me.
- 2 Q. That some regional director told you over the
- 3 phone.
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Okay. But isn't it true that in September of
- 6 2009, both Mickey, how had many years in the Forecast
- 7 Office, and Dan, who had many previous years in a
- 8 Forecast Office, both authorized, representing
- 9 management and union -- didn't they -- based on their
- 10 experience and knowledge, come to an agreement that
- 11 there was indeed a bona fide need for the purchases
- of these disposables?
- 13 MS. SMITH: Objection. Objection.
- 14 THE ARBITRATOR: Basis?
- MS. SMITH: He's already testified he didn't
- 16 know about the MOU when he made that decision, he's
- 17 testified to that at least four times, first of all.
- 18 THE ARBITRATOR: That's fair.
- 19 MS. SMITH: Second of all, he doesn't know the
- intent of why they entered into the MOU, because he
- 21 wasn't involved.
- 22 THE ARBITRATOR: I think you're making -- but

- 1 I think you're making argument -- I think it's --
- 2 MR. HIRN: Well, so is John -- everything John
- 3 said goes to argument.
- 4 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes, but I think -- you know,
- 5 obviously, it's left to argument -- what you want to
- 6 establish, I think, is these people in the link,
- 7 their experience, and I think the rest is argument
- 8 for your brief. Okay?
- 9 MR. HIRN: Okay. But it was to rebut his
- 10 argument that there was -- that he was not involved.
- 11 Okay.
- 12 MS. CIOFFALO: Can we object for just a quick
- 13 second for a completely unrelated issue? I promise
- I'm not going to argue with you, but I want to.
- 15 MS. SMITH: Do you want to go off the record?
- MS. CIOFFALO: Yes, we can go off the record.
- 17 (Off the record.)
- 18 BY MR. HIRN:
- 19 Q. John, one quick question. Wouldn't paying
- the health club dues of employees be also be a
- 21 personal expense?
- A. The health club dues of the employees?

O. Yes. It was determined that there was a 1 2 health plan or something that authorized that based on a ruling, so, no, that's not a personal expense, if it is part of an overall health management plan of an agency. 6 MR. HIRN: Okay. No further questions. THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Very good. Any 8 re-direct? 9 FURTHER RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION: BY MS. SMITH: 10 11 Q. I just want to clarify. I believe during the 12 Arbitrator's questions, there was a question about did you rely only on the 1924 decision that you cited 13 14 in your e-mail. 15 Did you rely on any other decisions? A. Based on what I reviewed off of that 1924, I 16 don't know if I would have --17 18 Q. But you consulted other decisions besides the 19 1924 decision, right? 2.0 THE ARBITRATOR: But his testimony was that 21 the other decisions weren't relevant, for example, 22 they were for furniture, and he didn't know what year

- 1 they were even issued, whether they were cited in the
- 2 1924 decision, or whether it was a link that was on a
- 3 web page that he was looking at in the red book.
- 4 MS. SMITH: But he consulted other decisions
- 5 beside the 1924 decision.
- 6 MR. HIRN: But he said he didn't.
- 7 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes, but -- no, he testified
- 8 that he consulted others, we're not sure whether they
- 9 were cases cited in the 1924 decision, or whether it
- 10 was a link on the web page. He didn't remember.
- 11 MR. HIRN: But he said that they weren't
- 12 relevant.
- 13 THE ARBITRATOR: He said that they didn't seem
- 14 to be relevant. One was about furniture, for
- example, and that didn't seem to be relevant.
- 16 BY MS. SMITH:
- Q. But right now, you don't recall every single
- 18 case that you reviewed before you made this
- 19 memorandum?
- 20 A. No. As I stated, the majority of my decision
- 21 process was in the way -- from the information in
- which it was presented to me and the way in which

these items were being procured, and frankly, I 1 2 disagree with what Richard was saying in the sense 3 that the regional directors had probably even more experience than Dan or Mickey in regards to how those 4 WFO's are operating, so, for having a regional 5 6 director raise that concern to me stresses that this 7 is a concern. O. Okay. So, when you found out about the MOU, 8 9 did that change your decision? A. When I found out about the MOU? 10 11 O. Yes. 12 A. It did not change my decision. I questioned whether or not I was still in the right to have that. 13 14 THE ARBITRATOR: And it was at that point that you consulted counsel? 15 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. And as a result of your 17 consult with counsel, you stuck with your decision. 18 THE WITNESS: Correct. 19 MS. SMITH: Okay. 2.0 FURTHER RE-CROSS EXAMINATION: BY MR. HIRN: 21 22 Q. John, you said that you didn't recall which

regional director raised the issue about the 1 2 disposables, how can you say that they had more experience than Dan or Mickey? A. Well, I think that by definition, the regional directors all are the senior people in the 6 regions that -- regardless which one it was, each one of them has been with the Agency for quite a long time and all -- each one of them, to my knowledge, 8 9 has worked their way up through WFO's and, you 10 know --11 MS. SMITH: Okay. I have nothing further. 12 THE ARBITRATOR: Thank you very much for your 13 testimony, as difficult as it was dealing with me. 14 But I was confused. This is something that you deal with all the time, and I'm a novice, you know, at 15 this, and so, it's helpful to me if sometimes I have 16 17 to take a bull by its horns and, you know, take it 18 step by step, and I get my question answered. You 19 may discuss your testimony with both of your 20 attorneys; you may not discuss it with any other 21 witness. 22 All right? If counsel will let you go, you

- 1 may go.
- 2 MS. SMITH: Feel free to go.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 4 THE ARBITRATOR: I think what I would like to
- 5 do, and I'm happy to make the red book an
- 6 Arbitrator's exhibit. I will not require that the
- 7 book be admitted, but I will ask the counsel for the
- 8 Agency to direct me to the citation on the internet,
- 9 and we will make the internet production of the red
- 10 book Arbitrator's 1, okay? And I will admit that
- 11 into evidence.
- 12 (The document referred to was marked for
- 13 identification and received into evidence as
- 14 Arbitrator's Exhibit Number 1.)
- 15 MR. HIRN: You can find that, just google GAO
- 16 red book.
- 17 THE ARBITRATOR: GAO red book.
- 18 MS. SMITH: I could even text it to you.
- 19 THE ARBITRATOR: Great. Email me. I don't
- 20 have text, then I'll never get it, cause I'll --
- it'll be there for months, and I'll say, oh, someone
- sent me a message. Okay, and so that is Arbitrator's

- 1 1.
- 2 MS. SMITH: Are we off the record?
- 3 THE ARBITRATOR: Now we're off the record.
- 4 (Off the record.)
- 5 THE ARBITRATOR: All right. The witness has
- 6 suddenly left the room. Could somebody explain this
- 7 to me, please.
- 8 MS. SMITH: The parties have entered into a
- 9 stipulation, and agreed to stipulate that MWSEO did
- 10 submit any proposals after -- I'm sorry. NWSEO did
- 11 not submit any proposals for post-implementation
- bargaining after the grievance they filed. Or,
- actually, after Mr. Longenecker's March 22, 2013
- 14 e-mail.
- 15 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. So stipulated?
- 16 MS. CIOFFALO: Or after the Agency --
- 17 MR. HIRN: Yes. But I don't know why I'm
- 18 stipulating to it. I think the theory is we should
- have bargained over a repudiated agreement, and we
- 20 don't see any need to --
- 21 MS. SMITH: It's a fact that we're just trying
- 22 to --

- 1 THE ARBITRATOR: I'm not -- right --
- 2 MS. CIOFFALO: In its post-implementation
- 3 bargaining when you have to stop an illegal practice
- 4 or contractual provision --
- 5 MR. HIRN: If it was illegal, fine. Then we
- 6 would -- we waived our rights then, right.
- 7 MS. CIOFFALO: That's all we --
- 8 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Very good.
- 9 MS. CIOFFALO: They waived their rights, all
- 10 right.
- 11 THE ARBITRATOR: Stipulation is -- stipulation
- 12 is --
- 13 MR. HIRN: -- accepted, it's over something
- that's not covered by the agreement so we have a
- right to mid-term bargain over health and safety
- 16 matters at any time. To the extent not covered by
- 17 the agreement.
- 18 THE ARBITRATOR: All right. We're still on
- 19 the Agency's case. Do you have any other witnesses?
- 20 MS. SMITH: We have another witness who's on
- 21 her way down, so if you want to go off the record
- 22 until she comes.

- 1 THE ARBITRATOR: Fine. We're off the record.
- 2 (Off the record.)
- 3 THE ARBITRATOR: All right. We're back on the
- 4 record.
- 5 May I have your name, please?
- 6 THE WITNESS: Hi, my name is Laura Furgione.
- 7 THE ARBITRATOR: Ms. Furgione, my name is
- 8 Mariann Schick. I'm the arbitrator that the parties
- 9 have selected to decide this dispute between them.
- 10 You are being called as a witness on behalf of the
- 11 Agency, and Agency counsel will ask you some
- 12 questions, and then Union counsel will have some
- questions for you, and they're going to go back and
- forth until they've had all their questions answered.
- 15 I may have a few questions for you at the end. If,
- 16 while Agency counsel is questioning you, if counsel
- for the Union has some objection, and he says
- 18 objection, would you please stop testifying, let me
- 19 rule on the objection.
- If I sustain the objection, I'm not going to
- 21 permit you to continue on that particular point. But
- if overrule it, I will. Okay? Do you have any

objection to taking an oath? 1 2 THE WITNESS: No. THE ARBITRATOR: Would you raise your right hand? Whereupon, 6 LAURA FURGIONE called as a witness, and having been duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and testified, as follows: 8 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION: 10 BY MS. SMITH: 11 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Furgione, how are you? 12 A. I'm fine, thanks. Q. Can you please state and spell your name for 13 14 the record? 15 A. Sure. Laura K. Furgione, L-A-U-R-A K F-U-R-G-I-O-N-E. 16 17 Q. Are you currently employed? A. I am. 18 19 Q. Where are you employed? 2.0 A. Right here. 21 Q. What is your position? 22 A. I'm the deputy director the Weather Service.

1	Q. How long have you been in that position?
2	A. I started July 2010, so that's three and half
3	years.
4	Q. What's your series and grade level?
5	A. I'm an SES.
6	Q. What is an SES?
7	A. Senior executive service.
8	Q. What are your duties and responsibilities?
9	A. So, as the deputy director of the Weather
10	Service, I act in the director's absence, and I'm
11	also responsible for the mission of the National
12	Weather Service, protection of life and property, our
13	operations across the nation.
14	Q. Did you ever act for the assistant
15	administrator for an extended period of time?
16	A. I did. In between Jack Hayes, and Dr.
17	Uccellini, who's the current director, I was the
18	acting director. That was May 2012 through February
19	2013.
20	Q. So what position did you hold before becoming
21	deputy assistant administrator?
22	A. I was NOAA's assistant administrator for the

1 office of program planning and integration. 2 Q. How long have you been with the Weather Service? A. With the Weather Service, 18 years -- 18 and half years. 6 Q. Other than deputy assistant administrator, what positions have you held? 7 A. So, prior to PPI, I was the Alaskan region 8 9 director, and prior to that, the Alaskan region 10 deputy director, the meteorologist in charge for the Weather Forecast Office in Juno, Alaska, the warning 11 12 forecast meteorologist for Morehead City, North Carolina, trying to go backwards in my career. 13 14 Aviation meteorologist at the Alaska aviation weather unit, intern WFO Fairbanks, Weather Forecast Office 15 in Fairbanks, intern at Weather Forecast Office in 16 17 Kodiak, Alaska, and then an intern in Honolulu, 18 Hawaii, all corners except for Caribou. 19 Q. Okay. Can you please explain the structure 20 of the Weather Service? 21 A. Sure. The Weather Service -- it's easy to 22 describe the structure by looking at basically the 16

1 executive members, so when I say 16, I talk about 2 six, six and four. Six regional directors, six office directors, here in headquarters, and then the AA, the DAA, the CFO and then the incept director, that's the National Center for Environmental 6 Prediction, basically doing our modeling and central 7 forecast quidance. THE ARBITRATOR: What does AA stand for and 8 9 DAA stand for? 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, I apologize. So, the 11 director of the Weather Service basically has two 12 titles, one is director of the Weather Service, but the more formal title is assistant administrator for 13 14 weather services, that's the NOAA title that we have, so that's AA, and so I would be NOAA's deputy 15 administrator for weather services. 16 17 THE ARBITRATOR: So, you're the DAA. THE WITNESS: DAA. 18 19 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. 2.0 BY MS. SMITH: 21 O. What are the regional director's duties and 22 responsibilities?

A. So, we have six regional directors, six 1 2 regions, and they're primarily responsible for their region, in their area of responsibility, and that includes the forecast warnings, the operation's facilities, and financial management, so each of 6 those six regional directors are also financial -they have responsibility for their financial management center. 8 9 Q. Do they report to you? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. What role do they play in procurement? 12 A. So, the regional directors, they have -- they can procure items up to a million dollars, and then 13 14 it has to come up Louis or myself -- Dr. Uccellini or myself, and the smaller budget items typically will 15 go down to the budget officer or even the individual 16 17 offices, say a Weather Forecast Office can procure items for their office -- smaller dollar items. 18 19 Q. Okay. So in that Weather Forecast office who 20 would be the person procuring these items? 21 A. Usually someone that has -- if they have a 22 purchase card -- a government purchase card, the

credit card, so almost all of our electronic 1 2 technicians have credit cards to procure items for the maintenance of our observational equipment, as per their duties. The meteorologist in charge often will have a credit card, but not all of them. 6 kind of depends, it varies from office to office. Sometimes that responsibility will be delegated to the administrative support assistant, the ASA's, so 8 9 it really kind of varies per office. O. Are either the electronic technicians or the 10 11 ASA's in the bargaining unit? 12 A. The electronic technicians and the ASA's are members of the bargaining unit. 13 14 Q. So, as act -- well, as deputy assistant administrator, do you interact with the bargaining 15 unit? 16 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And how do you interact with them? 19 A. Well, I -- we interact through Dan Sobien, and most often I'll interact with Steve Pritchard as 20 21 well -- Steve's the representative for the 22 headquarters office here.

Q. And what issues do you interact with them 1 2 regarding? A. It depends on the topic, but obviously change in working conditions, those kinds of issues. been working with Steve most recently on our 6 headquarters reorganization and also on the National Water Center. O. So when you became deputy assistant 8 9 administrator, were you aware of any financial 10 management challenges in the Weather Service? 11 A. Most definitely. 12 Q. And what -- did any of those challenges involve appropriations issues? 13 14 A. Yes. Q. Can you describe those issues? 15 A. So, in August of 2011, I received an OIG 16 17 inquiry, and so that -- that requires me to begin an 18 investigation, and so I initiated an investigation on 19 the allegations of mis-appropriate use of the -- of 20 our appropriations, so, it was a reprogramming that 21 was allegated -- the allegations were regarding a 22 reprogramming. Those -- that initial investigation

ended in November of 2011 and that did support the 1 2 allegations of mis-appropriate use of our appropriated funds, and our CFO was then placed on indefinite administrative leave. O. And were there changes -- did NOAA -- did the 6 Weather Service institute any changes as a result of 7 the investigation. A. Obviously, putting our CFO on administrative 8 9 leave, we didn't actually do that, the NOAA administrator did that, and then they elevated the 10 11 investigation to the department, so it was the 12 department and NOAA that continued a more thorough 13 investigation. The CFO from the National Marine 14 Fisheries, another line office within NOAA, was on 15 detail here then as the acting CFO. Q. So, I'm going to show you a document. 16 17 8, a very famous document right now, it's been marked 18 as Union Exhibit 8. Have you seen that document 19 before? 2.0 A. I have. 21 Q. What is this document? 22 A. So, this is an e-mail from John Longenecker

- 1 to our six office directors and our six regional
- directors, cc'd to the XO's Dennis Staley, myself and
- 3 Louis.
- 4 THE ARBITRATOR: What are XO's?
- 5 THE WITNESS: Those are executive officers.
- 6 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay.
- 7 THE WITNESS: And John is basically -- the
- 8 e-mail is titled personal use items, and John is
- 9 saying that basically, it says here, please ensure
- 10 you communicate with your staff, that the use of
- 11 appropriated funds for personal use items is not
- 12 authorized, so he's telling them they cannot use
- appropriated funds for personal use items.
- 14 BY MS. SMITH:
- Q. When did you first learn about this issue?
- 16 A. Probably a couple days -- I don't know the
- exact date, but a couple of days before this e-mail
- 18 came out.
- 19 Q. And who did you learn about this issue from?
- 20 A. John made me aware of this issue.
- 21 O. And before you had a conversation with John
- 22 about this issue, did you know that Weather Service

1 employees were using appropriated funds for paper 2 plates, plastic cups and utensils? A. I did not. Q. And how did you react when you found out? 5 A. I was surprised. 6 Q. Why were you surprised? A. So, the experience that I have in the Weather Service, particularly coming from a hurricane-prone 8 9 region, Morehead City, when I worked at the Weather 10 Forecast Office in Morehead City, we were only able 11 to use appropriated funds for emergency conditions, 12 so if it was an emergency, we procured like cots and blankets, and those kinds of things, and even water 13 14 bottles, in case the water became non-potable, but otherwise, we knew that we couldn't use those funds 15 16 for personal items. 17 Q. Did you discuss this issue with anyone besides John? 18 19 A. Louis and Dennis Staley, so pretty much just 2.0 the folks in the assistant administrator's office. 21 O. Did you know about an MOU between NWSEO and 22 the Weather Service, relevant to this issue when you

found out about it? 1 2 A. I did not, when we first started discussing it. Q. When did you find out? A. After this message went out. 5 6 Q. Do you know who you found out from? 7 A. It was either Steven Cooper and I know Mickey Brown was part of the e-mail exchange as well. 8 9 Q. And who is Steven Cooper? 10 A. Steven Cooper is our acting regional director 11 in the southern region. 12 Q. So what did -- what was your understanding of that memorandum of understanding, when you learned 13 about it? 14 15 A. It was my understanding that there was an agreement between Mickey and Dan, I believe, that 16 17 then did allow the use of personal -- procurement of 18 personal use items. 19 Q. What happened after Mr. Longenecker sent this 2.0 e-mail? 21 A. So, there was a lot of e-mails going back and 22 forth, people just questioning, and that's really how

it was initiated, folks taking appropriations law 1 2 training, due to this shadowing of our misuse of 3 appropriated funds; the financial matters that we had been dealing with, they started questioning as they would go through the appropriations law course, 6 questioning our actions, because obviously they didn't want to get in trouble for doing something 7 8 wrong. 9 Q. Okay. Do you know if NWSEO was notified 10 about Mr. Longenecker's e-mail? 11 A. I believe they were. 12 O. Were you involved in that? A. No. 13 14 Q. Do you know who was involved in notifying 15 NWSEO? A. I assume it was either David Murray or John. 16 17 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any penalties associated with the unauthorized expenditures of 18 19 appropriated funds? 2.0 A. Yes. 21 O. What are they? 22 A. So, there can be disciplinary action, up to

being removed from federal service, you could also 1 2 have imprisonment. So, pretty serious, and in fact, since we had just experienced our CFO being put on administrative leave, folks were pretty scared, and didn't want to have that same situation -- be put in 6 that same situation. O. So would the Weather Service be responsible for these penalties, or the individuals? 8 9 A. Not necessarily the Weather Service as a 10 whole, or the Weather Service in general, but those 11 individuals, so that's why we have authority --12 spending authority and financial controls in place, so folks do have the authority to spend, and part of 13 14 that authority is the responsibility in making those judgments, so it would be the individual that made 15 16 the purchase or authorized those expenditures. 17 MS. SMITH: I don't have any more questions for this witness. 18 19 CROSS EXAMINATION: 2.0 BY MR. HIRN: 21 O. Laura, you talked about an e-mail exchange 22 from Mickey Brown?

- 1 A. I think so. I mean, so, we got the e-mail, I
- 2 can't remember if it was from Steven Cooper or from
- 3 Mickey Brown.
- 4 Q. And what did it say?
- 5 A. They were just showing -- they were just --
- it was an attachment, here it is, I think it said,
- 7 was an attachment, with the MOU.
- 8 Q. And what did they say about the cancellation
- 9 -- what did this e-mail say about the cancellation of
- the purchasing of the disposables?
- 11 A. I don't think the e-mail said anything -- it
- 12 basically said, here it is, with the -- with the MOU
- 13 attached.
- 0. Here it is --
- 15 A. As in, we had been -- someone brought up the
- 16 point that we believe there's an MOU with NWSEO which
- would be, you know, an appendix, or part of the CBA,
- 18 and then it was either Mickey or Steven that sent the
- 19 actual -- an electronic version of this MOU.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 THE ARBITRATOR: Any re-direct?
- 22 MS. SMITH: I don't have any.

- 1 THE ARBITRATOR: Thank you very much for your
- 2 testimony. You may discuss -- yes, you're done
- already. You may discuss your testimony with Agency
- 4 counsel. Do not discuss it with any other witness.
- 5 Very good. If they release you, you're free to go.
- 6 MS. SMITH: You're free to go.
- 7 (Off the record.)
- 8 THE ARBITRATOR: All right. Anything further
- 9 from the Agency?
- 10 MS. SMITH: We have two documents, that we
- 11 want to take Arbitrator --
- 12 THE ARBITRATOR: Arbitrator notice?
- 13 MS. SMITH: Arbitrator notice of. The first
- is Exhibit I, which is in that document.
- 15 THE ARBITRATOR: Have you shown it to Union
- 16 counsel?
- 17 MS. SMITH: I can show it to him, I'm sorry.
- 18 THE ARBITRATOR: And what's the other one?
- 19 MS. SMITH: It's coming to you. And there was
- 20 discussion earlier -- is there an objection or do I
- 21 need to make a proffer?
- 22 MR. HIRN: I don't care.

- 1 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. So this is --
- 2 MS. SMITH: I --
- 3 MR. HIRN: I think people should present their
- 4 case any way they want to present it.
- 5 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. So, I, and this is HHS
- 6 is what?
- 7 MS. SMITH: That's M.
- 8 THE ARBITRATOR: M.
- 9 MS. SMITH: Yes.
- 10 (The documents referred to were marked for
- identification and received into evidence as Agency
- 12 Exhibit Numbers I and M.)
- 13 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Agency M. We're on
- 14 the record?
- 15 MS. SMITH: Yes.
- 16 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Can you just, for my
- 17 edification, what is the relationship between an HSS
- 18 pandemic influenza plan and the Department of
- 19 Commerce?
- 20 MS. SMITH: So, the pandemic continuity of
- 21 operations plan references this HSS appendix --
- MS. CIOFFALO: Yes, it's the pandemic annex

- 1 references that agencies are to follow guidelines
- 2 within this HSS plan --
- 3 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay.
- 4 MS. CIOFFALO: For the prevention of the
- 5 spreading of disease.
- 6 THE ARBITRATOR: Got it.
- 7 MS. CIOFFALO: So, to the extent that we need
- 8 to refer to that in briefs.
- 9 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good.
- 10 MS. CIOFFALO: And we just found it offline,
- it will be available.
- 12 THE ARBITRATOR: All right. So, we've
- admitted Agency Exhibit A, and B, and E, and J and K
- 14 and M.
- 15 MS. SMITH: I, too.
- 16 THE ARBITRATOR: I. Okay. Admitted. I is
- 17 NOAA Homeland Security program office, influenza
- 18 information and planning fact sheet. So, we have not
- 19 admitted C, F, G, H and J and L.
- 20 MS. SMITH: Those are just copies -- those are
- Joint exhibits for the most part.
- 22 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Very good.

- 1 MS. CIOFFALO: Yes, so just extra copies.
- 2 There's nothing in there that -- yes.
- 3 THE ARBITRATOR: Very good. Okay. Does the
- 4 Agency have any further part of its case?
- 5 MS. SMITH: No. No further witnesses.
- 6 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Agency rests. Does
- 7 the Union have any rebuttal?
- 8 MR. HIRN: No.
- 9 THE ARBITRATOR: Union has no rebuttal. All
- 10 right. Is it your pleasure to make closing arguments
- or to submit post-hearing briefs?
- 12 MS. SMITH: Post-hearing briefs.
- 13 THE ARBITRATOR: Post-hearing briefs. All
- 14 right, you can have any time period you want. How
- long will it take to get the transcript? Okay.
- 16 Let's figure at least the end of the year, you know,
- everybody's not getting any work done, to work,
- 18 nobody does at this time of the year. You tell me --
- 19 MR. HIRN: I take objection to that.
- 20 THE ARBITRATOR: Today? But once everybody --
- 21 she's going back home, and, you know this is the last
- 22 piece of business I'm doing before Christmas, I'll

- 1 tell you that. So, you know, you tell me how long
- 2 you need because it's certainly going to take me more
- 3 than 30 days to get an opinion to you, because my
- 4 schedule is just tremendously buys, so, you tell me
- 5 what kind of time period you want, based on the fact
- 6 that you're really not going to get the transcript
- 7 until probably the first of the year.
- 8 MS. SMITH: We discussed, I think it was
- 9 February, before Birmingham -- we had discussed I
- 10 think the 14th -- Valentine's Day -- does that work?
- 11 MR. HIRN: What day of the week is that?
- 12 THE ARBITRATOR: Friday.
- 13 MR. HIRN: Sure. Is that -- why don't we --
- the case in Birmingham is when?
- 15 MS. SMITH: That starts on the 18th. I think
- it might be the 19th -- yes, I was upset about that,
- because it's the middle of the week.
- 18 THE ARBITRATOR: Are you two trying another
- 19 case together?
- 20 MR. HIRN: Yes.
- 21 MS. SMITH: Yes.
- 22 THE ARBITRATOR: So you want to submit this

before Birmingham or after Birmingham? 1 MS. SMITH: The plan was before. 2 THE ARBITRATOR: Before Birmingham? So, why don't I say that briefs are to be post-marked to me by no later than February the 14th -- okay, briefs post-marked and -- okay, no later than February 14th, you should exchange your briefs with each other, please attach copies -- any citation, you know, 8 9 because it gets to be too much, you know, stuff I can 10 pull up on the internet, you know, it's one thing, 11 but it's easier if I don't have to pull it up on the internet, and some of this stuff I know that people 12 have been citing to me I can't even find it on the 13 14 internet, so attach every little piece of paper --I'm happy to have pieces of paper and recycle, I'm 15 very big on recycle. 16 17 Okay. 18 MS. SMITH: So you want post-mark or you want 19 electronic copy as well? 20 THE ARBITRATOR: Well, you know, you're going

to -- you can send an electronic copy, that's fine

with me, but I think, you know, you're going to have

21

22

- 1 extensive submissions, so those I don't want to have
- 2 to sit there and download everything, so, you know,
- it's probably just as easy to post-mark it.
- 4 MR. HIRN: Would it at all be convenient for
- 5 you to have manipulatible copies of our briefs that
- 6 you can paste Union's argument, Management's
- 7 argument --
- 8 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes. I don't know how good I
- 9 am at that, but you can try it --
- 10 MR. HIRN: I've had Arbitrators ask for that
- 11 before so that they can just --
- 12 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes, that would be fine, so,
- if you could send me the electronic versions not in
- .pdf format, and then I can, you know, see if I can
- 15 manipulate it. Sometimes it's easier for me, I just
- 16 type it, because I'm a fast typist, you know. All
- 17 right. Any other house-keeping?
- 18 MS. CIOFFALO: When you say post-marked, UPS
- 19 and or mail?
- 20 THE ARBITRATOR: Anything that just shows you
- got it out on the 14th, you know, and if you need
- 22 extensions just consult with one another, I know that

- 1 you're all busy attorneys, and if something comes up,
- and you know -- I'm sure counsel, well, you work with
- 3 each other all the time, so I'm sure you can extend
- 4 courtesies to one another, but generally I'm fairly
- 5 sympathetic to that, unless it looks like counsel is
- 6 just, you know, dragging their tushes, but that
- 7 usually isn't the case.
- 8 MS. SMITH: Just to wrap up, we owe you the
- 9 links to the red book --
- 10 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes, you owe me the links to
- 11 the red book, which is Arbitrator A 1, and you're
- 12 going to send me Exhibit E --
- 13 MS. SMITH: The 2007 --
- 14 THE ARBITRATOR: The 2007 Exhibit E, and also
- 15 send it to Mr. Hirn.
- 16 MS. SMITH: Hard copy.
- 17 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes.
- 18 MS. SMITH: Okay.
- 19 THE ARBITRATOR: Yes.
- 20 MS. SMITH: What about the links?
- 21 THE ARBITRATOR: No, the links you're just
- going to send me an e-mail, and say here are the

1 links, and that's A 1. And you have my address to 2 send the transcript to, okay? Anything else? MR. HIRN: You would be able to find that on the link in the GAO table of contents -- you can hyperlink 7 through 123, for the section on 5 6 entertainment expenses, and you can just be able to click on that, whether than having to scroll through 500 --8 9 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. Yes. And to the 10 extent that --11 MR. HIRN: It will not be -- you may find it 12 easy to use. THE ARBITRATOR: Yes, and if you find, you 13 14 know, that in your briefs, that there are particular 15 sections of the red book that are relevant, you know, in this proceeding, certainly cite me to those 16 17 sections so that I can pull them up, and if I have 18 any problems -- you obviously are far more adept at 19 this technical stuff than I am, so I will simply 20 e-mail you and say, I can't figure this out, and you 21 can worry about it, you know. Anything else? 22 MR. HIRN: I want to ask once more about the

- issue we were discussing earlier today, about what
- 2 really is at issue here, is it just the legality of
- 3 this, or is the Agency making the argument that maybe
- 4 can get out of Mr. Kensky's e-mail that this thing
- 5 would no longer be in effect anyway?
- 6 MS. SMITH: Richard, you're asking us for our
- 7 legal argument before we submit our briefs. I
- 8 mean --
- 9 MR. HIRN: Yes. I'm asking -- I mean, you're
- 10 not supposed to hide the ball.
- 11 MS. CIOFFALO: We stipulated to the issues,
- 12 you've read the grievance response, you found
- everything in the documents --
- 14 MR. HIRN: But that's -- should I assume that
- if an argument that has not been made I the grievance
- response will not be made in the briefs?
- 17 MS. CIOFFALO: I don't know what you can
- 18 assume.
- 19 MR. HIRN: So, that's not really helpful.
- 20 That's not really --
- 21 THE ARBITRATOR: I mean, look.
- MS. CIOFFALO: We have it in our brief, yes.

1 I mean, that's what I can say --2 THE ARBITRATOR: I know, and you're going to be restricted, obviously to the evidence that is in the record here. You know, we've got -- it seems to me from what I've heard of the evidence, that the 6 decision was that it violated appropriations, and that wasn't because, gee, 2009 is over, you know, that's my understanding of what I heard today. 9 They had a situation where, obviously, 10 appropriations law had been violated, this CFO comes 11 in, and in his view, appropriations law would be 12 violated, and he cuts it off, and then consequences flowed from that. So, that's what I heard. 13 14 MS. CIOFFALO: Agreed. But what we're not going to do is preclude any other arguments that the 15 16 Agency may be able to make. That we're not going to 17 do, but yes, correct, that is the testimony. 18 MR. HIRN: Well, you're not supposed to make 19 an argument for the first time in a post-hearing 2.0 I mean, I -- you know -brief. 21 THE ARBITRATOR: It's a little late in the 22 game to start raising other --

- 1 MS. CIOFFALO: What do you want me to say?
- I'm not going to give you that the Agency's not going
- 3 to include anything in its post-hearing briefs, it's
- 4 not written, but --
- 5 THE ARBITRATOR: No, no, but you should -- I
- 6 mean it would seem to me you should be able to say
- 7 what your position is. I just stated what it seemed
- 8 the evidence shows your position is, but you know, it
- 9 seems to me, because you're counsel for the Agency,
- 10 you should be able to make a determination -- look,
- 11 we either have two defenses that we're raising, or
- 12 one defense.
- 13 You know, I mean, I can't force you to do it,
- 14 but -- you know, it seems to me that you know by now
- what the basis of your defense is. We are where we
- 16 are.
- 17 MS. SMITH: I don't have the authority to
- 18 state that at this time.
- 19 THE ARBITRATOR: Okay. That I understand.
- 20 Having worked for the government, that I understand.
- 21 So --
- 22 MS SMITH: And she's my boss.

- 1 THE ARBITRATOR: So, expound on whatever you
- want based on the record, you see from the record,
- 3 and you can expound --
- 4 MR. HIRN: Okay. Sandbagging will be --
- 5 THE ARBITRATOR: Sandbagging -- right, right,
- 6 right.
- 7 MS. CIOFFALO: We're not trying to be evil
- 8 here or anything. I just -- I'm not at liberty to
- 9 discuss --
- 10 MS. SMITH: Based on our earlier discussions
- 11 today about rabbits, there were a lot of rabbits
- 12 today, Richard.
- 13 MR. HIRN: Really?
- MS. SMITH: Yes.
- 15 THE ARBITRATOR: I was -- I don't remember
- 16 rabbits. Was I --
- 17 MS. SMITH: Sandbagging.
- 18 THE ARBITRATOR: Oh.
- 19 MR. HIRN: What possibly would lead you to --
- 20 MS. SMITH: Well, we can go off the record if
- 21 you want to and --
- 22 THE ARBITRATOR: Well, before we go off the

```
1
        record, let me just say that it was a pleasure
 2
        working with all of you, you're obviously, you work a
        lot together, and it was a pleasure, and I thank you
        all or your patience, because it's been a long day,
        and thank you for putting up with my frustration.
 5
 6
                I just get frustrated sometimes, because I
        don't -- it's second nature to all of you, but it's
        not second nature to me, and I'm like back on square
 8
 9
        one, and you've gone around the monopoly board
10
        already, so, you know that's why I've got to focus
11
        in, so I can catch up.
12
                All right. Thank you for everything today
        and I wish you all a wonderful holiday.
13
14
      MS. SMITH: Same to you.
15
      THE ARBITRATOR: And safe trip up to
        Massachusetts.
16
17
      MS. SMITH: Thank you.
18
      (Whereupon, the arbitration was adjourned at
19
        5:30 p.m.)
20
21
22
```

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	
4	I, Patricia Edwards, do hereby certify that I
5	took the stenographic notes of the foregoing
6	proceedings and the same were reduced to typewriting
7	under my direction; that the foregoing is a true
8	record of said proceedings; that I am neither related
9	to nor employed by any of the parties to the action
10	herein; and, further, that I am not a relative or
11	employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the
12	parties hereto, not financially or otherwise
13	interested in the action.
14	
15	
16	PATRICIA EDWARDS, RPR
17	VERBATIM REPORTER
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

	acquisition	30:2 225:2	247:21,22 248:6
AA	180:1,22 181:18 182:1	actual	249:13,16 251:15
249:4,8,15	183:6 184:16 214:21	177:20 198:20 233:18	252:9 253:10
abiding	acronym	259:19	administrator's
170:7	12:1 21:17 87:2	AcuWeather	255:20
ability	across-the-board	12:19	admission
147:16 169:1 180:8	204:18	added	50:22 70:22 88:9
able	act	73:20	90:13 96:12 100:11
70:19 118:4 255:10	11:3 163:21 165:6	adding	137:14
268:3,6 270:16 271:6	166:12 190:22 191:3	131:12,13	admit
271:10	204:10,13 205:5	addition	103:13 111:13,14
aboard	212:19 214:12,13	110:14 114:22	154:6 242:10
197:11	225:18 247:10,14	additional	admitted
ABRITRATOR	251:14	193:16,21,22 194:6,10	52:22 154:20 184:9,10
233:4	acting	address	242:7 262:13,16,19
absence	10:17,22 11:8 17:14	141:11 167:9 176:20	admitting
224:22 247:10	17:21 18:8 33:16	228:1 268:1	9:19 98:5 100:17
absent	88:20 141:4 158:8,9	addressed	advance
57:15	158:17 159:6,7,12,13	17:11	127:21 151:12 152:14
absenteeism	159:18 160:1,6,9	addressing	advantage
27:15	163:10 164:16 167:9	15:6 104:20	61:7
Absolutely	167:11 208:17	adept	adverse
176:18	209:12 211:1,2,9	268:18	14:15 125:11 153:18
acceptable	247:18 253:15	adequate	advice
145:19	256:10	169:9	178:13
accepted	action	adjacent	advised
24:10 244:13	16:15 86:7,14 92:20	100:5	106:21 177:5
access	116:11,14 135:20	adjourned	advising
27:12 48:22 127:20	136:15 137:4 165:19	273:18	101:13
accommodations	167:12 171:17	administration	advisory
146:18	174:13 175:5 178:22	1:11 17:22 18:16 22:6	21:11
accompanying	181:21 183:9 194:10	22:10 23:7 26:4,12	Aeronautical
213:6	232:4 257:22 274:9	32:7 40:8 78:16,17	37:8
accomplished	274:13	83:10 92:9 195:13	affirmed
191:22 204:1,5	actions	210:11	36:8 123:12 156:7
account	31:6 133:13 157:8	administration's	246:8
186:20 203:18 205:7	159:2,10 163:14,16	210:13	afield
Accountability	164:22 167:10,16	administrative	62:6
21:13,18	180:3 192:2,6 193:11	13:20 77:17 180:5	afternoon
Accounting	231:20 257:6	183:7 217:12,17	114:8 134:19 156:10
21:12,18	activities	251:8 253:4,8 258:4	156:11 246:11
accounts	206:1	administrator	agencies
205:10,13,14 206:12	activity	34:9 91:8 159:21	18:1 20:20 21:22 23:9
acknowledgement	20:1 167:20 178:10,15	160:2 164:13 172:7	23:14 25:22 26:3,17
89:2	acts	173:9 177:21 247:15	26:22 27:12 68:9
	I	I	I .

72:14 79:2 83:11	106:18 107:1 112:11	allegations	annex
118:7,9 126:15	155:2 189:5 244:19	252:19,21 253:2	8:4 126:19,21 129:16
*	271:2	*	*
186:21 198:10,14		allege 29:14	135:1 138:13 261:22
213:3 262:1	agent		annual
agency	219:15	alleged	56:22 151:15 204:7
1:12 4:3 8:3 14:6	agents	18:9,10 31:13	answer
18:13 19:6,13,19	140:14	alleges	53:15 117:2 118:5
20:14 23:8,21 24:6	ago	29:13	129:16 131:18
28:13,15,19,21 30:2	60:11,22 72:12 116:17	alleging	139:13 188:18
32:14 35:15 36:9	120:19	18:7	answered
38:15 40:12,22 58:6	agree	allergy	36:20 53:6 56:5 92:13
58:10 62:7 78:18	16:19 69:7	95:3	123:5 155:16 241:18
80:9 87:16 91:13	agreed	alleviating	245:14
97:14 98:6 103:13	15:5 32:8 34:12 77:3	19:13	anticipated
104:17,18 105:10	173:18 243:9 270:14	allocated	69:8
106:4,12 107:3 114:5	agreement	173:7	anticipation
115:7 116:3,5 119:9	2:9 6:14,20 10:3,11	allotments	152:15
119:11 123:3 125:3	15:9 16:15 17:1,10	192:5	anti-deficiency
129:3,12 130:15	18:14 19:9 20:16	allotted	165:6 166:12
132:11 134:2,16	29:18,20 30:2,6,8,12	24:21	anxiously
135:2,5 136:3 137:9	30:13,14,17 31:14	allow	178:17
137:14,14,17 140:14	39:19,21 59:11 69:6	177:13 180:5 215:15	anybody
140:14,15 141:3,4,19	69:14,16,16 74:2,5,6	256:17	33:7 87:22 112:13
143:20 144:14,18	105:2 110:15 125:1	allowed	222:19
154:6,7 155:3,9	151:17 153:9 178:11	55:1 83:15	anymore
165:1 168:19 175:7	179:5,6 236:10	allows	21:15 22:6 83:1 102:5
177:9 180:18 184:2,4	243:19 244:14,17	202:15	131:22
184:6,7 188:6 189:8	256:16		
191:18 192:2 194:15	ahead	alter	anyway
		210:9	105:13 168:12 226:18
198:3,4,21 199:13	12:15 53:14 72:1 82:9	alteration	269:5
203:9,11,12 204:19	173:14 177:5	210:17	apologize
210:3,5,6 211:21	air	altered	59:20 109:16 249:10
213:15 217:7 219:15	25:5 56:1 66:10	210:15	apparel
219:17 220:18	101:12 152:13	alternate	225:22
230:11 238:5 241:7	aircraft	53:17	apparently
242:8 243:16 245:11	46:12	Amarillo	88:3 176:7 194:9
245:11,16 260:3,9	Alaska	37:8	218:17
261:11,13 262:13	12:7 13:7,9 41:16 43:8	ambitious	appearance
263:4,6 269:3 270:16	44:3 248:11,14,17	112:20	9:8
271:9	Alaskan	amount	appears
Agency's	248:8,9	60:15,16,22 117:20	20:11
14:22 30:20 34:10	alcohol	amounts	appendix
35:8 58:3,12 70:20	110:17 117:19	78:21 120:14 203:22	259:17 261:21
71:4,5 97:15 102:19	allegated	analogous	applied
103:14,16,20 106:15	252:21	25:3	102:10 225:8 232:20

	1		
233:9	212:19 213:5,5,8,19	74:16 75:5,9,22	195:10,15 199:19,22
apply	214:12,13 219:17,18	76:20 77:7 79:5,17	200:3,22 201:19,21
172:12 233:15	219:19 224:8,22	80:7,12,18,22 81:11	202:2,4 204:20 205:1
appointment	225:1 229:13,15	81:17,19 82:7,9 83:6	205:13,18,20 206:5,8
190:15	252:13,20 257:1,5	83:17 84:1,21 85:3	208:6 209:2,6 211:14
appointments	270:6,10,11	85:13,17 86:1,4,19	213:11,20 214:1
190:15	approval	87:9 88:11,13 89:14	215:12 216:6,11,17
appropriate	25:4 182:19	89:20 90:15,17 91:14	217:1,6,9,14,17,21
33:3 35:9 171:8,14,16	approve	91:18,22 93:17 94:13	218:2,8,21 219:6,11
179:2 184:17 211:10	209:18	95:9 96:14 97:3,7,12	220:5,15 221:6,11,16
appropriated	approved	98:1,15 99:12,17	222:8,14,20 223:6,15
18:2,20 20:20 21:6	24:17 28:5 149:8	100:13,16 102:2,14	224:2 226:7,14 227:1
24:9 33:13,21 34:7	169:12	103:7,12 104:2 105:6	227:6,13,16,20 228:2
167:6 173:6,20	approving	106:7,10 107:10,12	228:6,13,22 229:4,14
175:12 179:21 180:8	181:15,20	108:19 109:1,6,9,11	230:4,12,18,21 231:2
182:17 193:21 201:6	approximately	111:3,8,13 112:2,6,9	231:7,12,19 232:6,15
207:15 208:12,18	62:11 63:1 191:12	112:16,21 114:2	232:17 233:14,21
209:14 220:12	April	117:2 119:2 121:9,16	234:8,16 235:14,17
223:22 224:12	17:13 30:15 31:9	121:20 122:9,14,17	236:14,18,22 237:4
229:19,21 230:3	84:18 89:7 90:3	122:20,21 125:9,13	238:7,20 239:7,13
253:3 254:11,13	Apt	127:16 128:6,17,20	240:14 241:12 242:4
255:1,11 257:3,19	3:6	129:1,8 134:6,8,12	242:17,19 243:3,5,15
appropriately	arbitrating	134:15 137:11,13	244:1,8,11,18 245:1
166:1 168:5	83:13,14 86:9	138:4,7,10 139:5,7,9	245:3,7,8 246:3
appropriateness	arbitration	140:8,12,19 141:1	249:8,17,19 254:4,6
226:12 229:13	1:14 2:1 12:13 78:6	142:4,9,12,17 143:11	259:21 260:1,8,11,12
appropriates	134:1 273:18	143:19 144:8,12,21	260:12,13,15,18
33:4,18 206:7	arbitrator	145:6,9,20 146:4,6	261:1,5,8,13,16
appropriation	1:7,15 3:3 6:11 8:16	146:19 147:6,20	262:3,6,9,12,16,22
169:5 170:11 175:20	9:2,5,11,15 10:2,6	148:22 150:9 152:8	263:3,6,9,13,20
182:14,15 186:8	11:21 12:9,11,13	153:15,17 154:4,9,19	264:12,18,22 265:3
188:10 193:17	21:15 22:3,20 23:3	155:1,5,6 156:14	265:20 266:8,12,20
198:20 201:12	23:16,20 24:1 31:20	157:17 161:3 162:7	267:10,11,14,17,19
207:17,20 213:17	32:3 35:2,19 36:1,9	162:10 163:5,8 164:1	267:21 268:9,13
214:10 215:1 216:9	36:12 38:14,19 39:1	164:9 165:9,13 166:8	269:21 270:2,21
219:2 222:1 223:3,9	39:4 42:4 44:22 45:3	166:17 167:1,7 174:8	271:5,19 272:1,5,15
224:5 228:19 229:1,2	45:6 48:1,5,10 51:2,6	174:11,19 175:10,18	272:18,22 273:15
229:22 230:1	51:8,12 52:8,12,18	175:21 176:4,19	Arbitrators
appropriations	52:21 53:13 59:5,10	177:2,19 181:10,12	266:10
17:20 26:14 27:1	60:13 61:8,15,21	182:2,5,8 183:22	Arbitrator's
32:13 33:3,7,9,20	62:9,14,17,22 63:6	184:2,9 185:13,21	238:12 242:6,10,14,22
67:1 97:17 169:22	63:11,14 64:4,7,17	188:3,8,12,17,21	area
170:7 171:6,13 176:6	65:9,13,16 67:11,15	189:11,15 191:12	46:7,9,11,19 87:8
186:22 199:11 201:4	67:22 68:15,18 69:12	192:17,21 193:3,5,7	101:4 107:21 120:17
206:4 210:18,19	70:6,13,16 71:18	193:9 194:8,13	250:3
	l		

areas	asking	274:11	aware
42:18 201:8 202:16	51:4,6 80:5 94:9 111:6	attorneys	119:19 171:18 172:13
aren't	138:6 141:1 190:19	9:16 123:4 174:17	177:15 179:6,7 187:2
48:11,19 54:8 59:1	199:12 269:6,9	241:20 267:1	187:5 235:9 252:9
arguable	asks	August	254:20 257:17
98:19	58:15 155:18	158:10 252:16	AWIPS
			49:13
arguably	assign	Austin	
98:5	153:3	84:17 85:14 87:13	a.m
argue	assistant	authoritative	1:18
104:18 105:20 237:14	34:9 77:17 89:16 91:7	21:10 227:10,14	B
arguing	157:3 159:20 160:2	authority	$\frac{B}{B}$
59:6 215:10	172:6 173:9 177:20	26:11 145:2,4,7	_
argument	179:16 247:14,21,22	146:14 147:8,15	6:10 7:1 8:1,5 10:7,8
30:21 97:8,13,15 98:3	248:6 249:13 251:8	148:12 224:1,13	128:9 135:22 136:3
102:2,7,15,21 103:2	251:14 252:8 255:20	258:11,12,13,14	137:10,11,12,14,15
103:7,8 104:8,11	associated	271:17	137:17 225:14
105:12 237:1,3,5,7	130:20 138:12 143:5	authorize	262:13
237:10 266:6,7 269:3	148:13 159:3 169:6	27:19 202:20 211:20	baby
269:7,15 270:19	179:20 257:18	authorized	227:3
argumentative	assume	28:22 180:2 182:18	Bachelor
194:3	51:14 139:18 144:4	204:14 215:1 224:21	163:4
arguments	186:10 187:8 200:9	229:21 236:8 238:2	bachelors
35:12 263:10 270:15	257:16 269:14,18	254:12 258:16	37:4,7
arises	assuming	authorizes	back
29:20	72:21 80:5	26:16 27:11 186:21	31:6 36:19 43:10
army	assumption	authorizing	48:16,20 54:15 55:6
233:10	201:5	119:6	57:10 62:3 63:7 80:6
array	atmospheric	availability	80:10 86:11 92:11
7:5,6,7 50:6,7,9	1:10 32:6 192:19	224:8 229:12	98:22 101:6 108:5
arrays	195:13	available	109:19 110:11
50:14	attach	23:13,17 25:15,19	112:10 113:7 114:2
article	265:8,14	26:3 27:1 130:12	114:18 116:18
120:16	attached	170:7 203:1 206:19	117:18 123:4 124:21
ASA	259:13	207:1,3,6,7 229:14	125:7 129:1,2 133:14
77:18 179:16	attaches	262:11	133:15 134:12
ASA's	220:10	avenue	142:19 146:6 155:15
78:2,7 251:8,11,12	attachment	3:6,15 169:3	166:7 185:21 191:19
ascertain	259:6,7	average	191:21 192:7,15,17
94:22	attention	120:14	193:13 196:6 222:2
Asia	33:14 87:16 89:6 90:2	aviation	245:3,13 256:21
41:16	94:20 95:8,15 127:10	47:1,2 248:14,14	263:21 273:8
asked	172:1 177:11 184:20	, ,	background
		awaiting 178:17	162:22 163:1 165:14
52:3 53:6 71:14 79:17	attorney 3:14 22:7 112:14	awarded	165:17
135:7 155:16 202:11			backup
206:14	174:10 175:4,9 178:3	194:10	vackup
	I	I	ı

	İ	İ	İ
130:22 131:1,1,2	238:16 264:5 272:2	86:11 87:22 91:12	264:9,14 265:1,1,3
backwards	272:10	103:17 125:21	bit
248:13	basically	126:18 132:9 142:14	77:13
back-up	76:2 159:8 164:21	143:9,17 148:14	bite
73:5,6	171:5 173:13 180:7	161:17 179:15	54:14
balance	192:11,11 199:9	205:12 211:9 238:11	Blank
61:1	202:21 204:13	256:16 257:11	163:16 164:16
ball	207:18 210:4 214:2,7	259:16	blankets
269:10	220:9 229:9 230:11	believed	255:13
balloon	248:22 249:6,11	20:15 98:10	blatant
56:1	254:7,9 259:12	bell	168:9
balloons	Basin	77:16	bleach
37:18 192:19	13:3	belongings	110:7
bargain	basing	220:7	blessed
244:15	75:19 223:9	benefit	210:15,16
bargained	basins	24:6 222:13	blizzard
243:19	13:3	benefiting	66:12
bargaining	basis	58:17	blood
6:13 10:3 12:3 14:11	16:21 69:12 88:2	benefits	93:6 97:10
28:11 29:18 30:7	106:18 115:10,11	19:5 58:16 92:17	board
35:6 39:19,20 41:12	139:5 161:10 211:21	230:11	197:18 273:9
47:8 48:5 59:11	213:4 236:14 271:15	benefitting	boat
77:19 124:8,11 125:1	Bay	222:19	66:9
151:17 153:9 161:16	31:10 85:15,19 86:3	best	Bob
161:18 176:8 179:18	87:7	41:13 118:5 131:17	68:13 72:13 136:10
243:12 244:3 251:11	Bay's	better	body
251:13,15	88:2	70:9 110:21 116:5	41:2,3 204:4 226:20
Barrow	becoming	157:20 205:17	bomb
41:16	247:20	beyond	66:13,21
bars	Bed	42:17 75:18 76:21	bona
25:15	85:18	127:3,6	198:19 207:14,17
base	beginning	big	215:7 216:1,2,7
222:15	17:8 169:19	45:18 48:20 176:1	217:11,22 218:3,5,9
based	begins	265:16	219:7 220:6,6,7,8,9
71:5,6 97:8 98:10	95:16	bigger	220:12 235:20
104:20 120:13 142:8	behalf	210:11	236:11
146:15,15 171:12	3:11 4:3 9:9,11 122:15	biggest	book
175:6,13 188:9,10	123:1 140:15 141:3	163:11,12 167:2	8:17 170:11,12,18
190:18 199:10	155:9 245:10	bill	175:19,20,22 185:18
203:18,22 211:7	belief	91:16 210:19 213:5	186:1 216:10 217:13
214:5 219:1 220:22	30:4 234:10,11	billet	217:18 218:11 219:3
221:1 222:17 228:10	believe	157:15 158:7	219:20 222:22 223:4
228:18 231:2,5,7,14	30:19 31:7 35:10	binding	224:4,5,9 226:2,3,9
231:15 234:18	39:22 51:10 62:12	21:21,22 22:2,2	227:7,9,9,11 230:13
235:22 236:9 238:2	65:21 68:7 83:9	Birmingham	230:16 232:12 239:3
1,		3	

T			
242:5,7,10,16,17	broadly	49:1 99:21	call
267:9,11 268:15	16:16	built	35:20 49:13 56:12
books	broken	60:15	79:19,20 122:4 153:3
61:1,4 223:17	150:3	bulk	170:10 180:2 187:21
boss	brought	47:8 78:19	221:10,14
271:22	40:9 55:6 77:3 101:8	bull	called
bottles	171:22 177:11	241:17	15:4 21:19 27:9 36:7
255:14	184:15 200:19	burden	38:21 44:15 45:16
bottom	259:15	11:17 130:22	46:16,18 55:13 60:14
44:20,22	Brown	business	108:2 122:3 123:1,11
branch	5:7 15:2 16:18 69:1	12:15 117:16 169:17	125:15,16 126:18
21:20	72:6 122:1,16,17,20	225:14 263:22	139:9 153:18 155:9
breach	123:10 134:19	busy	156:6 170:12 201:9
29:22 30:10,12,12	142:19 145:13	54:11 267:1	210:18,19 221:12
break	147:12 148:21	buy	245:10 246:7
16:5 19:3,10 111:19	150:13 187:9,11	23:22 31:11 78:20	calling
112:7,13 114:3 122:3	189:4 235:2,6 256:8	79:22 83:15 118:19	111:22 122:16 125:10
217:22	258:22 259:3	189:6 198:10,15	225:14
breaking	budget	201:6 212:20,22	calls
113:13	31:17 60:19 61:13	213:1,2,2 214:8,13	12:20 161:9 162:2
breaks	133:8,9 151:2 163:21	215:5,19,21 216:3	campus
16:10 25:17 206:22	167:17 168:2 190:22	buying	94:12 99:3 115:15
break-room	191:3 203:12,14,16	78:19 187:21 214:4	cancellation
26:5 221:4 222:6	203:18 204:10,13,16	222:5	259:8,9
break-rooms	205:4,5 209:15,22	buys	can't
16:8 20:21 29:5 54:17	210:3,6,9,13,13,16	78:21 264:4	23:11 57:8 58:9 64:4
54:21 131:3,4	210:21 211:3,4,7,8	Byrd	67:9 73:7 76:4 92:6
brief	250:15,16	68:13 72:13 136:10,11	96:18,18 97:15 98:17
98:4 176:21 237:8	budgetary		105:7,15,18 106:1
269:22 270:20	14:10 32:21	C	113:6 117:18 118:19
briefs	budgeting	C	120:21 121:17
35:11 176:14 262:8	210:4	3:1 4:1 8:6 9:1 128:10	131:18 133:21 142:6
263:11,12,13 265:4,5	budgets	128:13,14,16,17,18	175:13 178:4 213:2
265:7 266:5 268:14	203:9,11 210:14	129:4 137:11 186:20	213:22 215:5,18,19
269:7,16 271:3	building	202:11 225:15	219:13 259:2 265:13
Bright	16:2 28:14 43:6 44:18	229:16,18 262:19	268:20 271:13
174:3	45:8,13 46:20 47:20	cafeteria	capacity
bring	49:10 60:19 66:1,20	16:3 99:1 115:14,18	141:4 211:8
114:15,18,20 196:19	66:21 91:3 93:3,7	115:22	capsule
196:20 198:2 200:7	94:3,17,18 99:1,13	cafeterias	123:15 124:13
218:14,16,21	100:5,7,8,10 115:14	49:1	card
bringing	115:17 143:17	calculated	118:17,22 119:7
58:22	217:10,15,20	54:4	179:14 180:7 181:6
broader	buildings	California	181:14,16,20 182:18
102:22	16:1 47:14,21 48:12	47:19	250:22,22 251:1,5

cardio-vascular	273:11	182:18 212:3,6	changed
96:10	catching	213:10 214:22	18:18 21:17 145:5
cards	92:21	224:16	changes
225:15,22 251:2	categories	certainly	144:5,9,22 145:1,2
	225:11 233:1	29:1 64:20 70:20	253:5,6
care			Channel
159:9 225:15,17	categorized	117:14 133:15 264:2	
260:22	28:20	268:16	12:20
career	cause	certificate	channels
115:12 210:1 248:13	242:20	163:5 274:2	17:14
Caribou	causes	certificates	chapter
12:8 41:17 248:18	27:15	163:3	26:5 224:8,18 226:4
Carolina	caution	certified	226:22 232:11
248:13	36:13 153:21	12:2	characterize
carpet	CBA	certify	77:2
214:4	259:17	274:4	charge
carpeting	cc'd	CFO	31:9 85:17,20 248:10
212:22 214:9	254:2	10:17,22 11:8 17:21	251:4
carry	CDC	40:20 68:12 72:13	charged
159:2	7:17,18 101:20 107:19	86:13 158:1,3,22	33:17
case	108:4,13 120:13	159:1,6,7,18 160:6,9	charging
1:6 9:4 11:16 15:11	cease	163:10 167:9,11	229:21
20:19 21:1,10 22:5	17:17 89:3	173:15 189:22	chase
24:22 25:9 30:9	ceased	208:16,17 209:12	81:7
31:18,22 89:1 98:2,5	34:1	211:1,2,9 249:4	cheap
98:16 115:2 153:22	ceiling	253:3,8,13,15 258:3	197:21
155:2 166:6 176:16	204:14	270:10	check
185:7 186:5,10,11,14	center	CFO's	94:2
190:18 218:6 226:2	13:11,13,14 25:2	18:8 163:11,12	checking
226:20 239:18	43:11 45:17 46:14,17	chain	132:21
244:19 255:14 261:4	46:18 47:1,1,3,5	159:17 168:20	checks
263:4 264:14,19	101:11 123:21	chairman	95:7
267:7	130:17 139:18	39:14 218:12	Chicago
cases	149:21 173:3 249:5	chairs	45:9
120:14 186:2,4,7	250:8 252:7	212:1	chief
224:14 226:21 239:9		challenge	14:22 15:2 17:15 18:8
Catalog	centers	163:12	27:14 33:16 34:18
8	12:22 13:1,4,8,10,17		68:22 88:20 89:16
7:4,9	17:6 43:2,4 46:6,21	challenges	
catalogue	100:22 101:13	32:21,22 33:1 163:11	91:9,10 124:4 125:2
22:10 23:9,10 26:4	108:21 131:5 160:14	252:10,12	125:5,19,20 136:11
81:16 82:3,12,14,21	central	chance	157:15 158:8,10
82:22 83:1 84:4	87:7 249:6	36:15 134:9 155:20	159:2,12,13 174:1,3
118:20	centralized	change	235:7
catastrophic	46:3	35:4 145:5 158:18	china
73:1	certain	169:17 240:9,12	197:11,22
catch	60:15,16 84:2 166:17	252:3	choices
	I	I	I

		1	
22:11	20:12 184:12 224:3	25:3 27:9 28:18 77:5	151:17 153:9 176:8
cholera	229:14 265:13	92:16 110:13 120:2	collectively
104:10	citizen	180:1 214:20	46:17
cholesterol	118:2	click	Com
93:5 95:7 97:11	city	268:7	174:21 224:20
choose	45:9 123:22 124:1	client	come
83:7	248:12 255:9,10	174:10 175:4,9,10	12:20 20:9 37:22
Christmas	civilian	178:3	46:16 58:4 59:3,16
263:22	195:18 196:7,10,16	clients	66:17 67:5 68:1,9
CIAFFOLO	civilians	12:21 99:22	72:16,18 84:9,10
175:1	196:12 199:5	clinics	94:2 112:10 113:7
Cingular	claim	95:4	118:6 135:14 151:14
12:14	17:21 30:20	clock	151:20 236:10
Ciofallo	claimed	16:8	250:14
91:13	20:3	close	comes
Cioffalo	clarification	20:5 42:9 73:4	49:17 71:2 80:6 152:2
4:6 9:14,14 22:17	140:21 172:14	closes	218:10,11 244:22
63:18 64:6,12 109:3	clarified	73:6	267:1 270:10
128:2,14 137:1 144:3	105:4	closing	comfort
144:17 174:9,16	clarify	263:10	21:7 225:3
176:18,20 218:22	77:8 104:16 106:4	club	coming
227:17,22 237:12,16	238:11	237:20,22	103:1,4 163:22 220:8
243:16 244:2,7,9	clarifying	coast	222:2 255:8 260:19
261:22 262:4,7,10	202:10	42:17 46:7	command
263:1 266:18 269:11	clarity	coats	159:17 168:21
269:17,22 270:14	109:17	18:3 21:5 22:11 23:10	commenced
271:1 272:7	class	Code	68:2
circumstances	171:6,13 222:1	26:21	commerce
24:13 144:18,19	classified	coffee	1:9 2:5 4:7 9:4,12 32:7
199:12	223:20 224:10	25:17 198:11,15	40:14,18,21,22 42:22
citation	clauses	199:14 200:7,20	156:21 157:12
174:21 175:13,14	181:5	Coincidentally	162:14 164:15,17
226:1,5,8 230:19 242:8 265:8	cleaned	31:2	170:9 178:7 179:22 180:22 206:6 261:19
	50:21	cold	
cite 268:16	cleaner 110:21	97:10 130:8 137:21	commercial 25:14 47:20
cited	cleanliness	138:2 139:3,12 148:16,17 149:5,12	commissioned
20:19 186:2,10,16	19:10 76:19	150:3	196:14
225:7,18,19 226:21	clear	colds	commit
228:4 232:7,19 233:7	22:1 29:22 30:10	15:18 19:4 21:2 98:12	35:16
233:18,21 234:3	99:18 104:22 107:1	collect	committed
238:13 239:1,9	125:14,19 173:4	45:19 192:19	11:11 18:11 29:15
citied	215:14 219:13	collective	committee
17:21	234:10,11	6:13 10:2 29:18 30:7,7	20:5
citing	clearly	39:18 59:11 125:1	committees
<u>-</u>		57.10 57.11 125.1	

	I	I	1
210:14	computer	208:13,19,21 209:4,9	contingencies
common	44:17 49:11,13,15	209:13 213:12	127:9
19:3,5 29:4,8,11,12	223:18	220:12	contingency
53:18 97:10 137:21	computers	connected	126:16 127:5
138:2 139:2,12	214:8	100:6	continuation
148:16,17 218:6	concept	conscious	141:11 163:13
commonly	207:17 216:14,20	60:21	continue
152:14	concern	consequence	17:2 20:9 36:17 70:11
communicable	68:5 76:21 96:9 97:13	66:7	132:12 150:17,20
15:19 26:19 28:1	168:22 240:6,7	consequences	177:6 178:14 245:21
communicate	concerned	130:15 270:12	continued
254:10	14:3,5 67:21,22 71:1,2	consider	4:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 132:18
communicated	116:22	19:22 26:15	147:17 253:12
78:2,4	concerns	consideration	continues
communication	68:3 70:2 72:7 166:3	187:3	21:3 210:10
102:8 159:10 161:11	171:22	considered	continuing
162:3 168:16	concert	153:6 154:17 171:12	17:10 102:6 163:20
community	78:6	172:21 226:19	210:20
199:4	conclude	consistent	continuity
competently	121:15 220:16 222:15	132:7	118:11 134:22 135:17
63:21	concluded	constraints	261:20
complain	223:8 228:7	14:10	continuously
48:19	conclusion	consult	25:19 167:13 232:2
completed	222:15 228:9 231:9	187:9 188:4,8,13,19	contract
136:16 231:16	conditions	225:22 240:17	11:16 16:11
completely	25:8 34:17 252:4	266:22	contracting
92:16 237:13	255:11	consulted	29:3 182:20
complex	conducting	174:12,14,17 175:2	contracts
16:3 47:15,18,19	229:3	189:4 219:3 226:10	78:21
67:13 99:13,20 100:4	conferencing	227:3 228:7 238:18	contractual
comply	211:22	239:4,8 240:15	29:20 244:4
19:20 32:15	confirm	contact	control
complying	94:21	175:17	17:7 26:19 27:22
169:16	confused	contacted	49:11 61:13 101:13
Comptroller	70:8,9 165:10 219:11	78:7	108:22 139:19
17:21 18:1,15,17	241:14	contained	163:21 190:22 191:3
20:10,12 21:4,9,10	Congress	15:9 151:16 225:1	204:10,13 205:5
21:11 22:4 23:11	27:13,19 33:4,18 40:6	contains	controller
24:3,9,16,21 25:4,10	166:2 193:20,22	22:11	25:6
27:10 28:4 58:14,15	194:3,10 205:4	contents	controllers
59:13 92:12 146:16	207:21,22 208:8	268:4	25:18 66:10
186:3,15 212:15	209:15,18 210:8,12	context	controls
215:4,20 230:21	211:5,19 212:18,19	226:19	258:12
comptroller's	212:20 214:21	contexts	convenience
21:21 230:9	Congressional	225:8 232:20	10:12 20:22 130:6
21.21 230.7	- Olie Oblinim	223.0 232.20	10.12 20.22 130.0

225:3	149:19,22 150:4	129:3 134:2,16	14:9 203:21
convenient	152:15,17,18,21	136:21 146:1,22	covered
266:4	153:4,12,13 166:9	149:1 153:21 154:10	26:9 132:13 153:5
conversation	173:11 175:15	154:16 155:15	224:18 244:14,16
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
189:20 203:7 254:21	176:11 187:6,7 188:1	166:15,15 170:12,16	covers
conversations	188:19,20 194:11,12	174:7,13 175:2,3,6	127:9
71:7 106:5 130:2	195:3,19,20 196:8,11	175:17 177:4 178:12	co-chairman
175:3	198:6 199:6 200:8	178:12,17 202:5	40:13,20
convert	204:9 205:6,9 206:13	219:6,12 240:15,17	co-located
223:18	207:2,5,9 208:20	241:22 242:7 245:11	13:5 48:13
cook	213:14 214:14	245:12,16,16 260:4	crawler
114:20	219:20 220:2 230:14	260:16 267:2,5 271:9	44:19,22
cooking	230:15,20 231:11	274:11	create
25:5	235:1,11 236:4	counsel's	68:11 110:20 171:4
Coolidge	240:18 270:17	98:5 100:17 106:12	203:18
17:22 18:16 20:12	corrective	111:14	created
22:6 92:8	163:16 164:22 165:19	count	40:11 137:4 171:3
Cooper	167:10,12,16	55:21 57:4,5	203:16
160:4 256:7,9,10	correctly	counter	creating
259:2	136:19	96:2 110:11	14:12 40:10
coordinate	correlation	counterpart	credit
45:20	190:16	74:19 75:13	179:14 180:7 251:1,2
copied	cost	country	251:5
52:5 172:17	149:14	13:17 14:1 17:9 43:5	crew
copies	costs	101:13	195:19 196:7,8,18
262:20 263:1 265:8	190:7	couple	crew's
266:5	cots	13:16 60:21 73:20	198:4
copy	255:12	114:17 254:16,17	CRH
136:21 143:12 145:18	couldn't	course	86:20 87:1,2
154:13,19 176:16	12:9 106:20 115:3	29:18 36:18 73:9 95:7	criteria
265:19,21 267:16	199:19 206:21	98:11 120:9 163:17	29:22
corner	255:15	169:2,8,9,12 170:4	cross
89:16	council	172:17 225:8 232:20	5:5,9,16 6:5 111:20
corners	40:6,14,15 124:20	257:5	112:3,11 114:5,6
248:18	councils	COURT	125:10,16 134:16,17
corps	40:10	64:14	137:20 139:9,10
194:22 195:9,11,13,14	counsel	courtesies	185:14,16 200:22
195:16,19 196:8	4:8 9:8 11:14 35:19	267:4	202:10 215:12
correct	36:14,19 59:5 63:11	courts	234:15,17 258:19
10:4 53:14 64:10	70:10 71:9,14 84:2	21:22 228:5	cross-examined
103:13 114:13,14	91:13 98:1 104:17	cover	134:2 155:14
118:21 119:1,8,18	105:12,16 106:6,11	56:14,20 57:3,20 60:1	cubicle
124:8,9,12 126:1,2,6	106:22 107:6 112:10	117:21 130:19 153:4	15:13 49:10
124.8,9,12 120.1,2,0	114:5 121:11 123:2,3	153:8	cup
130:2,3 132:17	125:10,17 127:17		29:11 197:6 207:7
130.2,3 132.17	143.10,1/14/.1/	coverage	47.11 177.0 407.7
	ı	I	ı

	0.6 0.1	152.12 101.0 10	220.2 5 0 20 240.0
cups	8:6 9:1 DAA	153:12 191:8,10 192:16 193:14	239:2,5,9,20 240:9
10:19 11:2,10 15:15 15:16 17:18 19:1,11	249:4,9,17,18	206:21 213:4,4	240:12,17 270:6 decisions
20:21 26:1 32:11	daily	264:10,11 273:4	21:9,21 22:1,4 58:15
73:14 74:7 76:14	211:21	days	59:13,14 186:3,15
109:21 116:20 140:3	Dan	12:18 18:6 19:16	212:15 233:6,15,19
140:16 171:21	15:1,15 18:6 19:16	20:13 42:8 43:20	233:19 234:3 238:15
196:20 197:8 198:11	20:13 28:13 35:21	49:19 54:2 56:19,20	238:18,21 239:4
198:12,15 199:13,14	36:2 37:3 50:14 58:6	57:14 62:21 190:11	declared
200:7,20,20 207:12	72:3 84:9 89:7 98:21	190:20 193:14 196:3	20:6 61:3
208:1,22 215:6,22	101:15 107:16	196:4,5 254:16,17	deemed
255:2	129:13,20 130:2	264:3	169:9 181:21 220:1
curbed	162:5,9 235:10 236:7		defense
191:21	240:4 241:3 251:19	120:20	106:15 174:12,16,18
current	256:16	deal	174:19 200:19
106:12,13 124:5 125:1	danger	46:6 75:1 132:22	271:12,15
157:2,3 160:11	66:20 67:7	157:19 203:2 219:18	defenses
168:20 189:13	DANIEL	241:14	271:11
247:17	4:19 5:3 36:6	dealing	define
currently	Dan's	10:14 83:19 107:13	199:8 223:5
156:18 210:20 246:17	235:14	125:6 169:20 241:13	defined
current-type	DAO	257:4	219:7,8 222:22 223:6
19:6	184:14	dealt	223:7
curve	data	75:4 105:10	defines
77:16	159:14,16 192:19	December	213:17 217:10
customary	date	1:17	definitely
136:20	22:9 23:15 62:10	decide	252:11
customers	143:3,5,10 254:17	155:7 245:9	definition
46:22	dated	decided	120:5,6,22 215:7
cut	84:17,18 89:7 90:3	187:4 215:14 226:20	216:1 218:3 223:4
31:6 81:7 133:15	142:9,21	234:5	241:4
156:1 191:19 192:7	dates	decides	definition's
192:15,17 204:18	63:7	33:5	120:7
205:4 206:11	Dave	decision	definitive
cuts	91:16 189:18	17:22 18:16 20:13	105:18
204:15,18 270:12	David	21:4,11 22:8 25:4	degree
cutting	34:18 91:10 189:10,11	34:10,21 60:21	37:4,7 65:6,10 163:4,6
193:13	189:13,18,18 257:16	163:15 164:6,18,20	213:9
CWSU	day	167:10 168:15 186:8	degrees
101:10,11	12:18 13:1 42:8 43:17	186:19 188:9 215:4	37:6
C-O	43:19 49:18 53:18,19	215:21 219:3 225:7	Del 27, 15, 29, 5
164 10	53:22,22 54:2,3,11	230:22 231:3,9 232:5	37:15 38:5
164:10		222.10 10 222 7 10	
	55:5,16 56:2,4,16,18	232:18,19 233:7,18	delay
164:10 D		232:18,19 233:7,18 233:22 234:2,3 236:16 238:13,19	

251:7	247:21 248:6,10	45:8 51:19 52:6 53:11	246:9
delegation	249:15 251:14 252:8	55:4,5 61:11 62:7	directed
145:3 147:13	describe	95:2 108:18,19 110:9	26:19 33:22 68:8
	44:16 248:22 252:15	115:5 120:3 129:20	72:20
demonstrate			
17:1 25:7 35:8	described	145:1,4 158:6 187:8	directing
denial	220:4 231:6,8 236:1	187:20,20 191:18	10:18 11:1,9 87:16
105:1	describing	195:5 212:18,20	89:6 90:2 94:20 95:8
denied	171:15	218:17 222:7 236:9	95:15
20:18 24:17 104:16	description	236:15 238:22 239:6	direction
Dennis	25:11 79:21,22 221:1	239:10,13,15 240:22	126:14 177:17 178:16
91:10 254:2 255:19	designated	253:9 257:7 258:5	274:7
denoted	65:19 66:7	died	directive
129:3	desire	107:22 120:18	8:7 18:8 68:8 80:19
dental	209:16	difference	141:10,14,21 142:8,9
190:15	desires	80:7 121:4	142:16,22 143:12,13
department	159:2	differences	144:20,21 147:14
2:5 4:7 9:4,12 32:7	desks	144:6	183:5
40:5,6,10,13,18,20	214:9	different	directives
40:22 156:21 157:12	detail	13:2 77:14,14 82:20	7:8 79:20
162:14 165:2 167:13	214:17 224:18 253:15	103:2 143:3 184:16	directly
168:18 169:2,7 170:9	determination	187:18 191:20	132:22 154:12
178:7 180:5 181:17	166:15 199:10 212:5	204:16	director
183:7 188:5,6 196:13	212:13 220:21,22	difficult	15:3 69:2 91:8 122:2
206:6 210:7 253:11	228:15 229:8 230:1	241:13	124:5,17 157:3
253:12 261:18	230:10 231:15	difficulties	159:19 161:9 172:2
departments	271:10	14:12	221:15 236:2 240:6
170:17	determinations	difficulty	241:1 246:22 247:9
department-wide	212:10	157:20	247:17,18 248:9,10
40:2,4	determine	diligence	249:4,11,12 256:10
depending	75:6,15 178:9 233:12	71:9	directors
55:15	determined	diligently	87:19 160:12,13,15,17
depends	180:11 219:21 228:11	33:1	160:22 161:1,2,6
55:11 198:19 251:6	231:3 232:4 235:20	dinners	171:9,9 172:16,17,18
252:3	238:1	115:1	173:1,1,3 221:17,22
DEPT	determines	dinnerware	240:3 241:5 249:2,3
1:9	139:17	26:7	250:1,6,12 254:1,2
deputies	develop	dire	director's
172:21	211:3	51:8 82:5,7,10	221:14 247:10 249:21
deputy	developing	direct	dirty
15:3 34:8 69:2 124:5	117:9 210:6	5:4,8,15 6:4 13:22	19:11 76:17
124:17 157:15 158:1	diabetes	37:1 71:15 84:7	disagree
158:3,22 159:1,19,20	96:9	111:17 114:4,11	240:2
160:1 169:13 172:6,7	dictated	123:13 127:10 156:8	disaster
172:18 173:9,15	204:19	160:6 162:2 169:1	66:16 199:1
174:3 246:22 247:9	didn't	184:20 203:6 242:8	disasters
	l	l	

141:12 148:15	dishware	doctor's	73:17,18 76:4 77:14
disciplinary	29:4,8	94:4 190:15	92:6,7 125:4,7 132:7
257:22	dishwasher	document	152:12 168:8 171:11
discipline	54:22 110:5	10:7 22:15 23:16 79:4	179:6 249:6 257:7
180:3 183:8,9	dishwashers	79:7,9,12 80:6,20	263:22
disclose	29:8 54:20 131:3	81:1,21 82:2 84:5,17	dollar
174:9	disinfectant	84:20,22 85:6 87:20	203:22 250:18
discreet	15:13	88:7 89:9,12 90:5,7	dollars
29:17	displaced	93:18 95:16,20 96:1	173:6 209:16 250:13
discretion	199:3	99:15 100:18 109:4	don't
213:14,17,18	disposable	127:13,22 135:4	23:18 33:7 49:5 51:21
discretionary	10:19 11:2,10 15:15	136:2,5,7,9 138:4	53:7 54:11 56:3 63:7
204:14	15:16 17:18 18:22	141:18 143:4 144:6,7	63:20 64:21 75:4
discuss	20:21 25:22 26:6,6	144:11 145:6,14,22	76:18 79:19 87:22
68:14 90:21 91:4	32:11,16 33:14 35:8	146:19,21,22 147:1,5	88:12 89:17 92:10
121:11,12,17,20	73:14 74:7 84:12	147:7 171:2,3,4	102:4 103:17 107:11
153:20 172:3,5	97:16,21 109:21	182:20 183:4 184:3,6	113:3 115:4 118:14
173:19 241:19,20	116:20 117:6 118:15	184:13 197:3 200:15	118:16 121:8,15
255:17 260:2,3,4	140:3,7 179:11 215:5	209:8,8 216:8,12	122:6,8 127:13,16
272:9	disposables	217:10 218:14,16,18	128:2,6 131:15,16,16
discussed	98:11 235:21 236:12	242:12 253:16,17,18	132:21 135:16 137:6
32:4 69:5 76:9 110:19	241:2 259:10	253:21 260:14	137:7 138:1 139:11
134:22 173:22 181:4	dispute	documentation	139:12,12 144:9,12
264:8,9	9:6 67:20 122:22	209:19	144:15 145:15,16
discusses	155:8 245:9	documents	146:1 147:6 151:2
116:10 118:14	disseminated	9:18,21 53:1 88:14	152:7 153:16 165:16
discussing	45:7	90:18 98:7,18 105:15	175:7,8,8,15 176:16
136:17 153:21 226:21	disseminates	109:12 127:21,21	186:4,6,7,13 188:14
256:2 269:1	44:19	128:9 134:9,13	188:17 189:11 190:6
discussion	dissemination	137:16 180:14,17	190:14 191:10
76:16 98:11 131:8	159:15	218:13,15 260:10	194:18 197:10 199:7
174:6 260:20	distributed	261:10 269:13	199:7 200:1 209:7
discussions	88:6	DOD	213:21 216:21 218:9
14:18 65:11 72:13	distribution	201:8	218:20,21 222:3,3
73:3,8 74:17,19	78:22	Dodge	226:5,17 233:15
75:21 104:17 272:10	districts	45:9	234:6 238:17 239:17
disease	48:18	doesn't	242:19 243:17,20
17:7 73:15 96:10	divisible	23:20 51:21 57:3,5	254:16 258:17
108:22 139:18 262:5	54:3	58:20 61:19,19 75:1	259:11,22 260:22
diseases	division	76:3 78:20 97:3 98:4	264:13 265:4,11
26:20 28:1	4:9 124:4 170:8	102:19 103:3 104:9	266:1,8 269:17
disgusting	divulge	118:3 130:18 145:6	271:17 272:15 273:7
49:20	178:4	150:2 211:20 236:19	door
dishes	doctors	doing	99:1
19:14	164:2	11:12 20:18 32:11	Dorel
	I	I	

73:21	duty	edification	emblems
double-checked	25:18,19 152:20	261:17	197:22
45:12	D.C	educate	embossed
double-team	3:16 46:19 101:4	76:6	197:22
64:4		education	emergencies
doubt	$oxed{\mathbf{E}}$	37:3	147:22 148:8,14
25:21 102:5	$\overline{\mathbf{E}}$	educational	emergency
download	3:1,1,5 4:1,1 6:10 7:1	95:5 162:22 163:1	27:5 38:11,12 43:12
266:2	8:1,7 9:1,1 114:1,1	Edwards	65:19,21 66:2,8 67:2
downtown	128:10 141:16,19	1:22 2:10 274:4,16	68:12 146:18 147:16
100:3 167:4 174:2	142:2,19 143:21	effect	255:11,12
178:13 193:15	144:13 154:6,7,11,20	10:4 92:5 102:6 103:4	emergency-type
dozen	193:6 225:20 262:13	104:19 105:3 143:12	42:10
22:11	267:12,14	143:13,22 168:1	emits
dozens	earlier	191:2,6,7,9,17 192:8	48:14
22:11	32:8 66:22 79:3,12	192:10 194:20 269:5	employed
Dr	84:10 111:1,6 135:1	effective	12:5 37:10 156:18,20
163:16,16 164:6,16	135:3 151:6 217:4	133:19 144:1	156:21 157:10
177:16 178:16	260:20 269:1 272:10	efficiency	162:13,14 225:6
247:16 250:14	early	19:13 27:16	246:17,19 274:9,11
drafted	17:13 31:9 68:17	eight	employee
129:12	124:21,21	16:4 49:15,16 53:9,18	14:17 20:21,22 24:7
drag	easel	53:19,22 54:2,3	24:11 26:18 27:15
27:16	100:8	56:16,20	38:18 77:19 78:15
dragging	easier	eight-hour	130:11 168:4,17
267:6	168:6 265:11 266:15	25:20	169:6,8 204:8 206:20
drinking	easily	either	224:16 230:11
29:11	54:3	114:16 118:3 119:7	274:11
dubbing	east	162:2 172:10 180:4	employees
49:12	2:6 4:10 41:15	186:2 193:16 209:18	1:4 9:3 12:1,5 13:20
due	eastern	210:19 251:10 256:7	14:6,21 15:19 16:7,9
28:12 66:15 67:1	15:3 69:2 122:1 124:2	257:16 259:18	16:11 18:3,21 19:2
257:2	124:6,18 126:1	271:11	19:14 20:2 21:8
dues	easy	electronic	22:12,13 23:12,14
237:20,22	248:21 266:3 268:12	170:19 223:13 230:15	24:11,18,19,20 25:2
Duluth	eat	251:1,10,12 259:19	26:1 27:3,10 28:6,9
50:10 51:18 52:6,11	15:20 16:9 19:2 25:16	265:19,21 266:13	28:11 29:1,2,6 32:17
duly	49:6,7 54:10,11,13	electronically	33:21 34:6 41:8
36:7 123:11 156:6	99:6 197:18,20	44:18 45:10	44:10,11,12 47:8
246:7	eating 26:13 114:12	elevated	48:22 49:6,21 54:10
duties		253:10	55:1,8 56:10,13 58:8
45:19 46:22 55:15,18	economizing 133:13	elimination	58:11,16 59:7 65:18
157:5,6 158:21 159:5		27:21	65:20,22 66:17 74:1
159:11 247:8 249:21	economy 43:1	Email	92:18,21 93:10 96:20
251:4	TJ.1	242:19	96:22 99:5 100:21
		ı	

104:12 110:1,3,21	отпетно	accontially	89:17 94:19 137:6
119:10 130:6,13,16	ensure 19:1 25:1 33:19	essentially 18:13 42:19 59:9	191:10 254:17
140:2,6,9,10,14	130:13 150:16 165:2	78:18 79:21 83:18	exactly
141:3 146:14 149:7	167:12 171:17	146:22 160:12 165:4	10:13 92:13 131:16
149:11,16,17 150:5	172:13 191:21	167:3 183:10 203:20	133:21 138:3 172:14
161:12,15,19 165:22	203:22 204:4 208:11	essentials	examination
169:10 176:9 177:12	210:10 231:21 254:9	67:2	5:1 6:1 37:1 84:7
178:20 187:1 190:12	ensuring	establish	107:5 112:11 114:4,6
190:20 192:13	14:12 19:11 159:14	23:20 26:22 98:4	123:13 125:16
196:11,16 198:5,6,11	167:14 203:4 232:1	237:6	134:16,17 137:20
198:15 199:14 200:7	enter	established	139:10 149:2 150:11
202:16 203:5,16	9:8 137:9 142:2	69:19 70:5 97:22	152:9 156:8 185:16
207:11 208:1 221:17	183:21 185:11	98:14 167:17 194:8	200:22 202:7,10
224:15 225:3 229:7	227:17	etcetera	211:16 215:13 238:9
231:22 237:20,22	entered	58:18	240:20 246:9 258:19
255:1	39:21 106:17 108:16	event	examined
employee's	141:2 236:20 243:8	35:10 43:16 45:18	36:8 123:12 156:7
27:12,16 58:4 180:12	entertainment	138:17	246:8
employer	268:6	events	example
10:8	entire	71:17	13:3 23:13,15 24:16
employers	32:19 82:12,14	eventually	27:10 133:16 198:11
24:15	entitled	20:18	198:22 199:1 213:13
employer's	29:1 112:2	everybody	217:2 224:17 238:21
31:22	environment	45:11 53:20 59:2,2	239:15
employment	25:11,13 27:22 73:22	61:17 66:5 79:22	exception
4:9 37:21 123:16	76:15,17 110:21	114:22 168:11 216:6	49:4 182:21
194:22 203:19	environmental	217:2 263:20	exceptions
enacted	28:1 46:18 249:5	everybody's	182:18 225:9 232:21
26:16 27:13	EON	204:16 218:9 263:17	233:5
encompasses	45:15	evidence	excess
204:6	epidemic	9:22 14:7 17:5 24:2	57:19 59:22
ended	68:11 73:1	25:6 26:2,14 28:7	exchange 256:8 258:21 265:7
119:19 253:1 ends	equipment 18:4 55:19 78:8 225:5	30:9,13,19,22 31:7,8	
19:15 82:20	251:3	52:22 53:2 81:2 84:6 88:15 90:19 98:8	excuse 72:3 121:13 182:2
endures		100:19 102:11	execute
24:6	era 20:12	100:19 102:11 109:13 137:17 139:8	211:4
Enforcement	erudite	183:21 184:4 201:22	executed
157:1,4,7,11	35:12	227:18 242:11,13	106:19
engaged	Esq	261:11 270:3,5 271:8	executing
225:6	1:8,15	evil	173:5
engaging	ESQUIRE	272:7	execution
144:14	3:5,13 4:5	evolved	143:22 144:15 163:19
engineering	essential	225:9 232:21 233:6	executive
163:4 196:13	65:19,22 66:1,2,8	exact	21:21 40:8 158:20

		1	
247:7 249:1 254:5	expect	explicitly	243:14 253:22 254:8
exemplar	31:18 214:4	30:14	254:17 256:8,20
218:14	expected	explore	257:10 258:21 259:1
exemplified	16:17 67:8,10 212:7	107:4 147:8	259:9,11 267:22
22:9	214:8 224:16	explores	268:20 269:4
exhibit	expend	225:11 232:22	e-mailed
10:1,6 15:5 16:14	26:17 28:22	expound	52:5
22:14,16 39:21 50:4	expenditure	272:1,3	e-mails
50:5,7,9,10,12 53:2	22:5 25:8 28:5 146:17	express	51:19 162:3 256:21
72:3,4 79:10 81:2,22	228:12	68:5 72:7	
83:8 84:6 85:7 86:17	expenditures	expressed	F
87:18 88:8,10,15	26:18 34:7 179:21	64:19 70:2 102:19	\mathbf{F}
89:8,13 90:4,8,14,19	226:13 257:18	103:14 111:18	8:8 114:1 225:21
93:15,19 94:8 95:17	258:16	extend	262:19
95:21 98:8,22 99:9	expense	267:3	FAA
99:16 100:12,19	101:2 107:8 119:11	extended	25:9,11
108:11,17 109:13	223:14 233:1 237:21	148:3 247:15	face
111:11 128:9,9,10,10	238:3	extensions	26:7
128:13,14,16,17,18	expenses	266:22	facial
129:4 135:1,2,5,22	18:5 24:12 27:9 28:19	extensive	26:8
136:3 137:10,17	183:1 191:19 192:2,7	266:1	facilities
141:16,19 142:2,19	223:21 224:10,19	extent	14:21 16:3,6,8 19:3
143:21 144:13 154:6	225:12,21 226:10	32:15 58:13 64:17	24:20 25:6,10,16
154:7,11 170:21,22	268:6	102:3 139:11 178:1	27:13 41:19 66:19
171:1 180:16,18,20	experience	212:3 244:16 262:7	94:9 100:3 135:18
183:5,20,21 184:2,4	34:14,17 236:10 237:7	268:10	250:5
184:6,7 197:2,4	240:4 241:3 255:7	extra	facility
199:18 200:11,16	experienced	151:3,7 152:14,19	87:4 94:12 101:8
242:6,14 253:18	258:3	263:1	138:21 233:10
260:14 261:12	expert	extreme	facing
262:13 267:12,14	33:8,9 117:22 120:20	180:7	32:20 133:3 163:11,12
exhibited	219:17	e-mail	167:2
54:17	expired	3:9,18 4:13 7:10,11,12	fact
exhibits	105:3	7:13 17:16 20:14	8:9 16:11 22:9 25:10
6:11,12 7:3 8:3,16	explain	30:15,18 31:10,12	28:13 31:8 39:20
9:19 22:17 51:1	15:16,21 28:14 49:8	34:3,4 51:20 52:4	45:17 50:16 56:4
96:13 108:12 129:6	72:17 96:4 99:12	84:18 85:9,12,15	62:19 63:13 68:1,2
134:5 136:21 154:13	143:3 147:12 164:1	86:13 87:18 88:1,2	69:17,18 73:3 89:3
154:14 171:1 262:21	205:16 243:6 248:19	89:6,10 90:2 101:22	94:1,1,22 97:22
exist	explained	102:8 104:21 105:8	102:14 104:5 107:20
25:9	18:17,22 19:9 20:14	108:6 131:19 161:10	175:17 187:15 189:2
existed	explanation	172:4,15 173:21	222:4 231:15 235:4
187:20	92:7	175:12 177:9 186:10	243:21 258:2 262:18
exists	explanations	186:16 219:15 226:6	264:5
20:4	232:8	234:12 238:14	facts
	l	I	I

22.0 25.14 62.15	22.14.25.22.26.2.10	151.16	144.5 155.10 150.10
32:9 35:14 63:15	23:14 25:22 26:3,10	151:16	144:5 155:12 159:18
65:3 176:22 228:10	26:17 27:11 28:6	filling	159:19 181:8 184:18
failed	32:13,19 33:3 62:1	60:11	216:19 236:17
26:15	78:14,18 79:2 83:11	final	254:15 256:2 260:13
failures	96:19 162:19 176:9	227:5	264:7 270:19
165:2	181:17 182:13,15	finances	Fisheries
fair	186:21 198:10,14,15	157:7	156:22 253:14
95:5 187:8,19 236:18	199:13,14 201:3	financial	fishing
Fairbanks	202:15,16 203:19	17:15 31:4 32:20	197:16,17
12:7 248:15,16	209:22 210:3 215:4	33:16 88:21 133:4	fitness
fairly	220:19 224:4 227:10	136:11 157:16 158:8	27:2,13
267:4	228:4 258:1	159:3,8 160:13,20	fits
fall	feed	169:6,10 172:7 173:2	207:19
28:18 67:1 68:16,17	199:2	250:5,6,7 252:9	five
204:11	feel	257:3 258:12	56:20 160:8,18 161:14
fallout	107:6 168:22 242:2	financially	205:7 206:11 217:21
163:13	feels	274:12	226:22
familiar	107:2	find	fix
13:10 62:1 118:10,12	feet	35:16 50:15 78:3	55:19
135:19	47:22	79:15 80:2 105:17	fixed
family	felt	107:17 165:5 166:13	151:13
204:2	165:22 171:7,10,16	212:4 223:10 226:14	flatware
famous	177:16	228:4,7,17 230:6	26:6 215:22
253:17	FEMA	234:4 242:15 256:4	flew
far	199:1,7	265:13 268:3,11,13	72:10
41:15 58:21 62:6	fide	finding	flexibility
176:6 268:18	198:19 207:14,17	27:14 166:12	57:12
farms	215:7 216:1,2,7	fine	flight
48:18	217:11,22 218:3,6,9	52:20 107:10 114:10	46:15
fashion	219:7 220:6,6,7,8,9	120:19 154:10	flood
35:13 168:3	220:12 235:20	178:16 194:13	13:2
fast	236:11	218:21 234:17 244:5	flooding
114:17 116:8 121:2	field	245:1 246:12 265:21	43:9,10
266:16	28:11 29:3 34:14 75:9	266:12	floor
faxed	81:10	finish	91:3 93:3 94:18,19
129:4	figure	113:2,11	214:4
FBI	228:9 263:16 268:20	finished	floors
66:9	file	110:8 114:4 154:5	28:14
feared	9:17 85:15 86:14	finishing	Florida
67:6	filed	113:9	37:7 38:6 46:8
February	18:7 19:16 86:8,12	first	flowed
247:18 264:9 265:5,6	89:1 92:3 243:12	19:18 22:20 33:15	270:13
federal	fill	35:20 36:1 37:11	FLRA
16:2 18:1,12,21 20:19	60:18 73:7	39:6,7 40:9,9 67:18	22:1
21:7 22:12 23:8,9,12	filled	116:9 119:21 143:7	flu

2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2			
8:4,9 15:18 17:12	29:6 31:10 41:20,21	60:20	frequently
19:4 21:2 67:8 74:8	42:14 43:2,4,11,22	forth	94:3 225:7 232:19
92:22 93:4 96:21,22	44:8,17 46:6,11,12	36:19 54:16 123:4	Friday
100:10 101:1,9,16	46:12 47:10,11 49:9	155:15 167:15	152:21 153:11 264:12
102:1,12 103:6	50:15,19 52:17 53:5	245:14 256:22	Fripp
107:13,14,17,20,22	55:9,13 56:14 57:17	fortuitously	9:13 32:5
108:1,2,4,9,21 109:2	57:18 58:5 59:21	102:18	FRLA
126:5 130:8 132:14	65:20 66:5,18 77:11	forward	30:3
138:13 203:3	85:22 98:13 100:21	11:17 132:10 168:20	front
flues	100:22 110:4 130:16	170:21 174:6	127:13 135:2 180:21
102:12	130:17 131:4,5 145:3	forwarded	213:21
flurry	149:21,21 152:11	85:9 86:12,13 165:7	frustrated
53:16	179:15 234:22 235:2	forwarding	273:6
flus	235:10 236:6,8	84:22 116:8	frustration
98:13	248:11,12,15,16	found	273:5
FMC	249:7 250:4,17,19	26:13 30:5 47:9 79:16	FTE
9:4	255:10	79:19 108:8,13	203:18 204:6
focus	forecaster	166:17,19 189:2,3	full
71:21 273:10	38:9 44:16 45:9	231:16 240:8,10	10:4 203:20 210:21
folks	123:22 124:1	255:4 256:1,6 262:10	fully
54:9 69:4,4 171:6	forecasters	269:12	14:7 22:4 43:22 57:18
179:17 183:9 255:20	14:8 55:11 56:20,21	foundation	59:21 60:6 159:15
257:1 258:4,13	57:2,19 60:1 152:12	67:19 209:3,7	full-time
follow	forecasting	four	38:16,18 203:19
169:17 179:2 262:1	46:3 130:19	99:21 102:21 116:17	function
followed	forecasts	145:13 146:9 169:7	42:10 66:11 214:7
167:13	42:22 47:2,6	224:8 232:11 236:17	functions
following	foregoing	249:2	159:3
182:16,22 183:10,11	274:5,7	frame	fund
209:17,17	forever	63:8 126:14 143:6	173:20 186:22 201:9
follows	76:10	framed	201:15
36:8 123:12 156:7	forks	10:11	funding
224:20 246:8	83:13 92:11 110:12	frankly	167:21,21
follow-on	115:20	32:19 215:13 240:1	funds
163:14	form	free	18:2,20 20:20 21:6
food	93:13	28:9 64:20 93:4,5,7	22:5 24:9 25:8 26:17
49:21,22 114:15,17	formal	95:13 100:10 101:1,8	28:5,22 29:2 33:4,5
115:4,8,18 116:3	178:5 249:13	107:6 115:4,8 234:17	33:13,18,21 34:7
206:15,18 207:1	format	242:2 260:5,6	35:9 96:19,21 140:11
224:18	266:14	freeze	140:11 146:17 161:2
force	formerly	31:5 61:3,6,9 63:2	166:1,19,20,21
10:4 271:13	21:13	133:17,18 194:15,17	175:12 179:21 180:9
forecast	formulate	194:18	182:17 185:2 193:21
12:6,17,19,22 13:1,4,5	89:18	freezer	194:1 201:6,17
13:10,16 15:21 25:13	formulations	115:2	207:15,21 208:12,12

_			
200.10.200.14.20	C40	1.*.	
208:18 209:14,20	GAO	geographic	go
214:6 220:11,13	21:15,16,19 92:9	41:11	11:17 12:15 36:19
223:22 224:12	212:5,10,12 242:15	geographical	45:21 52:3,6 53:14
229:19 231:17 253:3	242:17 268:4	42:20	55:18 57:10 63:7
254:11,13 255:1,11	garage	germane	66:14,19 72:1 75:18
255:15 257:3,19	100:6	175:2	76:10,21 77:1 78:20
Furgione	Gary	germs	80:10 82:9 83:1 93:4
6:3 34:8,10 160:5	84:17 85:14	76:13 110:13,18,20	93:5 97:3 99:6 100:9
173:10 245:6,7 246:6	gateway	117:20	102:3 105:7 106:2,7
246:11,15	44:15	getting	109:19 113:10 115:3
furloughing	gee	62:6 64:3 96:20 113:5	122:5 123:4 125:4
192:12 193:14	222:21 270:7	120:10,11 165:9	126:8 128:4,7,20
furloughs	gelled	168:21 172:5 194:2	133:14 134:8,16
192:11 193:19 194:9	O		*
	110:16 117:19	204:5 263:17	146:1,4 150:20
furnishings	Gen	get's	151:14 155:15 168:8
220:1 223:14,21	174:21 224:20	76:17	168:20 169:1 173:14
224:11,19,21 225:2	general	giant	175:15 176:16 177:5
225:19 226:11	4:8 17:21 18:1,17	48:20	191:1,6 210:5 212:18
228:16	20:11 21:4,9,11,12	gist	219:5 221:4 230:16
furniture	22:4,9 23:7,11 24:3,9	164:18,20	237:15,16 241:22
183:1 233:10,16	24:16,21 26:3 27:10	give	242:1,2 244:21
238:22 239:14	28:5 37:19 43:1	36:15 96:21,21 101:8	245:13 248:13
further	59:13 73:16 78:15,17	106:22 107:5 122:4	250:16 257:5 260:5,6
5:12,19,20 34:2,3 61:2	83:10 92:12,19	123:15 128:5 131:18	272:20,22
61:4 81:9 88:5	123:22 126:9 146:16	134:9 143:20 146:13	God
110:22 121:9 133:22	166:5,6,14,15 170:8	147:14 148:11	218:12
150:9 152:8,9 153:15	170:11,15 174:6	155:19 162:7 165:14	goes
153:16 185:10	178:12,17 186:3,15	165:17 198:22 208:1	30:1,16 44:17 53:20
201:18 211:14 238:6	212:15 215:4,18,20	209:18 210:2 214:3,6	58:1 62:3 65:5 66:13
238:9 240:20 241:11	229:15 258:10	220:19 221:9 271:2	82:19 110:12 180:4
260:8 263:4,5 274:10	generalized	given	182:22 210:5,7,7
Furthermore	42:21 190:9	56:4,22 104:6 154:13	237:3
26:10	generally	192:5 213:19 214:6	going
future	15:19 16:7 24:11	221:1 228:19	10:12 14:7 15:15 17:5
16:22 37:21			
	40:21 47:14 57:19	gives	19:19 23:1 26:2,14
FY	59:21 75:9 153:2	42:4 96:8 144:22	43:16 45:19 48:19
61:10 212:19	182:16 267:4	183:3 184:16	50:3 57:21 58:10
F-U-R-G-I-O-N-E	General's	glass	59:11,14,15 60:3
246:16	18:16 20:12 21:10	110:8	61:14 62:22 68:10
G	22:8 25:4,10 58:14	global	70:11 71:6,12 73:4
	230:22	78:22	76:5,11 77:4 80:3,4,5
G	generic	globally	81:20 82:5 83:20
8:8 9:1 225:21 262:19	76:12	20:7	84:3,14,15,16 85:3
game	gentleman	glucose	96:15 98:16,21
113:7 270:22	176:11	95:6 97:1	101:21 103:5 104:13

105 16 10 106 2 14	l ,	20 12 20 11 06 0 0	. 10.7.1.0.1.0.2.2.10
105:16,19 106:2,14	goods	29:13 30:11 86:8,9	6:10 7:1 8:1,8 262:19
106:22 107:5,13,18	219:22 221:17,19	86:12,14 88:22 89:1	half
108:7,9 111:13,14	google	90:21 92:3 104:16	69:18 247:2 248:5
112:22 113:9 121:22	79:16,18 80:11,13	178:20,22 179:9	hand
122:9 123:2,3 127:16	242:15	243:12 269:12,15	15:12 176:8 246:4
134:1,3,4 137:9	Gotcha	grounds	handed
140:20 144:4,17	112:15 195:16	96:15	92:12 96:3
145:21 148:6,22	gotten	group	handle
149:21 153:19	107:3 149:5,12	44:18 45:8 46:18	64:5
	ŕ	199:2	handled
154:10,12 155:13,14	government		
155:14,21 156:1	21:13,17,18 23:7,21	grouped	160:20
157:17 164:1 165:13	32:20 47:18,18,19	46:17	Handout
170:21 175:21 176:9	62:2 66:11,15,22	groupings	7:15
178:1 192:12 193:14	78:14 101:7 149:14	202:18	hands
197:1 199:17 208:7	162:20 185:2 202:15	groups	117:14,21
209:2 212:4 214:3,17	204:15 209:22 224:6	47:2	hand-washing
215:10,14 218:13	224:14 250:22	GSA	29:7
221:19 228:17 232:7	271:20	7:4 23:6,22 78:20 79:4	happen
234:2 237:14 245:13	government's	79:18,22 80:1 81:16	55:4
245:20 253:16	58:11 101:2	83:5 111:2 118:19	happened
256:21 263:21 264:2		158:13	31:2 75:1,12 78:11
	grab		· ·
264:6 265:20,22	114:17 221:4	GSA-ordered	115:11 139:20
267:12,22 270:2,15	grade	80:19	165:20 177:8,9
270:16 271:2,2	158:12,13,15,18 247:4	Guam	256:19
good	granted	12:7 41:15	happening
9:11,15 10:6 11:21	97:19	guess	73:11,12 144:20 171:5
31:20 32:3,4 36:12	graph	87:21 124:21 125:2	happens
36:22 39:4 52:21	109:3,6	133:10 148:18	56:11 86:2 87:7
64:8 65:16 83:6 86:1	great	149:15 177:3 179:1	102:17 108:9 121:1
86:4 87:9 88:13	80:19 112:8 157:19	199:11	234:1
89:20 91:22 93:8	228:6 242:19	guessing	happy
100:16 112:9,16	greatest	82:21	242:5 265:15
114:8,19 117:20	28:12	guidance	hard
125:13 128:20 129:8	Green	84:11 87:12 126:17	168:3 267:16
131:18 134:6,15,19		181:19 249:7	hasn't
	31:9 85:15,18,19 86:3		
137:14 142:17	87:6 88:2	guidelines	98:14
147:20 148:22 154:2	greeting	262:1	haven't
154:3,15 155:2	225:22	guy	48:8 59:15 70:3 97:18
156:10,11 183:3	greivant's	49:17 57:7,9	102:7 104:22 149:9
188:21 202:6 206:8	105:1	guys	209:3,6
207:20 234:10	grew	46:9 66:13 129:13	Hawaii
235:17 238:7 243:15	14:2 117:15	219:13	44:4 248:18
244:8 246:11 249:19	grievance		Hayes
260:5 262:9,22 263:3	6:15,16 10:8,9 18:7,9	H	247:16
266:8	18:14 19:16 20:18	H	hazards

	1	1	ı
27:21	118:13 151:6 270:5,8	Hi	103:5 104:15 106:3
head	270:13	123:15 245:6	107:8,11,15 108:21
44:14 120:21 135:21	hearing	hide	109:4,8,15 111:5,10
137:8 141:15 182:19	9:18 70:10 80:11	269:10	111:21 112:4,17
190:8 191:11	105:7 119:3 157:20	higher	121:14,19,22 122:6
headed	hearings	39:10 120:14	122:16 123:14
160:19	36:10	highlights	125:12,18 128:11
headers	hearsay	83:10	129:9 133:22 136:20
88:4	116:6	Highway	139:4,6 142:5,11,13
heading	heart	2:6 4:10	149:3 150:7 152:10
72:14	30:1,16	Hill	153:14 154:18,21,22
headquarter	held	167:5 210:14	155:14,18 157:19
28:22 65:22	2:3 8:7 181:21 248:7	hinged	162:9 176:3 184:1,8
headquarters	Helen	103:6	185:15,17,22 189:1
13:19 28:10 44:8	13:12	hire	189:17 191:16
84:11 87:4,6,7,13	hell	62:8	193:10 194:14
96:22 100:1,2 101:5	218:10 219:9	hired	195:17 197:5 199:21
101:7 124:2 143:16	help	37:11,16 62:7	200:1,4,17 201:2
168:13 172:20 214:7	61:1 93:8 104:15	hiring	202:1,3,10 206:2,4,6
249:3 251:22 252:6	110:20	31:5 61:3,6,9 62:5	206:14 208:3,5
heads	helped	63:2 71:20 133:17,18	211:15,17 214:11
21:12 40:17,22 122:4	25:1 39:22	194:15,17,18	215:16 216:21 218:5
health	helpful	Hirn	226:16 227:4,8 228:4
16:21 18:20 19:7 20:5	99:18 241:16 269:19	3:13 5:4,8,10,12,16,18	234:14,20 235:16,18
24:8 26:18 27:1,3,7	helping	5:20 6:5 9:10,10	237:2,9,18 238:6
27:13,20,21 28:15,16	199:6	10:5 11:18,20,22	239:6,11 240:21
58:4 92:18 93:7,8,22	helps	12:11,16 21:16 22:19	242:15 243:17 244:5
95:5 97:1,19,20	89:18	22:22 23:6,19,21	244:13 258:20
100:9 104:12 110:1,2	hemisphere	24:3 35:20,21 37:2	260:22 261:3 263:8
117:22 119:9,11	41:15	39:5 42:5 44:6 46:2	263:19 264:11,13,20
186:22 202:14,15,19	hereto	48:21 50:13,22 52:16	266:4,10 267:15
225:15,16 237:20,22	274:12	53:3,7,10 54:5 58:1	268:3,11,22 269:9,14
238:2,4 244:15	Here's	59:18 61:13,17 62:16	
healthy	75:5 105:6	62:19 63:13 64:9	272:13,19
58:11,20 59:7 73:16	hey	65:17 67:18 68:4,19	Hirn's
73:22 93:10	222:3,5	69:17 70:8,14,16	35:4 70:4 104:8
health-related	he's	72:1,2 77:8,10 79:11	history
225:18	59:9,11 62:9 69:15	80:17 81:3,6,15,18	27:17 28:4 35:5,7
hear	107:3 122:7 175:10	82:1 84:8,20 85:2,5,8	123:16 208:7 215:3
9:6 14:16 32:18 34:8	175:11,11,11 208:7	85:15 86:5,19,22	hit
65:1 122:18 202:5	209:7 215:13 218:10	87:10 88:9,16 89:21	230:17
heard	218:16,19 219:6,9	90:9,13,20 92:1	HMT
29:14 35:4,5 64:18	236:15,16 254:12	93:20 94:15 95:14,22	55:13
101:3,9 102:7 104:18	HHS	96:12,17 98:9,20	HMT's
107:20 117:21	8:14 261:5	100:11,20 102:3	152:13
			l

		•	•
hold	43:14,17 49:16,16,18	102:4,13 103:11	157:19
12:9 40:2 91:19	53:8,18,19,22 54:3,8	104:9,13 108:3,9,10	immediate
143:20 157:14 158:7	56:16 57:13 203:21	110:19 116:10,13	42:17 66:20 203:2
163:3 247:20	House	119:16,19,22 120:2	Immediately
holder	201:3,6,14	120:18 126:5 135:19	100:5
118:17,22 119:7 181:6	house-keeping		immunization
182:18	266:17	I	28:6 95:13
holders	HR	idea	immunizations
179:14 181:14,20	188:4,4	42:4 96:8 116:1 164:2	95:7,9,10
holds	HR-type	165:15 190:6,9,11,20	immunize
20:19	40:21	201:16 204:6	28:22
holiday	HSS	identical	impact
273:13	261:17,21 262:2	25:12	14:3,5,15,19 15:6
holidays	huge	identification	28:13 69:8 148:18
42:9	78:21 82:22	9:22 22:13,16 23:2,4,4	149:22
home	human	50:5,8,12 79:6,10	impacts
49:15 76:19 112:19	188:5,10,13	81:22 84:15 85:7	193:18
113:4,5,10 114:15	hundreds	86:17 87:17 88:8	impermissible
115:3 196:4 198:2	44:11	89:8,13 90:4,8 93:15	198:10
200:8,20 263:21	hung	93:19 95:17,21 99:8	impermissive
Homeland	122:7	99:16 108:12 111:1	186:9
262:17	Hunt	111:11 135:5 136:3	implement
homes	13:13	141:19 180:18 197:2	126:21 127:1,4,7
199:3	hurricane	197:4 199:18 200:16	135:7 137:3 148:4
honestly	13:11 42:16,18 46:22	242:13 261:11	implementation
131:15 234:6	66:12 123:21	identify	16:14 103:20 116:10
Honolulu	hurricanes	86:20 89:9 108:18,19	116:15,21 117:6
248:17	46:13	111:7 199:20	129:12 135:8,15
hoped	hurricane-prone	ignorance	implemented
106:10,14	255:8	168:8	127:8 133:13
hopefully	hydro-meteorological	105.9 107.12	implementing
71:8 168:1	55:14	195:8 197:13	135:12
Hopkins	hygiene	illegal	implication
91:16,21	28:2	19:22 30:5,20 32:12 34:5,12 104:19	104:21
horns	hyperlink	105:13 106:17	imposed
241:17	268:5	178:10,14 244:3,5	31:3
hostile	H1	illegality	imprisonment
125:12	68:2 71:3 76:21 102:9	31:14	258:2
hotel	103:21	illness	improper
146:17	H1N1	27:14 28:12 153:1	20:1
hour	8:5	illnesses	impropriety
53:9,11,22 54:2,6	H1-N1	15:19 19:4 27:16	31:14
56:20 81:9 169:7	14:3 16:14,17 17:9,12	130:8	inappropriate
hours	20:7,8 67:7,21 68:6,9	imagine	166:20 180:12 231:17
12:17 13:1 16:4 42:6,8	69:9,22 72:11 75:2,3	giiic	Inasmuch
	•	•	•

•			
11.17	126.12 120.12 150.2	252.6	! 4
11:16	136:13 138:13 150:3	253:6	intern
incept	261:18 262:17	instruct	37:17 123:20 248:15
249:4	inform	89:3	248:16,17
include	172:6	instructing	internal
16:5 27:4,22 139:2	information	131:20	163:14 164:22 165:5
229:6 271:3	8:9 43:12 45:20 46:1	insubstantial	165:11 166:10,13
included	78:5 101:14 118:7	30:21	international
110:15,16 129:11	174:10 175:4 239:21	insurance	17:15
includes	262:18	119:9,12	internet
112:14 250:4	informative	integration	80:2 82:13 93:14
including	35:7	162:16 248:1	242:8,9 265:10,12,14
24:7 26:18 27:20	informed	intend	interns
174:1 192:10	179:3	207:22	152:13
increase	inherently	intended	internship
60:22	76:17	16:20 17:2,2 27:19	38:1
increased	initial	127:4,7 130:7 166:2	interpret
24:7 61:2,4	252:22	intent	218:17
indefinite	initially	64:11,21 65:5,15	interpretation
253:4	21:8 77:4 160:3	69:21 73:13 75:16	164:21 178:9
indefinitely	initiate	105:9 109:20 126:21	interpretations
17:3	126:13	127:1 130:5,10	204:17
INDEX	initiated	131:12,14 137:19	interpreting
5:1 6:1	14:18 31:5 252:18	150:13,16 187:10	105:14
indicating	257:1	189:5 198:20 207:20	interrupt
74:6	initiation	208:5,7,13,19,22	74:16 138:18,19 139:1
indicative	126:10	209:4,9,13 214:5	introduce
107:3	injuries	220:13 236:20	29:5
individual	27:4	intentionally	introduces
140:9 168:19 211:20	inland	64:1	81:12
213:3 221:4 250:16	42:18	intentions	introducing
258:15	inoculations	63:19	22:17,22 144:13
individuals	28:9,17	interact	introduction
174:1 258:8,11	inquiry	161:6,21 162:4 251:15	225:14 229:16
indulge	252:17	251:18,19,20 252:1	invented
145:17	inside	interest	92:11
industry	55:17 77:15	58:4,11,12 59:6	investigation
42:22	insights	111:19	107:17 163:15 164:22
influenza	216:20	interested	165:5,11 166:7,10,11
14:4,20 15:7 17:4,7,8	Inspector	93:9 274:13	166:11,13 231:16
26:20 28:9 29:1,3	166:5,6	interests	252:18,18,22 253:7
67:7 68:6 95:11,12	installed	58:3	253:11,13
101:16 102:11,16,17	29:9	interim	invited
103:1 118:11 119:16	instance	41:7	34:22
126:17,19 129:15,16	55:22 95:3	interject	involve
131:10 135:16	institute	226:17	224:14 252:13
	I	I	l

involved	45:10 84:11 136:11	64:12 66:10,11 67:13	242:20,20,21 251:20
21:4 25:4 117:9	136:12 146:16	67:15 71:6 76:15,17	263:22
124:10 126:3 209:21	234:12 239:1	78:18 79:1.6 80:8	I'm
236:21 237:10	issues	82:3,20,22 84:17,21	12:15 22:19,22 23:1
257:12,14	10:11,14 11:14 32:9	84:22 85:15,18 96:6	33:8 38:17,18 43:8
involves	44:16 57:22 59:1	96:7,16 97:4,8,12	45:13 46:7 50:3
30:11 183:8	71:20,21 97:19	98:4 99:11,20,20	52:20 57:21,22 59:15
in-between	124:19 125:7,8	100:14 101:11 102:9	59:19,20 61:12,12,14
41:18	163:21 252:1,4,13,15	102:20,20 103:6,8	61:17 62:22 64:6,9
in-flight	269:11	104:1,2,4,19,22	65:1,3,4 70:8,9 71:18
46:12	item	107:14 108:2,3 116:6	72:21,21 77:4,4 79:5
in-term	221:7 223:5 230:2,7	119:14,21 120:14	79:7 80:3,4,4 81:19
55:14	230:10	121:2 127:18 128:9	82:5,21 83:20 84:14
ironically	itemized	128:14,16,17 134:7	95:12 96:15 98:5,16
17:6	132:13	136:18 138:14 139:8	98:21 99:21 101:21
irrelevant	items	142:14,15,15,21	103:12 104:19
102:1 105:17	10:19 11:3,10 18:19	143:9 148:13 151:22	105:12 101:19
IRS	20:1 21:6 24:5 32:16	152:1 153:2 155:12	107:5 108:16 111:6
24:19	33:14,22 35:9 74:15	163:6 168:20 170:13	111:13,14 112:4,4,4
Island	83:4 84:12 92:17	170:22 171:1 174:16	112:21 113:4,9
69:3	96:20 117:6 118:15	174:19 175:5 176:1,1	114:10 117:22 119:3
Islands	140:7,9 141:2,5	176:3,15 177:3,5	119:4 120:7,20 121:5
44:4	144:19 145:4 148:12	180:16 181:9 183:14	122:21 123:3 124:19
isn't	171:11,18 173:20	184:14 187:8,19	128:15 129:10
49:9 116:9 150:18,21	177:14 179:12,13	190:15,16 193:1,1	131:15 134:3,4
152:19 197:11	180:2,3 182:16,18,22	194:8 195:14 197:16	135:10 136:22 138:6
213:13 235:19 236:5	211:9 212:6 213:3	202:13,21 203:22	140:20,20 153:19
267:7	214:22 215:9,20	204:2,3,3 205:12	154:10 155:6,14,21
isolated	216:3 219:21 220:17	210:8 215:13 216:5,9	156:1,21 157:18
16:7 29:17	220:17,18 221:2	216:10 217:16 219:7	158:5 160:1 165:9
issue	223:20 224:10	223:10 224:7 226:7	170:21 176:9 178:12
10:10 12:18 13:2	225:18 229:21,22	227:6 237:1,5 241:16	182:6 184:18 185:11
15:10 26:8 33:14	231:4 240:1 250:13	243:21 244:13	190:17 197:1 199:12
42:13,15,16,19,21	250:15,18,18,20	248:21 253:16,17	199:17 200:1 209:2
45:8 46:10 58:5 62:5	251:2 254:8,11,13	260:19 261:22 264:2	213:7 214:3 215:10
68:14 69:5 71:19	255:16 256:18	264:17 265:10,11	215:14 217:12,20
74:12 104:1 107:4	it'll	266:3,15 270:21	218:11 222:6 223:8
116:9 168:10 171:10	98:15 242:21	271:3 273:4,7,7	223:10,12,15 226:4
172:3 173:19,19	it's	I'd	227:1,1,2,3 228:2,6,8
175:3 177:16 187:4	21:15,19 22:21 28:21	142:2 183:20	228:9,13,17,18 230:5
219:22 237:13 241:1	41:1,2 42:3,9 45:7,14	I'll	234:4,10,10,14
254:15,19,20,22	45:14,16 46:20 49:18	32:2 50:4,22 77:18	237:14 241:15 242:5
255:17,22 269:1,2	50:5 53:10,18,19	79:4 82:18 88:9	243:10,17 244:1
issued	54:11,15 55:22 58:2	113:12 176:13	245:8,20 246:12,22
10:17,22 11:8 40:8	58:10,22 59:13 60:14	184:20,22 203:7	247:5,10 253:16
	l		

260:17 263:22	6.12 0.19 22 10.2 15.5	246.15 15 262.12	34:4 255:15
	6:12 9:18,22 10:2 15:5	246:15,15 262:13	
265:15,15 266:16	16:13 72:4 129:6	keep	knife
267:2,3,4 269:9	170:21 262:21	58:11 64:14 73:16	97:21
271:2 272:8 273:8	jointly	76:4 119:2 122:11	knives
I've	77:3,6	148:5 155:22 156:14	83:14 92:10 110:12
39:16 47:12,16 50:20	Juan	157:18,21 161:3	knocks
52:10,10 54:22 71:14	12:8,10 41:17	keeping	56:22
75:6,6,15 78:8,13	Judge	93:9 148:2	know
94:3 101:9 116:6,18	218:22	keeps	10:13 12:18 23:18
117:21 118:4,13	judgment	216:7 218:3	33:4,6 36:19 37:20
120:1 123:18 131:6	176:10	Kensky	40:7 42:13 43:9 44:2
162:18 170:4 176:8	judgments	19:17 20:3,7,10 30:15	46:9 48:9 49:5,13
187:17 202:9 212:10	258:15	89:7,11,14,22 90:3	50:1,17 51:9,21 52:7
266:10 270:5 273:10	judicial	90:11 103:14,18	54:1,2,12 57:9 58:17
i.e	63:1 80:13 111:8	Kensky's	61:19,20,22,22 62:1
20:1	227:18	20:14 102:4,8 104:21	63:8 64:18,21 65:7
20.1	July	105:8 108:6 269:4	65:10 67:12,15 70:1
J	247:2	kept	70:14,18 71:9,10,11
J	June	93:11	71:13,19,20 72:15
3:13 8:11 180:16,16	144:1	kicked	73:1,3,7,8,9,20,21
180:18,20 181:10,10	Juno	191:15	75:4,18 76:6,7,15
181:11 182:5,13	248:11	kill	77:13,15 78:6,10,15
183:16,21 184:2,2,4			
184:6,9 185:13	justification	76:13 110:18	79:19 80:8,10 81:10
262:13,19	24:12	kills	81:11 87:1 88:17
Jack	justified	117:20	89:17 92:4,10 93:7
247:16	25:8	kind	93:22 95:5,16 99:9
Jackie	justifies	42:17 45:22 49:11	99:13 101:5 102:18
174:3	24:8	77:16 78:5 80:9	105:16,20 107:11
	J-O-H-N	101:16,16 103:10	110:20 111:18 112:2
January	156:17	114:21 147:22	113:1,2,7 114:21
12:12 61:11 191:14	J1	165:11 166:8 169:6	115:21 116:2,4,4
job	10:7	176:10 194:3 197:18	117:14,16,19,20
1:20 27:4 37:18 39:1	J2	202:19 211:5 251:6,9	120:22 121:4 124:14
125:4 131:1,2 208:17	10:7	264:5	127:14,18 128:2
209:5	J3	kindly	131:16,16 137:3
John	10:8	9:8	139:11,12,13 140:2,6
4:18 5:14 33:15 87:18	J4	kinds	140:13 141:8,13
88:17,19 131:19	10:9	28:16 96:22 124:14	144:9,12 146:20
155:4 156:5,16	J5	203:3 213:3 214:9	160:20 165:5,18
185:18 186:1 211:18	10:10	222:2 252:4 255:13	167:14,16 168:13,15
226:16 234:21 237:2		kitchen	168:18 169:14,16
237:2,19 240:22	K	16:8 26:5 50:19	170:9,11,15,15 172:8
253:22 254:7,8,20,21	K	kitchens	172:11 175:5,8 176:4
255:18 257:16	8:13 180:16,18 184:4	52:4	178:8,22 179:22
joint	184:6,7,9 185:12,13	knew	180:6,8 186:6,7
Ĭ	, , , , , ,		

187:17,20 188:22		56:1 192:16,18,19,20	leave
189:9,12,20 196:2	L	Laura	16:11 19:8 24:20
197:10,13 199:7	L	6:3 34:8 160:4 173:9	38:16 49:17 55:1
202:13 203:1 205:17	8:12 262:19		56:22 76:7 111:10
	lab	245:6 246:6,15	
206:20 208:7 209:3,7	18:3 22:11 23:10	258:21	149:4,8,12,14,16,18
209:13 212:21 214:5	labor	Laverne	149:20 150:2,4,4,6
214:20 216:21	4:9 11:3,11 18:11,12	173:16	150:14,21 151:8,15
218:10,12,14 219:2	26:10 29:15,19,21	law	151:15,15,20 152:1,4
221:14 222:5,7	35:17 40:2,6,10,14	3:14 4:9 17:20 21:10	152:5,15 153:2
223:15 226:5 227:3	40:19 60:14,15,22	22:7 26:14 27:8,14	154:10 190:1,6,12,14
231:21 232:3 233:19	72:14 89:18 124:15	32:13 33:3,9,20	190:20 203:8,10,11
234:6 235:4,6,10	124:19,20 188:5,13	97:17 156:22 157:4,7	203:13,16,17 204:2,3
236:16,19 237:4	laboratory	157:11 169:5,22	204:7,7 234:18 253:4
238:17,22 241:10,15	21:5	170:7,8,11 171:6,13	253:9 258:4
241:17 243:17	lab-coats	175:20 176:6,16,17	leaves
254:16,22 255:21	111:2	182:14,15 188:10	60:17
256:6,7 257:9,14	lack	199:11 201:4 205:4	led
259:17 263:16,21	109:17 167:4	207:18 213:5,8,17	39:18 72:8 126:4
264:1 265:8,9,10,12	lady	214:10 215:1 216:10	left
265:20,22 266:2,8,14	107:21	218:6 219:2,19	19:12 25:21 59:19
266:16,21,22 267:2,6	laid	220:19 222:1 223:3,9	107:11 125:4 237:5
268:14,15,21 269:17	209:3,6	223:16 224:5 232:2	243:6
270:2,4,7,20 271:8	land-based	234:1 257:1,5 270:10	legal
271:13,14,14 273:10	207:13	270:11	30:21 35:9,12 92:16
knowingly	language	laws	97:2,4,7,8 105:2
183:11	16:15 74:5,9,10,13	132:7 181:18	175:15 176:10,20
knowledge	lapse	lawyers	178:5 182:20 269:7
51:22 65:4 82:15	67:1	132:11	legality
115:7 116:2,19 117:5	lapsed	lay	269:2
117:7,12 120:12	60:14,15,22	35:12	legally
129:17,22 133:6	large	lead	28:21
139:22 152:6 175:6	46:13 48:14 101:7	38:9,11 67:16 71:15	legislation
179:10 196:22	211:18	189:13,15 272:19	176:8
207:22 208:4,21	largely	leaders	legislative
211:7 219:2 220:22	58:2 64:3	169:1	21:20 27:17 28:4
223:3,9 229:5 236:10	larger	leadership	208:6 215:3
241:8	16:2 46:3,11,21 47:15	167:4 168:14	letter
known	late	leading	102:4 165:6
149:8 227:9	39:22 68:17 69:18	70:16,17 71:14 125:17	let's
knows	124:21 270:21	139:6	23:3 50:2 71:13,16
61:18 208:6 209:4	latitude	learn	73:10,11,19 81:7,8
Kodiak	106:22 107:5 215:13	254:15,19	102:15 106:7 113:7,8
248:17	launched	learned	159:17 169:21
K-O	37:18	17:14 33:20 84:10	180:20 183:20
164:9	launches	223:16 256:13	213:11 217:21 224:7
	I	I	

225:16 263:16	lingon	I anganaaltan'a	31:6
	linger 87:11	Longenecker's	
level		132:19 243:13 257:10	Louis
29:21 40:11 125:22	link	longer	91:9 250:14 254:3
126:20 158:12,13	59:12 230:17 233:17	19:19 20:4,15 31:11	255:19
169:14 171:7 177:21	237:6 239:2,10 268:4	104:18 105:3 196:3,4	_
184:17 247:4	linked	269:5	196:19
levels	59:14	look	Lubechenco
40:12 158:15	links	50:3,16 51:19 73:18	163:16 164:7
liabilities	230:16 233:21 267:9	76:1,5 80:10 93:13	lunch
181:6,14	267:10,20,21 268:1	101:19 104:6 105:8	111:19 113:13 206:22
liability	listing	105:10,15 135:22	luncheon
180:13	143:8	141:16 143:7 167:19	113:14 114:3
liable	little	168:16 176:15	lunches
181:21 231:22	70:8 74:21 77:13	180:21 190:1,18	25:17 221:18 222:11
liberalized	79:18 110:10 119:3	212:14 215:17 217:1	lunchtime
22:7	165:17 265:14	217:18 218:12 233:4	24:18
liberty	270:21	233:5 269:21 271:10	lying
272:8	loan	looked	55:3
library	185:2	52:1,6 101:15 177:14	L-A-U-R-A
176:17	local	185:18 192:1 193:11	246:15
lies	120:16	218:18 219:8,19,20	L-O-N-G-E-N-E-C
35:17	located	222:21 228:14,15,16	156:17
life	12:7 100:1	228:17 234:5	L-U-B-E-C-H-E-N
247:12	location	looking	164:8
lift	42:20 45:21 94:19	47:3 54:13,15 61:12	
129:20	locations	71:19 94:7 163:18,19	M
light-bulbs	43:22 44:3 48:13	168:12 169:17 191:4	M
213:1	77:22	191:20 193:16 209:7	8:14 261:7,8,12,13
limit	lodging	216:21 220:17	262:14
130:7 192:2	146:17	226:16 228:12 239:3	mail
limited	long	248:22	266:19
16:16 17:3 74:2,8,11	S	looks	mailed
74:18 102:16,20	53:4 69:3 158:1,5	52:7 58:14 94:4 113:9	129:4
103:15,18 131:9	162:17,19 169:11	113:11 144:1 267:5	main
133:8 144:19 214:1	170:4 195:21 209:21	losing	49:13 127:7 159:13
limits	218:6 241:7 247:1	180:7,8	Maine
26:22 186:21 204:2	248:2 263:15 264:1	lost	12:8 41:17
213:18	273:4	145:16 149:17	maintain
line	Longenecker	lot	14:9 26:18 27:2
36:17 85:16 105:17	4:18 5:14 18:7 33:15	35:5 76:18 105:4	138:15
106:12 135:13	33:16 34:12 87:19	108:9 120:10,11	maintaining
159:18,19 168:19	88:17,19 131:20	149:20 157:20	55:20
227:21 253:14	155:4,5 156:5,10,16	190:16 192:9 231:16	maintains
lines	174:12 175:5 185:11	256:21 272:11 273:3	143:17
206:2,3	202:9 253:22 256:19	lots	maintenance
	ĺ		

	I		1
251:3	205:4	180:17 197:1,3	58:20,22 59:1 60:13
major	manipulate	199:18 200:11,15	64:2 70:14,22 72:10
13:9,15 40:17 43:9	266:15	242:12 253:17	73:5 81:6 82:22
majority	manipulatible	261:10	83:15 92:10,18
153:13 239:20	266:5	market	103:19 104:6 115:6
making	manual	14:1	117:15 120:9,18,20
59:7 186:7,19 201:5	180:1,22 183:6 184:16	marking	121:19 139:7 140:8
231:22 236:22 237:1	214:21	79:6,7	144:8 145:20 146:22
258:14 269:3	Manuel	Maryland	148:7 151:22 160:19
manage	8:11	1:16 2:7,11 4:11	172:11 174:14,17,17
157:6 160:13 161:2	Mao	Mason	175:1,3,22 176:4
168:14 212:6	218:12	155:12	178:2 188:9 190:14
management	map	mass	192:4 196:3,5 205:18
10:15,20 11:6 14:2,17	212:1	199:2	205:20 212:12,15,16
18:12 19:17 20:17	March	Massachusetts	213:13 214:17
21:3 28:8 29:14	10:17 11:1,8 17:17	273:16	216:14 218:20 219:4
32:10,21 33:22 40:19	31:3 34:3 62:16,16	master	222:17 223:2 231:14
41:1 65:6,11 67:10	62:17,20 63:2,10	30:7	233:9 259:1 269:8,9
68:3,12,21 69:21	87:18 102:1 133:2,13	Masters	269:21 270:1,20
70:2,21 72:8 74:20	133:15,20 142:10,21	163:3,5,6	271:6,13
75:14 89:2,18 90:22	173:21 177:8 194:17	materials	meaning
92:20 111:22 124:7	243:13	19:10 26:2 31:12 78:3	166:10 232:18
124:11,15,19,22	Mariann	95:5 108:13 131:9	means
125:15,20 126:10,11	1:7,15 9:5 122:20	132:13	56:17 75:7 96:7 113:2
126:12,15 127:4,6	155:6 245:8	matter	118:1
160:13,21 173:2	MARIANNN	1:1 9:2 12:10 27:8	measurement
177:13 187:9,11	3:5	102:19 103:3 104:9	97:11
236:9 238:4 250:5,8	Marie	126:9,13 150:2 159:8	measures
252:10	173:16	186:17,18	14:19
management's	marine	matters	measuring
15:2 64:11,21 69:21	195:14 253:13	63:20 68:21 130:6	13:22
91:7 124:20 126:22	mark	169:7 244:16 257:3	meat
266:6	22:13 23:1,3 50:4,8	ma'am	71:10
manager	79:5 81:20 85:3	156:11 182:10	mechanical
64:19 71:1 174:2	95:17	MBA	163:4
managers	marked	37:5,8	media
10:18 11:1,9 17:16	6:12 7:3 8:3,16 9:18	McDonald's	45:22
43:12 71:7 89:3	9:21 22:15 50:4,11	206:20	medical
92:21 131:21 152:1	79:9 81:21 84:14	meal	204:2 225:15,17
169:11	85:6 86:16,17 87:17	16:5 54:6,8	medications
managing	88:7 89:8,12 90:4,7	meals	28:17
33:18	93:15,18 95:20 98:22	24:20 55:2 198:7	meet
mandated	99:8,15 108:12 109:5	mean	41:5 68:20 210:10
205:7	109:12 110:22 135:2	37:18 38:20 50:18	220:13
mandatory	135:4 136:2 141:18	51:7,22 52:2 53:10	meeting

		•	
69:3 92:19 171:8	37:4	16:12	monopoly
meetings	methods	mismanagement	273:9
41:7 65:11	168:17	166:21	Monterey
member	metro	misplaced	50:8 51:18 52:11
39:17 167:18	99:20	18:17	months
members	metropolitan	mission	151:12 196:1 242:21
249:1 251:13	202:16	191:22 204:1,4 229:3	moot
memo	Miami	229:6 247:11	177:5
132:20 133:2 136:10	13:11	Mississippi	morale
187:5 194:18 211:11	MIC	43:10 123:20	18:21 24:8,11 25:1
221:9	85:21 88:2	mistakes	201:9,15
memorandum	Mickey	168:7,9	Morehead
6:19 10:9,16,17,21,22	5:7 15:2 69:1 72:6,7	misuse	248:12 255:9,10
11:7,8 20:3 75:7	122:1,16 123:10,15	231:17 257:2	morning
76:1 126:4 129:5	128:1,8,11 129:10	misused	32:4 58:5 79:3 94:2,6
136:16 137:5 142:7	134:7 149:4 152:11	166:20	mother
163:15 164:6,19,20	187:9,11,21,21 189:4	mis-appropriate	46:14 107:22
167:10 168:16	189:19 235:2,6 236:6	252:19 253:2	MOU
239:19 256:13	240:4 241:3 256:7,16	mitigate	15:5 16:13,19 18:9
men	258:22 259:3,18	14:19 69:8 193:17	19:20 20:16 26:9
66:18	middle	mix	29:16 30:10,20 31:1
mental	234:14,16 264:17	196:7	31:12 32:15 35:6,16
27:2	midnight	mixed	70:21 71:3 72:4,9,11
mention	57:7,10,11	195:18	73:10 92:5,5,6,8
180:14	mid-term	Mobile	102:6,9,14,15,22
mentioned	244:15	50:6,20 51:18 52:1,2	103:19 104:18
47:2 68:11,13 164:14	miles	52:10	106:16 109:20 116:8
169:22 183:4 190:22	46:20	modeling	116:10 128:12 132:6
merely	milestones	249:6	132:10 137:19,20
31:15	167:14	momentary	138:8,9,10,11,11
Meridian	million	16:10	139:21 140:1,5,13,16
123:20	250:13	Monday	140:19 141:2 143:1
mess	mind	152:20 153:11	143:14,22 144:15
198:5	113:3 228:11	money	150:13,16 174:20
message	mine	31:1 60:12 97:14,16	177:11,14,15 187:6
85:1 242:22 256:5	157:21	149:14 167:5 168:5	187:10,14 189:3
met	minimally	194:11 214:3,6	220:19,22 236:16,20
29:22 167:15	58:7	Monique	240:8,10 255:21
meteorologist	minimize	4:6 9:14 91:12 122:2	259:7,12,16,19
31:9 38:12 85:17	150:14 171:1	Monique.cioffalo@	mouth 117:21
123:20 124:3,4 248:10,12,14 251:4	minimum	4:14	MOU's
* *	14:8,14 59:22 152:16 minute	monitors 46:14	187:16
meteorologists 85:20 101:12	128:5,7 217:21		move
meteorology	minutes	monkey 57:16	33:1 50:22 84:16
meteor orogy	immutes	37.10	33.1 30.22 04.10
	1	ı	1

86:19 88:9 90:13	69:1 73:2 74:1 79:20	216:2,7 217:11 218:6	72:10 121:20 123:22
96:12 100:11 170:21	87:3,6 88:4,21 91:8	218:19 220:9,12	124:1 143:9 168:15
174:6	91:11 92:14 123:18	226:18 235:21	169:18
moved	125:7,22 136:12	236:11 243:20	newspaper
132:10	156:22 157:13	260:21 262:7 264:2	120:16,17
movie	161:19 177:12	266:21	Nia
13:12	178:20 190:1 195:12	needed	4:5 9:13 32:5
moving	247:11 249:5 252:6	34:13 68:13 72:16,17	nia.smith@noaa.gov
57:6 108:16	253:13	130:12,13 177:17	4:13
	nationwide	ŕ	night
multiple 130:16	12:2	191:5,21 needs	113:5,6 120:16
multi-bowl	nation's	64:5 107:4 203:2	nine
110:6	12:6	226:19	53:21
multi-page	nation-wide	negotiate	ninth
79:7 109:4	46:11 73:19	26:12 39:22 68:21	93:3 94:18,19
Murray	nature	69:14 72:5,8,11	NOAA
34:18 91:10 189:10,11	24:14 183:2 221:3,8	126:9 127:2 187:13	7:14 8:9 61:2 93:14
189:13,19 257:16	222:12 273:7,8	negotiated	99:3,6 100:1,2,3
mutually	near	69:5 70:2 129:14	101:5 116:11 118:10
69:7	25:17	187:16	126:17,18,20 129:15
MWSEO	nearby	negotiating	135:7,13 136:13
243:9	114:18	39:18 40:1 41:2	162:15,17,18 164:13
	necessarily	124:22 131:7 189:5	166:7,10 169:15
N	30:6 58:3 102:7 148:9	negotiation	177:12 194:22,22
N	170:16 258:9	75:11 126:4 127:8	195:8,11,12,15,18
3:1 4:1 9:1 114:1,1,1	necessary	negotiations	196:7 197:11,22
name	14:9 15:17 19:1 25:16	68:2 75:12,13 126:13	206:4,10 209:15
9:5 32:5 122:20 155:5	98:12 152:17 153:3	138:12	249:14 253:5,9,12,14
156:12,16 161:18	161:11 177:3 203:2,4	negotiator	262:17
235:14 245:5,6,7	225:5	15:1,2 34:19 68:22	NOAA's
246:13	necessity	89:17 91:11 111:22	126:21 170:15 247:22
names	20:4	125:3,5,20 187:9,12	249:15
91:14 162:8 165:8,16	need	189:14,15 235:7	non-compliant
napkins	19:7 20:15 42:18 57:3	neither	181:22
24:17,22 26:7 83:12	57:12 59:3 62:10	274:8	non-confidential
97:16 115:19 209:1	63:18 64:14 71:21	networks	12:4
215:6,22	76:5 97:5,20 102:5	78:22	non-managerial
nation	128:11,14 147:6	never	12:4
247:13	148:5 151:7,15	34:22 35:2 42:9 52:11	non-potable
national	153:19 169:17	54:22 69:20,20 94:3	255:14
1:3,10 9:3 11:22 13:9	170:10 173:14 174:5	101:9 106:17 116:6	non-statutory
13:11,16,18 32:6	188:17,18,21 190:18	118:9,13 193:19	225:10 232:22
37:11 39:18 40:14	192:15 198:19	195:5 214:17 234:21	non-supervisory
41:9,10 45:16 46:5	207:14,17,19 209:16	242:20	12:3
46:16,18,21 66:2,4	213:9,15 215:7 216:1	new	NOP
	I	l	I

_			
45.16	202.12.200.16.225.7	52.10.52.6.62.4.65.5	0
45:16 north	202:13 209:16 235:7 242:14	52:19 53:6 62:4 65:5	Oceanic 1:10 32:6 195:12
		67:9 69:11 88:11,12	
41:16 248:12	numbers	90:15 96:14 100:13	ocean-going
Northwest	10:1 50:12 53:2 88:15	100:15,17 106:11	47:6
3:15	90:19 95:16 98:8	109:9 111:15 123:7	October
Notary	109:13 137:17	137:13 139:4 142:4	10:3
2:10	180:18 184:4 261:12	154:10 155:19,20,21	offense
note	numerary	156:1,2 174:9 183:22	184:18,18
20:7 33:6	153:6,10,11	184:8 194:2 200:21	offenses
notes	numerous	200:21 208:3 236:13	184:17
111:16 216:15 217:8	37:19 212:10	236:13 245:17,18,19	offer
274:5	nurse	245:20 246:1 260:20	95:13 96:7
notice	94:5 203:1	263:19	offered
19:19 63:1 80:13	nurses	objections	101:1
111:8 126:22 227:18	96:3	71:11	office
260:12,13	NWS	obligating	4:8 15:13 21:12,14,18
noticed	85:17 87:19	220:10	21:19 31:10,11 39:6
95:6	NWSEO	OBM	39:7,10 41:14 47:20
notification	12:2 14:18 34:20,22	68:8 72:21	47:20 49:9 50:19
34:20 178:21 179:8	35:2 41:8 126:22	observational	51:11 52:17 53:5
notified	161:20,21 179:3	55:19 251:3	
	ŕ		55:7,9,13,13,16,17
179:3 257:9	243:10 255:21 257:9	observations	55:18,22 56:14 57:18
notify	257:15 259:16	37:19	57:18 58:19 59:21
126:20 135:8 179:7	NWSH	observed	65:21 66:5 69:3 73:5
notifying	86:21 87:2,3	65:3	73:5,6,6 77:11,13
257:14	0	obtain	78:11,20 85:18,22
notwithstanding		96:1 182:19	86:3 87:19 94:4
58:16	0	obviously	110:14 115:1 123:22
November	9:1 114:1,1,1	70:19,22 71:6 72:19	124:1 126:15 130:12
158:4,10 253:1	oath	118:3 125:6 149:9	130:14,16,18,20,21
novice	36:3 123:7 156:2	165:18 170:13 237:5	130:22 131:1,4
241:15	246:1	252:3 253:8 257:6	134:22 147:17 148:3
nowadays	Obama	268:18 270:3,9 273:2	148:18 149:21
78:22	40:7	occasional	151:14 152:11 153:7
number	object	190:1	156:22 157:4,7,11
9:5 10:20 13:8 14:8	57:21 61:14 63:18	occasions	160:12,17 161:1,2
22:16 24:6 56:7	67:13 69:13 80:3,4	55:11	162:15 163:11,12
59:22 60:3 79:10	96:15 101:21 215:10	occur	166:5,6 172:17 173:1
81:2,22 84:6 85:7	237:12	165:3	192:4 213:13 217:13
87:18 88:8 89:13	objected	occurred	217:14,17 222:19
90:4,8,14 93:19	111:14	63:1 139:17 177:1	225:19 234:22 235:3
95:21 99:16 100:19	objecting	occurrence	235:11 236:7,8 248:1
135:5 136:3 138:22	70:11	165:4	248:11,15,16 249:3
141:13,19 153:8	objection		250:17,18,19 251:6,6
191:18 197:4 200:16	36:3,14,16,16 51:2	ocean 47:5	251:9,22 253:14
191.10 197.4 200:10	30.3,11,10,1031.2	41.3	431.7,44 433.14
	1	1	1

	1	I	1
254:1 255:10,20	12:15,16,16 23:3 24:2	217:2,9 220:5 221:6	8:7 52:6 143:20
262:17	40:7 45:3 51:12	221:11 222:8,20	154:11
officer	52:12,21 53:18 56:13	224:2 227:16 229:4	opening
17:15 33:16 88:21	56:15,15 59:19 62:9	230:4 231:12,19	11:18 22:18 31:21
136:12 157:16 158:8	62:17,22 63:6,11,15	232:6,10,11,17	35:4 41:13 111:1
159:3 172:7 182:20	64:6,7,14,16,22 65:1	233:14 234:8,10,12	opens
194:22 196:18	65:4,8,13 67:15	234:17,21 235:6	32:1
250:16	68:18 72:1 75:5,22	236:5 237:8,9,11	operate
officers	77:7,7 79:3 80:17	238:6,7 240:8,16,19	13:1,17 25:14 214:7
196:10,12,14 254:5	81:4,6,16 82:9 84:1	241:11 242:10,22	operating
offices	84:19 86:4,6 99:19	244:8 245:22 248:19	166:18 181:17 197:17
2:3 12:6,17 13:5,6,22	100:16 102:2,14	250:19 254:6 257:9	240:5
13:22 15:7,22,22	103:12 105:6 106:7	257:17 259:20 261:1	operation
25:13 29:6,9 41:18	106:10 108:20 109:1	261:5,13,16 262:3,16	16:4 42:6 43:14 44:20
41:20,21 42:7,12,14	109:6,16 112:9,16	262:22 263:3,6,15	53:11
43:21 44:1 46:6	113:12 115:21	265:5,6,17 267:18	operational
47:10,11 48:13,17	116:19 117:3 118:14	268:2,9 271:19 272:4	13:21 16:1 28:10
50:15 52:15 53:21	118:17 121:22	Oklahoma	44:12 45:15 53:4
56:5 73:4,17,18 88:4	122:10,14,17 124:7	13:14	54:7,9 55:2,9 60:1
98:13 99:6 100:21	125:13 126:3 128:18	old	100:21 153:2 159:15
131:20 132:18	128:19,20 129:1,8	107:22 120:18	operationally
135:13 138:14	130:4 134:1,12,15,19	older	152:17
141:11 145:3 151:7	134:21 135:14,22	223:15	operations
168:19 179:15	136:1 137:13 138:10	OLE	14:6,16 15:8 19:13
221:18 250:17	140:12,16 141:13	157:9	28:13 45:16 56:7
office's	142:4 144:21 145:9	OMB	57:16 58:10 60:2
14:16 131:1	145:11 146:3,12	210:8	67:6 73:2 118:11
official	147:10 149:7 151:1	once	130:14 138:15,18,20
19:19 38:17 119:6	154:4,15 155:3,11	20:11 115:12 170:4	138:22 139:1 147:17
141:4	157:17,21 158:12	263:20 268:22	148:5,7 149:22
officials	163:8,10 165:9,13	ones	150:17,20 151:22
33:22 181:7,15,20,22	167:1,1,7 170:18	44:2 48:4,7,8 78:11	157:4 158:10 159:12
offline	172:22 176:19 177:2	81:6 131:6	159:13 247:13
262:10	177:5 178:5 179:10	one-bowl	261:21
oh	180:14 181:12 182:8	110:6	operation's
44:11 75:17 112:4	184:9,22 185:1,9	ongoing	250:4
115:9 122:9 136:22	186:6,19 188:2,18	66:12,13	operative
138:7 140:12 144:17	189:22 190:11,22	online	68:1
145:9 175:18 182:5,7	192:1,6 193:5 194:8	22:10 83:2 170:13	opinion
185:11 195:7,15,15	195:15 198:9,14	227:19	60:5 65:1,2 178:6
227:15 242:21	199:17,22 200:3,11	on-going	216:4,5 226:8 264:3
272:18	202:6 203:15 204:6	16:21	opinionated
OIG	205:1 206:5,14	on-line	64:3
169:2 252:16	209:10,10 214:19	23:9	opinions
okay	215:20 216:6,11	open	146:15,15
	1	I	I

		1	
opportunity	outweighed	104:6 108:6 118:10	174:11,16,18,19
143:21	178:11	120:22 121:5 126:5	176:6 198:4 225:4
opposed	overall	126:16,19 129:16	233:22 238:4 256:8
32:21 97:21	238:4	135:1,16 138:13,13	258:13 259:17
opposing	overnight	139:15,17,20 261:18	262:21 263:4
36:13 136:20	195:22	261:20,22	particular
opposite	overrule	pandemics	16:17,22 28:3 76:21
223:19	36:17 155:21 245:22	118:8	83:7 103:6,9 104:3,5
option	overruled	paper	130:21 132:7 133:4
207:1,3,6,7	53:14 59:17 139:10	15:14 17:18 24:17,22	146:10 153:8 186:5
options	201:1	26:7 76:13,14 78:20	186:16 191:8 224:7
193:16 206:19 207:11	overtime	109:21 115:19	226:4 245:21 268:14
order	56:12 153:3,7	171:20 196:20,20	particularly
8:13 40:8 79:4 122:6	overview	198:10 199:13	19:6 133:9 255:8
168:14 180:5 183:7,8	35:6 183:4 210:2	200:20 207:3,6,11	parties
191:21 200:6,6 212:6	owe	208:22 213:2,2	10:12,15,21 11:6 30:2
ordered	267:8,10	214:14,16 215:5,21	30:7 32:8 35:11 69:7
26:11 78:3	Оу	215:22 219:22	75:16 76:22 98:10
ordering	205:12	221:17,19 222:5	106:20,21 111:18
17:17 77:12 78:5	o'clock	229:7 255:1 265:14	122:21 126:8 127:2
ordinary	112:18 113:4	265:15	155:7 173:3 243:8
133:12		paragraph	245:8 274:9,12
Oregon	P P	15:10 67:14 109:19	parts
195:8,22 197:13	P	127:11,12,17 130:4,5	13:7 76:2
organization	3:1,1 4:1,1 9:1	131:8 132:14 146:10	passed
1:4 9:3 12:1 20:6 61:3	Pacific 12.7 0.44.2	146:13 216:19	191:3
68:12	13:7,9 44:3	paragraphs	paste
OSHA	page	15:9 30:18 76:3	266:6
29:10	5:1 6:1 10:7 81:20	129:10	patent
ostensibly	93:13 94:21 95:15	paren	30:1,10,12
17:19 18:4	143:7 144:5 145:13	224:17 229:16,17	path
ought	145:16 146:9 180:21	parens	168:19
112:6	181:8,9 182:2,4,13	224:17	patience
outbreak	183:12,14,15,17 229:10 233:22 239:3	parent	273:4
15:7 16:17 69:9	239:10 233:22 239:3	61:3	Patricia
102:16,17 103:11,15		parking	1:22 2:9 274:4,16
103:22 104:10	pages 1:21 26:6 82:17,20,21	100:6	pay
119:19 120:3,4,5,6,9	83:4,7,22 84:2,3	part	27:8,12 28:5 115:5
120:21 121:1 139:16	176:3	16:2 21:20 47:14	180:10 199:13
outbreaks	paid	60:19 61:10 64:12	paying
13:15 126:17	115:18,20 149:16	69:13 76:3,8 98:2	24:12 237:19
outside	pandemic	99:3 110:14 124:18	payment
201:11	14:4 20:7 67:7 68:6	124:22 133:8 138:12	25:5 219:21
outstanding	74:12 103:11,21,21	138:14 145:16 151:22 152:1 154:17	pays
187:6	77.12 103.11,21,21	131.22 132:1 134:1/	23:18 28:16 119:11
	1	1	1

	1	ı	ı
pdf	198:14,17 199:13	Philadelphia	72:16,18 103:20
266:14	permission	3:7	116:11,14,15,21
penalties	172:6	phone	117:6,9 118:11,15
34:6 179:20 180:10	permit	162:2 221:10 236:3	126:19,21 127:1,5,8
181:3 257:17 258:8	36:17,18 59:15 125:17	photo	129:12,18,20 134:22
penalty	146:16 155:22	50:5,7,9,14 99:9,11	135:3,6,15,20 136:13
184:17	245:21	Photograph	136:15 137:4 138:14
pencils	permitting	7:5,6,7,16,19,20	167:12 176:20 238:2
76:7	106:12	photographs	238:4 261:18,21
pending	Perry	29:5 50:11 225:21	262:2 265:2
163:20	155:12	phrase	planned
Pennsylvania	person	131:13	151:12
3:6,7	77:16 90:22 125:3	physical	planning
people	164:14,16 250:20	27:2 66:16,20	112:18 151:4,13,18,18
33:10 40:21 45:21	personal	physicals	162:15 211:3 248:1
46:10 48:16,19 55:10	18:4,19 20:1 21:7 24:5	27:6 203:3	262:18
55:21 56:2,3,15,18	24:14 27:9 28:19	pick	plans
56:18 57:13 58:9	32:17 34:17 49:14	49:16 54:14	68:9 126:16 135:17
59:3 62:8 66:1 73:16	51:22 58:16 65:4	picture	205:22
76:6,13 77:14 91:15	96:19,20 97:13	50:21 200:12 210:11	plastic
93:6 98:12 100:9	171:12 173:20 180:2	pictures	76:14 83:13 92:10
110:17,17 120:10,11	181:6 182:22 183:2	51:3,9,16 52:4,14	97:21 110:16 115:20
130:18 148:2 150:20	185:3 198:5 200:10	54:18 110:5	117:19 140:15 215:6
151:1,3,7,14,14	208:1 214:22 215:20	pie	215:22 255:2
152:4,5,14 172:9	220:1,6 221:3,8,20	109:3,6 114:21	plate
188:4 197:17 199:3	222:12,16,22 223:5	piece	207:4 221:4 222:18
218:13 222:10 237:6	223:14,21,21 224:10	227:14 263:22 265:14	plates
241:5 256:22 261:3	224:11,19,20 225:2	pieces	10:19 11:2,10 15:15
265:12	225:11,20 226:10	265:15	15:17 17:18 19:1,5
people's	228:8,11,15 230:2,7	pizza	19:11 20:21 26:1
165:16	230:10 231:3,10	55:6 114:19	32:11 73:14 74:7
percent	232:10 233:1 237:21	place	76:13 109:21 116:20
38:17 45:14 66:3	238:3 254:8,11,13	19:2 41:17 49:12	117:15,17 140:3,16
72:22 77:5 205:7	255:16 256:17,18	126:16 133:18	171:20 196:20
206:11	personally	138:12 141:11	197:21 200:7 207:12
perform	52:8 181:21 189:7	142:16,22 147:13	208:1,22 215:6,21
209:16	233:5	148:5 178:11 193:19	222:5 229:7 255:2
peril	personnel	258:12	play
30:3	126:15 157:8 159:10	placed	250:11
period	perspective	253:3	please
68:15 148:3 247:15	132:22	places	36:14 64:9 124:13
263:14 264:5	pertained	25:17 130:22 202:17	136:6 141:16 156:12
periodic	233:12	plain	157:21 217:9 243:7
203:3 204:15	pertains	32:12	245:5,18 246:13
permissible	176:9	plan	248:19 254:9 265:8
		F	

	I	I	I
pleasure	99:11 100:7	8:5 16:15 68:10	41:3
263:10 273:1,3	post-hearing	116:11,14 135:20	pre-employment
plus	35:11 176:14,21	137:4	27:5
115:12	263:11,12,13 270:19	present	primarily
PNF	271:3	4:16 25:7 26:2 28:7	45:19 47:3 250:2
205:18	post-implementation	58:19 155:4 261:3,4	primary
PNL	243:11 244:2	presented	130:5,10,11 131:1
205:20	post-mark	32:9 35:1,3 221:7	195:8
point	265:18 266:3	239:22	prime
58:1 62:4 67:5 96:17	post-marked	president	224:17
97:7 105:7,19 121:18	265:4,6 266:18	15:1 36:9 38:15,16	principles
144:10 155:22	potential	39:2,16 45:22 165:7	182:15 224:4 229:15
186:11 202:1 216:16	14:3 15:6 69:8 130:7	presidents	print
216:17 240:14	163:21	40:17	82:17 111:3 128:4,15
245:21 259:16	potentially	President's	128:17 134:4,9
policies	168:9 231:22	210:12,16	printed
14:14 89:19 181:18	PPA	pressure	23:10 128:1,2 134:13
182:1 183:11	167:19 205:19	97:11	145:15
policy	PPA's	pressures	printing
169:14,15,18	167:19	31:5	134:7
port	PPI	presume	printout
196:4	248:8	24:1 36:9 71:8 112:6	7:14,17,18 111:1
portion	practice	124:7 144:8 175:14	print-off
116:22	11:4,11 18:11 29:15	pretty	82:13
ports	29:19,21 32:12 34:1	49:20 50:18 255:19	prior
196:5	34:5,11,11,13,21	258:2,4	9:17 143:14,22 144:14
position	35:17 101:22 244:3	prevalent	165:21 179:4,4,6
20:11 40:3 60:17	practioners	119:16	182:21 248:8,9
75:11 91:18 102:20	94:5	prevent	Pritchard
103:14,16 105:2,10	precise	29:2 73:10,11,15	167:18 251:20
106:18 107:1 124:5,8	231:21	96:20 98:12 137:21	private
157:2,3 158:14 159:4	preclude	138:3,22 139:1 152:5	27:12
159:22 163:18 180:6	270:15	preventative	privilege
195:3 200:5 203:20	prediction	14:18 97:1	32:5 174:10 175:9
246:21 247:1,20	13:14,14 46:19 47:3,5	preventing	178:3
271:7,8	249:6	84:12 152:4	probably
positions	preliminary	prevention	47:12 66:3 81:9 83:21
14:11 60:11 73:7	67:19 71:16	28:16 117:11,13 262:4	138:2 143:5 205:17
157:14 158:6,7,16	premise	preventive	210:1 218:8 240:3
248:7	70:4	27:6,19	254:16 264:7 266:3
possible	premises	previous	problem
49:4 143:11	55:1	194:21 236:7	105:6 142:13
possibly	prepare	previously	problems
142:6 177:17 272:19	49:22	24:5,13 224:18	41:4,4 138:21 268:18
poster	preparedness	pre-decisional-type	procedures
	-	1	

211:8	programs	provide	26:7 74:14 76:11
proceed	27:1,6,20 205:22	15:12 17:1 18:2 19:9	79:18 83:11 84:12
105:16	prohibited	28:8 32:16 73:22	118:17,22 119:7
proceeding	29:12 182:16	74:6 92:16 96:18	131:21 145:4 147:16
1:14 155:10 268:16	prohibits	115:7 116:3 119:9	148:12 171:10
proceedings	229:21	130:6 131:9,14	177:13 181:16
2:1 274:6,8	project	132:13,18 141:5	182:21 201:10
process	167:20	176:16 202:15	207:11 213:4,14
33:2 35:6 132:5	promise	208:22 224:16	220:18 224:9 225:17
151:16 167:17	131:8 237:13	provided	229:15 235:21
169:16 204:12	promote	16:9 24:14 94:22	250:22,22 258:16
209:22 210:3,5,22	26:17 27:2 93:8 110:2	132:11 206:15,18	purchased
211:1,3,6,8 220:16	promoted	providers	23:11 141:3,9 142:8
220:20 223:1 228:8	38:1,9,11 124:3	43:12	179:11,13 212:7
239:21	promotes	provides	223:22 224:11,21
procure	18:20 19:10	26:21	purchases
250:13,17 251:2	promoting	providing	23:8 144:14,20 181:16
procured	19:7,12	15:16 20:1 43:11	182:17 211:20
213:10 222:10 236:1	promotion	73:13 109:20 211:9	236:11
240:1 255:12	24:11 28:1	229:6	purchasing
procurement	promotions	provision	17:17 25:22 78:7,12
250:11 256:17	38:8	26:12 30:1,4,4 32:10	89:3 132:22 140:3,6
procurements	prompted	35:8 127:15 145:5	140:9,15 144:18
232:1	171:4	182:12 184:12 189:5	171:19 259:10
procuring	property	244:4	Purell
250:20	185:3 247:12	provisions	76:11 110:17
product	proposal	127:2,3 129:11 143:13	purportedly
130:1	76:20	225:1	59:12
production	proposals	prudent	purpose
242:9	35:1,3 243:10,11	181:7	64:19 69:16 70:3
productivity	propose	Public	74:10 137:20 220:8
24:7 25:1 27:17	20:16	2:11	220:10,13 228:19,22
149:17	propriety	published	229:2,20
Professional	25:22	224:5 227:12	purposes
2:10	protect	Puerto	76:15 79:6 144:4
proffer	15:17 16:20 21:1	12:8,10	177:1 185:4 209:17
58:6 260:21	92:20	pull	228:20 229:20
profiles	protected	78:19 206:21 265:10	pursuant
14:15	175:4,4	265:11 268:17	2:9 20:2 31:12 206:10
program	protecting	pulled	put
27:3 162:15 167:19	231:21	83:18,21 84:2	74:10 98:16 110:10,11
168:15 186:22 212:6	protection	purchase	117:18 136:14
213:9 248:1 262:17	21:7 225:3 247:12	10:18 11:2,9 17:19	138:12 167:13,15
programmatic	protective	18:19 21:5,6 22:12	175:11 204:13 205:4
166:1	18:3	23:13,17 24:4,17,22	219:12 221:4 258:3,5
100.1	10.3	23.13,17 24.4,17,22	217.12 221.7 230.3,3

	045 14 15 050 15		
puts	245:14,15 258:17	231:9	74:17,19 87:22 121:14
66:9 170:12	quick	react	121:16 127:11
putting	231:20 237:12,19	118:7 255:4	131:11,19 232:12,17
98:2 192:11 253:8	quickly	read	233:2 239:17 240:22
273:5	121:1	10:13 63:7 107:21	receipt
p.m	quiet	116:18 118:13	154:16
113:14 114:1 273:19	55:5 216:18 217:2,9,9	127:17 146:19 186:1	receive
113.14 114.1 273.17	quite	186:2,4,11 213:22	89:1 167:22
Q	59:19 107:1 163:7	216:14 226:18	received
qualification			
225:21	241:7	230:21 233:6 269:12	6:12 7:3 8:3,16 9:22
= '	quote	readily	38:8 52:13 53:1 81:1
quarter	19:21 20:4,5,6 24:5	23:13 25:15	84:5 88:14,22 90:18
47:12	27:6,19	reading	98:7 100:18 109:13
Quarterly		182:9 186:13,14 230:9	132:2,19 137:16
41:6	R	230:12 231:2,8	175:7 178:6,7,19
question	R	232:18 233:2,9	179:9 184:3 198:21
23:18 33:12 53:16	3:1 4:1 9:1 114:1	reads	211:5 242:13 252:16
56:6 64:10 67:10	rabbits	15:11	261:11
69:14,17,19 70:4,7	272:11,11,16	ready	receiving
70:11,19 75:3,5 97:2	radar	114:5 218:11	131:19 167:21
97:4 99:12 107:7	48:14,14,20 54:14,15	real	recess
117:1,1,2,3 138:6	211:19 214:3	30:22 31:7	113:14
139:13 140:4,12,22	radiation	really	recognize
141:5 147:4 151:5,5	48:14	37:17 54:11 57:12,16	82:2 87:20 90:5
155:18 172:10	radiosonde	•	
176:21 177:4 188:12	192:16,18,20 193:1,3	83:1 97:18 106:19,20	165:16 197:6,9
188:18 199:11 203:7	212:21	152:19 168:4 172:11	recognizes
		172:12 177:5 251:9	24:4
234:18 237:19	radiosondes	256:22 264:6 269:2	recollection
238:12 241:18	212:21	269:19,20 272:13	53:13 131:17
questioned	Radiosone	reason	recommend
123:2 155:13 168:17	193:7	30:22 31:8 59:8,10	166:14
177:15 240:12	raise	72:22 235:22	record
questioning	98:3 240:6 246:3	reasonable	8:7 9:9,20 10:13 35:22
105:17 245:16 256:22	raised	118:2 133:1	44:5 59:16 97:6
257:4,6	68:3 106:11 166:3	reasonably	98:15 106:8,9,21
questions	171:9 221:15 222:4	225:4	114:2 121:6 122:13
36:20,20 67:19 106:13	241:1	reasons	127:18 128:21,22
110:22 114:11 121:8	raising	148:8	129:2 134:8,11,12
123:5,6 125:17 146:1	171:7 176:5 270:22	reassignment	142:3 143:20 146:4,5
147:18 148:20 152:7	271:11	153:7	146:7 154:11,17,20
155:16,17 170:10	rarely	rebut	156:13 176:7 180:16
176:5 185:10 201:18	61:6 149:6	237:9	185:20,21 219:10,13
202:10 211:13	rate		
219:14 234:13,18,18	112:22	rebuttal	224:2,9 235:15
238:6,12 245:12,13	reached	263:7,9	237:15,16,17 243:2,3
230.0,12 243.12,13	i caciicu	recall	243:4 244:21 245:1,2
	I	I	_

		10001010010010	
245:4 246:14 260:7	99:15,19 100:18	125:8 131:20 160:12	57:22 58:13 59:1 62:8
261:14 270:4 272:2,2	109:12 120:1 135:4	160:15,22 161:6,9	96:16 98:5,19 104:14
272:20 273:1 274:8	136:2 137:16 141:18	171:9 172:2,16,18	105:22 120:8 238:21
records	166:6 180:17 184:3	173:1 221:13,14,16	239:12,14,15 255:22
132:21	197:3 200:15 242:12	221:22 236:2 240:3,5	268:15
recurrence	261:10	241:1,5 249:2,21	reliance
211:18	referring	250:1,6,12 254:1	18:15
recycle	87:14 95:10 129:15	256:10	relied
265:15,16	135:3,7,12 138:5	regions	186:7
red	141:21 148:1 181:1	160:19,21 241:6 250:2	rely
		*	
8:17 170:12,18 175:19	182:12 183:18 185:5	Registered	21:3 238:13,15
175:20,21 185:18	185:6 216:7 218:9,15	2:10	relying
186:1 216:10 217:13	224:4	regular	28:3 176:7 218:16
217:18 218:11 219:3	refers	115:10,11 161:9	remain
219:20 222:21 223:3	16:14 216:19	regulation	38:5
224:4,5,9 226:2,3,9	reflect	215:5	remainder
227:8,9,11 230:13,16	144:5	regulations	225:10 232:22
232:12 239:3 242:5,9	reflects	29:11 132:8 181:18	remained
242:16,17 267:9,11	144:9	182:1 183:10,11	38:7
268:15	refrain	regulatory	remedy
reduce	63:12,15	176:15	11:13 35:17
15:6	refreshments	rehabilitation	remember
reduced	201:10	225:18	53:7 98:17 131:15
274:6	regard	rejected	136:15,18 137:6,8
reducing	67:18 72:3 130:4	106:16	171:22 186:4,13,14
19:7	regarded	related	191:10,12 194:18
redundant	227:9	27:6 62:5 96:9 97:18	200:12 233:9 234:7
100:14		274:8	239:10 259:2 272:15
	regarding		
refer	33:2 34:10,20 35:3	related-type	remembered
75:3 77:18 85:21	106:13 157:8 252:2	233:19	21:8
127:22 142:19 262:8	252:21	relating	remote
reference	regardless	27:20	13:7 43:22 44:2 48:13
145:2	241:6	relations	removal
referenced	regards	18:13 26:10 72:14	180:6
154:6 180:4 184:15	172:10 240:4	89:19 124:16 188:5	removed
references	region	188:13	258:1
183:7 261:21 262:1	15:4 39:13,14 69:2	relationship	reorganization
referencing	87:7 101:6 122:1	261:17	252:6
127:14 218:4 224:6	124:2,6,18 126:1	relative	rep
referred	132:18 171:11 248:8	274:10	125:15
9:21 22:15 23:1 50:11	248:9 250:3 255:9	release	repeat
53:1 74:22 79:9 81:1	256:11	260:5	109:17 140:4
81:21 84:5 85:6 88:7	regional	relevance	repeated
88:14 89:12 90:7,18	13:22,22 65:22 87:5	97:4 101:21 144:10,11	140:22
93:18 95:20 98:7	88:4 124:2,19 125:6	relevant	repeating
75.10 75.20 76.1	55122,17.125.0	= '	P8

59:20 107:9	requirements	247:8 249:22	24:10
79:20 107:9 report	169:4	responsibility	returned
92:9 166:22 213:6		19:14 42:11 130:19,20	210:17
	requires 32:16 148:4 252:17		
250:9		159:1,7,14 167:11	reversed
reported	requiring	208:11 211:2 250:3,7	232:3
1:22 120:15	33:2	251:7 258:14	review
Reporter	rescind	responsible	180:21 182:20 210:7
2:10 64:14 274:2,17	177:17 178:15	42:21 43:9 46:8 77:11	232:15
reports	research	87:8 173:3,4,5	reviewed
92:12	74:22 118:4,6 120:13	247:11 250:2 258:7	9:17 146:9 210:14
represent	175:15 177:3 186:16	rest	232:12 238:16
12:2 41:1,8 124:19	223:17	55:6 154:22 237:7	239:18
representative	researched	restaurant	reviewing
19:17 124:20 251:21	118:9	117:16	181:22
representatives	reservation	restaurants	revised
9:16	112:19	114:17	143:9
representing	reserve	restricted	revision
32:6 124:10 236:8	31:22	83:18 103:9 192:5	143:6
reprogramming	resolution	270:3	revisions
252:20,22	163:20 210:20	restrictions	143:8
repudiated	resolve	182:14	re-cross
18:14 30:6,14,17 31:1	32:9	restrooms	5:11,18,20 150:11
174:20 243:19	resorted	25:15	211:16 240:20
repudiates	29:7	restructure	re-direct
30:3	resource	167:19	5:10,12,17,19 121:10
repudiating	170:13 174:1 188:11	restructuring	149:1,2 152:9 201:19
29:16	resources	168:1,13,14	202:7 238:8,9 259:21
repudiation	78:19 170:6,14 185:3	rests	re-look
29:19 31:2	188:5,13	11:17 155:1 263:6	167:17
request	respect	result	re-open
52:13 178:6	62:5,6	14:10,14 22:2 29:9	20:16
requested	respectfully	32:14 35:15 85:11	re-phrase
126:20	35:15	141:10 165:19	67:12,17 70:6,19 71:8
requests	respond	174:13 192:3 194:10	re-programmed
35:15	89:22	234:11,13 240:16	193:22
require	responded	253:6	re-use
242:6	20:13	resulted	117:17
required	response	166:12	re-using
25:18 67:3 163:17	6:17,18 10:8 31:15	results	117:15
167:16 168:16	38:11,12 52:13 90:10	164:21 168:21	re-written
169:11 203:22	107:3 199:2 269:12	resumes	169:15
204:15 206:11	269:16	84:7	RFC
221:22 225:4	responsibilities	retained	43:15 101:9
requirement	124:14,18 157:5,6,8	6:11	RFCs
179:7	158:21 159:5,11	retention	15:22 65:21

	Ì	Ì	İ
Richard	Rio	R-A-D-I-O-S-O-N-D	106:3
3:13 9:10 52:5 162:5,9	37:15 38:5	193:5	saw
240:2 269:6 272:12	river		51:18,19 52:1 104:20
richard@hirnlaw.c	12:22,22 13:2,3,4 43:2	S	120:16 222:22
3:18	43:4,9,11 100:22	S	230:18
Rico	130:16 131:4	3:1 4:1 6:10 7:1 8:1	saying
12:8,10	Robert	9:1 114:1,1,1	40:7 51:22 59:12 65:5
right	136:10	Sacramento	75:17 76:22 96:18
28:14 35:20 38:13	role	47:17 49:4	105:10,13 192:12,22
44:8 45:6,6,6 48:10	210:22 211:1 250:11	safe	205:14 218:17
57:21 63:4 64:17	room	273:15	219:15 228:18
67:16 75:8 80:15	4:10 19:3 91:12 113:1	safety	230:12 240:2 254:9
81:14 87:5 93:4,5,16	129:2 201:13 243:6	244:15	says
103:8 104:13 105:18	rooms	sail	18:18 45:4 50:6,8 76:4
105:22 106:1 111:16	19:11	195:7	76:10 79:4 80:20,21
112:9 113:13 115:15	rotation	sailed	80:21 82:3 84:22
115:18 119:7,10,15	152:12	195:5 196:21	103:19 108:21 143:7
119:17,20 120:4,11	Roughly	sake	144:15 147:7 148:14
129:19,21 130:1	161:14	10:12	181:6 182:13 184:21
139:3 144:17 146:6	routine	Samoa	207:18 209:8 213:7
147:3,3 148:8,16	12:19 28:6	41:15	213:16 214:13,21
149:18 150:5,7,18,21	routinely	San	215:5,8,18,21 216:13
151:21 154:1,9,21	170:10	12:8,9 41:17	223:14 224:10
155:1,2,8 157:18	RPR	sandbag	232:18 245:17 254:9
160:11 162:10	1:22 274:16	80:9	scale
169:18 174:5 176:13	rugs	Sandbagging	46:13
178:4 182:7 185:10	212:1	272:4,5,17	scare
185:14 187:19	rule	sandwich	68:10
192:13 194:13	36:15 43:18 49:7	54:12 221:5 222:18	scared
196:16 198:7 204:21	53:19 55:3 155:20	sanitary	69:21 258:4
205:8 206:12 207:8	224:19 225:8 245:19	76:14	scenario
208:19 222:3,4	rules	sanitation	153:8
227:14 230:18 234:8	232:20	29:10	schedule
234:22 235:13	ruling	sanitizer	25:14 151:1,2,13,13
238:19 239:17	105:8 178:18 238:3	15:12	152:14,16 264:4
240:13 241:22 243:5	run	sat	scheduled
244:1,6,10,15,18	39:10 43:17 56:7 57:5	76:9	54:6,8 55:8 151:7,10
245:3 246:3,20	57:13 114:16 116:3	satellites	151:11
253:17 260:8 262:12	213:15	211:19	schedules
263:10,14 266:17	runner	saucer	53:17 151:12,19
272:5,5,6 273:12	46:14	197:6	152:12
rights	running	saucers	Schick
244:6,9	55:20	197:8	1:8,15 3:5 9:6 11:22
Ring	runs	save	112:17 122:21 155:6
174:2 178:13	115:21	31:1 60:11 105:4	226:16 245:8
			I

schickarb@comcast	183:17,18 184:15	268:2	19:21 20:2 24:18,19
3:9	185:6 214:10 224:7	sending	25:1,9 26:15 27:1,3
school	225:11 226:1,9,18	172:8 178:21 179:4	28:10,15 29:10 30:14
223:16	228:17 229:16 232:6	senior	31:4,16 32:17,19,22
		33:22 124:1 158:20	33:17,19,21 34:9,15
scope 41:11 46:11	232:11,13,13,22		
	233:2 268:5	241:5 247:7	34:19 37:12 41:9,10 43:21 55:12 57:17
screen	sections	sense	
44:20 45:1	268:15,17	73:19 172:5 240:2	60:6 61:5,6 63:20,22
screenings	securing	sent	66:3,4 67:2,6,20 68:5
28:17 93:6,6	146:17	17:16 19:18 30:15	69:1 73:2 74:1 79:21
scroll	Security	31:10 34:2,4 88:1	84:11 85:18 87:4,6
268:7	26:11 262:17	90:10 127:21 129:3	87:13 88:5,21 91:9
se	see	134:10 154:20 172:4	91:11 92:15 93:22
166:14 203:14	22:20 44:19 50:17	173:21,22 177:8,10	99:5 100:1 101:11
sea	51:18 81:8 85:16	211:11 242:22	119:10 120:12
206:15	87:12 88:3 100:7	256:19 259:18	123:17,19 132:12
search	107:20 108:4,7 113:8	sentence	133:3 135:12,14
79:17,18 223:13	142:21 144:15 147:7	116:9	136:12 137:22 140:2
searching	199:9 212:5,9 216:17	separate	140:6,11,11 143:16
176:17	217:22 218:2,19	47:20	146:13 151:6,19
season	219:8 223:15 224:7	separately	156:22 157:13 158:2
17:4,7 67:8 74:3,8	225:16 227:6 233:5	81:20	158:22 159:9,16,20
101:17 119:16	234:1 243:20 266:14	September	160:10,14 161:13,19
131:10 132:15	272:2	10:16,21 11:7 14:22	162:6,12 165:3 166:4
seasonal	seeing	18:9 19:20 29:16	167:6,22 169:10
225:21	48:15 85:11 86:6	32:15 72:4 126:4	173:6 177:12 178:20
seasoned	200:12	158:3,9 160:3 163:17	179:11 186:22 190:2
70:18 71:18	seen	169:19 235:19 236:5	190:7,13 192:7
second	29:4 51:15 54:22	sequester	193:15 195:9 200:6
15:10 69:13,18 76:8	59:15 82:12 93:21	61:1,8,10	205:10 207:10 208:1
94:20 109:19 125:9	95:18 116:6,13,17	sequestration	209:14 212:20,22
127:11,11,17 128:19	120:1 136:5,7 171:2	31:3 61:13 62:10	213:1,1 216:2 229:3
130:4,5 131:7 132:14	197:7,8 210:16	133:8 163:21 165:10	229:6 231:18 235:8
145:17 177:21	212:10 253:18	191:1,1,6,7,17 192:3	246:22 247:7,10,12
184:18 192:21	selected	192:8,10,13 194:19	248:3,4,20,21 249:11
236:19 237:13 273:7	9:6 122:22 155:7	204:11,21,21,22	249:12 252:10 253:6
273:8	245:9	205:3 206:10	254:22 255:8,22
seconds	self	series	258:1,7,9,10
71:12 72:12	224:17	158:12,13,15,18 247:4	services
secretary	selling	serious	22:10 23:7 26:4 28:8
91:6,20 164:15,16	83:12,16	258:2	78:15,17 83:10 95:1
200:18,19	send	service	96:7 124:4 179:17
section	55:5 154:11,11,15	1:3 9:3 12:1,4 13:6,18	202:14,16,19 249:14
11:4,12 26:21 28:3	172:9,15,22 265:21	14:2,20 15:3,11,22	249:16
30:17 181:9,13 182:4	266:13 267:12,15,22	17:16 18:10,11,12	Service's
30.17 101.9,13 182.4	200.13 207.12,13,22	17.10 10.10,11,12	Det vice 8
		I	1

34:20	57:1,3,5,10,11,13,20	22:12 85:18	sit
serving	130:19 151:2,3,7,10	sick	266:2
38:16	151:11,11,16	14:17 19:8 57:4,15	site
SES	ship	58:8,9 118:3 120:10	199:15
158:19 247:5,6	206:21	120:11 130:17 149:4	sits
138.19 247.3,0 SES's			49:17
158:17	ships 195:18	149:8,11,14,16,18,20	
		150:2,4,4,5,14,21	sitting 19:18 44:7 75:13
set	ship-work	151:15,20 152:1,4,5	
169:7	195:3	152:15 153:2 190:1,6	
setting	shortages	190:12,14,20 203:8,9	situation
110:4	28:12	203:11,12,16,17	108:4 148:4 201:13
settle	shortcomings	204:3,6	258:5,6 270:9
122:22 155:7	166:18	sickness	situations
seven	shortened	138:20 139:2	19:7 27:5 146:18
12:18 42:8 43:20	170:5	side	six
49:19 56:19 57:14	shortly	33:6 40:19,19 41:1	56:18 160:16 196:1
seventh	39:12 40:8 177:10	69:4,5 76:21 91:5,7	249:2,2,2,2 250:1,1,6
195:9	194:19	124:22	254:1,1
severe	shot	sides	skip
12:21 13:15 42:15	93:4 95:4,11	75:15	84:15,16 184:22
47:4 56:8,11 66:15	shots	signature	skipped
shadowing	95:13 96:22 100:10	85:16	8:6,8,12
257:2	101:1,9 203:3	signed	slash
share	show	11:13 132:6 139:20	87:2
19:3 76:7	14:7 17:5 25:12 26:15	140:1,5,17,19 142:22	slips
shared	28:7 30:9,13,19,22	significant	149:8
15:14	31:7,18 32:10 84:14	31:4 32:20 34:6	small
sharing	86:16 92:16 98:21	141:12 148:18	94:4 101:12
29:7	102:11 144:13 145:9	significantly	smaller
sheet	197:1 199:17 200:11	33:10 191:19	13:6 43:21 100:22
8:10 262:18	215:2 224:3 227:20	Silver	250:15,18
shelter	253:16 260:17	1:16 2:7 4:11 94:13,14	smallest
148:5	showed	99:20 115:15	195:9
she's	79:3,12	similar	Smith
51:6 112:2 120:19	showing	165:4	4:5 5:5,9,11,15,17,19
141:1 263:21 271:22	217:2 259:5	simpler	6:4 9:13,13 31:21
shift	shown	168:6	32:2,4,5 35:3 51:2,3
16:4 19:15 25:20	260:15	simply	51:5,7,15,21 52:20
34:16 53:12 55:9	shows	31:1 35:10 106:16	53:6,8 57:21 58:19
56:16 57:6,7,8,10	23:17 266:20 271:8	224:15 229:18	59:9 61:14,19 62:3
151:4,19 152:13,20	shut	268:19	62:12,15,21 64:16
153:2,4,6,7,12	66:15,22	single	67:9,13 69:11,13
shifts	shy	239:17	80:3,8,15,20 81:5,8
53:4,9 54:1,7 55:2,16	62:21	sink	81:14 82:5,8,11 83:3
56:16,17,19,21,21	sic	110:6,6	84:19 88:12 90:16
		<u> </u>	

96:15 97:2,5,9,18	273:14,17	256:11	17:8 19:3 20:9 21:1
98:14 100:14 101:21	snack	so-called	73:15 110:14 137:21
103:10,17 104:4	25:15	18:19	spreading
106:6 108:18 109:2	sneeze	space	101:17 262:5
109:10 112:8 114:7	117:22	46:14 49:11	spreads
117:4 119:4,5 121:7	soap	Spanish	121:2
122:3,8,11 127:20	110:9	12:14	spring
128:8,13 134:3,7,18	Sobien	speak	1:16 2:7 4:11 62:13,14
135:9 136:4,22 137:2	4:19 5:3 15:1 16:19	44:13 210:10	62:18,19 94:13,14
137:9,12,18 138:6,8	18:15,22 35:21 36:2	speaking	99:20 115:15
138:16 139:8,14	36:2,6 63:20 70:1	96:3	square
140:10,18 141:7,20	89:7 98:9 112:11	speaks	47:22 273:8
142:2,14,18 144:11	114:8 162:9 235:16	147:1	SSMC
145:1,8,11,12 146:8	251:19	specialized	94:12
147:2,10,11,18,21	Social	43:4	staff
148:20 150:10,12	26:11	specific	14:9 15:8 16:21 31:10
152:7 153:16 154:8	Solano	42:20 46:22 74:17	31:13 91:9 137:22
155:2,3,13,18 156:9	91:16,19,20	75:20 96:9 145:5	152:16,19 158:20
157:22 161:5 162:11	solar	152:3 223:22 224:12	174:3 254:10
163:9 164:4,5,11	46:14	224:22	staffed
167:8 174:14 176:1	somebody	specifically	12:17 14:8 57:18 58:7
177:7,22 180:19	51:7 55:5,5 56:12 57:4	26:16 27:18 42:14	59:21 60:7
181:11 182:4,7,11	57:15 64:22 114:16	76:4,9 78:4 95:3,6	staffing
184:5,11 194:2,7	114:20 143:17 153:3	110:18 119:21 120:1	14:13,14 19:6 28:11
200:21 201:20 202:6	153:5 190:17 243:6	127:22 133:14	58:22 157:9
202:8 205:2,15 206:9	somebody's	135:16 169:5 180:3	stage
208:4,10 209:5,10,11	110:7	211:20	113:6
211:13 215:10	sorry	spectrum	Staley
216:13,19 217:4,8,12	12:15 64:6,9 77:7	192:20	91:10 254:2 255:19
217:19 218:1,7,20	93:12 95:12 112:4,4	spell	Stan
227:15 229:10	112:5 119:4 121:5	156:12 164:7 246:13	19:17,17,20 89:7,10
236:13,15,19 237:15	128:16 136:22 137:1	spend	89:14,15 91:12 108:5
238:10 239:4,16	140:20 158:6 160:1	29:2 96:18,21 97:16	stand
240:19 241:11 242:2	161:4 178:12 180:16	168:5 180:8 258:13	87:2 112:12,12 195:10
242:18 243:2,8,21	182:6 185:11 194:16	spending	200:1 249:8,9
244:20 246:10	200:1 243:10 260:17	97:14 204:14 258:12	standalone
249:20 254:14	sort	spent	16:1 47:15,21 48:12
258:17 259:22 260:6	47:6 172:12 201:10	33:13 167:6 208:12,18	standard
260:10,13,17,19	226:19	spoke	50:15 53:20 201:11
261:2,7,9,15,20	sounds	173:8	standards
262:15,20 263:5,12	25:12 105:9	spoons	117:17
264:8,15,21 265:2,18	South	110:13	stands
267:8,13,16,18,20	44:3	spray	87:3
269:6 271:17,22	southern	15:13	stapled
272:10,14,17,20	13:21 39:13,14 41:15	spread	81:19
, . , . , . , . , . , . , . , . ,		-F	~ - · * /

start	Stephen	78:21	131:21
46:9 61:11 270:22	160:4	storm	subsidize
started	steps	13:13,13 42:16 47:3	116:5
123:19 124:17 193:15	19:22 179:2 210:9	storms	subsidized
247:2 256:2 257:4	227:3	13:15 48:15	119:14
starting	sterilize	strain	substance
60:10 191:5	110:7	17:10 102:10 103:3,6	178:3
starts	sterilizing	103:9,10 104:9,13	substantively
82:18 264:15	117:17	105.9,10 104.9,13	176:21
state	Steve	107:20,22 108:1	substitute
2:11 29:11 37:7 47:18	167:18 251:20 252:5	stresses	145:22
		240:6	
47:19 156:12 200:19	Steven		sucrose
214:20 246:13	256:7,9,10 259:2,18	strong	93:6
271:18	Steve's	117:17	sudden
stated	251:21	structure	165:15
72:15 179:5 224:20	steward	160:10,11 168:15	suddenly
229:18 239:20 271:7	39:7 51:10	205:19 248:19,22	243:6
statement	stewards	structures	suffer
11:19 35:5 41:14	52:3,13,15 78:5	169:18	58:10
75:19 105:19 133:1	stick	stuck	sufficient
statements	35:14 176:22	115:2 240:17	14:13 56:7
22:18 75:14	stipulate	study	suggest
states	59:2 61:21 62:11,17	92:9	143:19 218:5
10:11 21:5 27:18	80:16,18 81:5,13	stuff	Suite
74:14 180:1 181:14	83:3 84:3 106:20	49:17 80:10 96:10	3:15
214:2 229:8,19	227:13 243:9	114:21 115:1 118:4	sum
station	stipulated	124:14 142:7 222:6	29:13 30:19
15:12 49:8,8 120:17	11:14 243:15 269:11	265:9,12 268:19	summarized
stations	stipulating	subject	144:5
16:12 76:12 114:13	243:18	121:14,16 126:9,13	summary
statute	stipulation	submission	123:16 124:13 143:7
18:13 20:19 26:16	63:17 106:15 243:9	6:20 10:10	169:14 216:13 217:7
27:11 29:16 186:20	244:11,11	submissions	217:7
202:12,13,14 215:3	stock	266:1	summer
statute's	20:20	submit	68:17
28:4	stop	35:11 143:21 145:21	super
statutory	32:13 34:13,21 36:14	176:13 201:21	153:6,10,11
176:15 224:1,12	92:6 105:7 155:19	227:22 243:10,11	supermarket
225:10 232:21	171:17 216:6 244:3	263:11 264:22 269:7	79:1
stay	245:18	submits	supervisor
113:3,11,12 196:2	stopped	209:15	85:22 159:18,19
stenographic	60:11	submitted	177:21
274:5	stopping	9:17 210:8,12	supplemental
step	92:8	subordinate	194:5
33:2 241:18,18	stores	10:18 11:1,9 17:16	supplies
	l	I	l

26:5 77:12 89:4	36:16 156:1 245:20	195:22 217:21	technicians
		221:22 227:18	
131:21 147:17	Sustained		251:2,10,12
213:13	208:9	235:12 241:17,17	techniques
supply	swear	260:11 263:15,19	117:12,13
7:9 23:8 26:4 82:3,12	77:4 83:21	264:2	telecommunications
84:4 198:3	swine	taken	44:15
supplying	108:2	14:19 19:21 92:15	telephone
201:4	swish	97:19 113:15 149:4	3:8,17 4:12 5:7
support	110:10	149:11 159:9 170:1,4	
17:20 20:11 22:5	sworn	178:22 183:9	23:5 40:5 75:12 82:18
77:17 179:16 251:8	36:7 123:11 156:6	takes	93:2 98:16 120:21
253:1	246:7	57:11 60:16	124:13 133:6,21
supports	sympathetic	talk	176:5,13 181:8
160:7	267:5	112:13 117:11 159:17	183:14 189:19
suppose	system	169:21 249:1	207:16 209:20
65:9	60:16	talked	229:10 263:18 264:1
supposed	systems	53:8 65:18 78:8 94:5	264:1,4
40:11 75:17 118:7	159:14 211:19	114:12 135:10	telling
138:3 215:19 269:10	S-O-L-A-N-O	137:19 158:6 178:2	31:10,13 100:9 254:12
270:18	91:17	192:15 203:6 207:14	temporary
supposedly	S-O-N-D-E	258:21	204:7
117:20 166:3	193:8,9	talking	ten
sure	S-O-N-E	58:21 62:7 63:9 99:14	71:11 75:2 114:3
33:8 34:1 43:8 44:13	193:7	99:18 103:21 127:19	193:14
45:11,14 55:20 57:22		135:11 138:8 164:3	tend
59:7,19 72:22 74:10	T	165:15 171:20	224:14
87:3 99:17,21 104:21	T	205:21 207:15	term
115:20 124:17 128:6	6:10 7:1 8:1 114:1	218:10,19 219:9	40:9 76:12
131:15 145:20	table	220:6	terminal
153:20 159:8 180:15	76:16 83:12 91:5,7	tall	49:18
181:9,15 184:19	124:11 192:11 268:4	48:20	terminals
190:17 202:3 208:18	tables	Tampa	49:12,14
217:12,20 226:5	211:22	38:6,7 39:7 46:7 78:11	terminate
227:4 239:8 246:15	take	107:21 120:17	19:22 34:11 186:8
248:21 264:13 267:2	52:4,14 54:14 56:10	tangential	187:4
267:3	56:13,18 57:8 62:22	58:21	terminated
surfaces	76:6 80:13 81:9 86:7	taught	32:10 101:22
15:14	86:11 92:20 102:15	223:16	terminating
surprise	105:20 111:8 112:7	team	20:17
80:9	113:10 122:6 128:6	39:18 40:1 124:22	terminology
surprised	141:16 149:18 150:5	167:18	166:21
132:2,4 255:5,6	154:12 168:1 169:8	technical	terms
Susquhanna	169:11 186:20 187:2	268:19	105:3 203:8 204:10
13:3	190:13,20 191:18	technician	testified
sustain	192:2,2,7 193:12	37:20 55:14	36:8,10 62:9 64:13
			20.0,20 02.7 01.10

	_	_	_
69:15 70:1 97:20	that's	94:5 108:8 110:5,5,6	56:5 58:1,20,22 59:5
98:10,17 119:15	10:6 12:16 13:13 14:1	114:17 117:16 121:4	60:4 61:11 63:13,20
123:12 156:7 219:1	17:12 31:18 38:6	138:21 143:8 149:17	64:2 69:15,17 70:9
236:15,17 239:7	43:16 45:8 47:3	153:10,19 203:1	70:13,18,18 71:18
246:8	48:15 49:15 51:13	210:4 214:12,17	77:3 79:1 80:8 83:20
testify	53:10,19 56:19,21	225:16 259:16 263:2	86:12 92:10,15 96:17
15:4 18:6 33:11 34:2,4	58:20 60:15 62:8	they're	98:9 100:2,14 104:8
34:5,10,14,19,22	63:13 71:3 72:10	21:22 23:17 42:20	107:12 109:17
58:7 63:15,21 64:20	76:16 80:12 87:4,21	44:20 45:18 50:17	111:15 119:15,22
65:6 67:9 109:18	91:17 97:22,22 98:18	55:20 67:22 93:9	122:2,8 127:6,7
112:1 218:13	100:14 103:17	96:18 98:19 103:5	134:3 135:17 138:11
testifying	105:11 106:13	105:1 179:18 185:13	145:15 150:7 151:22
33:8 36:15 61:17 63:3	107:10,13,18 108:8	192:18 199:5 200:9	171:14 175:7,8,8
63:12,16 106:6 120:7	108:11,20 111:6	207:13 221:19	176:11 183:3 191:14
155:17,19,22 245:18	112:8 114:14 115:5	245:13 250:2	199:16 213:7 219:1
testimony	116:8 117:1 119:16	they've	222:3,4 233:17
16:18 22:18 52:16	124:7 126:22 133:1	50:20 123:4 149:12	234:14 236:22 237:1
59:16 63:19 64:2	139:6 141:5 143:9,16	245:14	237:1,4,6,7 241:4
67:17 69:20 71:15	145:18 147:18,18	thing	242:4 243:18 259:1,6
112:14 114:12	148:13,20 149:21	36:12 43:13 47:7 60:4	259:11 261:3 264:8
121:10,11,17,20	150:7 151:16 152:18	127:19 167:2 172:12	264:10,15 265:22
145:21 151:6 153:18	153:14 173:13	174:5 204:4 218:1	thinking
153:20,22 154:5	174:18 182:7 183:3	226:15,17 265:10	222:21
177:1 198:9 200:18	187:15 188:21 191:4	269:4	third
219:16 238:20	193:15 194:13 195:3	things	47:12
241:13,19 260:2,3	199:5 202:6 211:11	24:4 27:18 28:18,20	thorough
270:17	213:4 214:19 216:4	37:19 54:4 70:12	253:12
testing	217:4 222:4,12,12	73:10,20 78:12 80:5	thought
28:18 80:15	226:5 229:7 230:5	81:10 83:2,12,13	80:4 103:7,8 132:6,6
tests	231:12 232:9 236:18	84:16 93:7 96:19	144:13 188:16 217:7
97:1	238:3 244:7,14 247:2	97:1,13,17 104:16	218:16 220:16,20
Texas	249:5,14,15 256:22	138:19,22 169:20	223:1 228:8
37:15	258:11 261:7 265:21	171:7 176:17 183:1	thousand
text	268:1 269:14,19,20	189:6 190:16 191:18	161:14,17
242:18,20	270:1,8,13 273:10	191:20 192:9 201:10	threat
thank	theoretically	201:11 203:4 209:17	14:20 102:10
11:20 31:20 35:18,19	38:21	211:21 212:7,11	three
87:9 107:8 121:10	theory	213:10,15 214:9,22	11:6 32:9 49:14 52:9
134:21 146:3 153:17	224:15 243:18	219:22 222:2 223:4,5	56:2,17 60:10 100:7
154:2,3,4,22 156:15	there's	223:13 224:16	100:9 107:22 115:16
169:21 195:16	14:8 41:18 43:16	228:21 232:10	115:17 161:17
241:12 242:3 260:1	44:21 45:13,21 46:5	233:10 234:5 255:13	174:21 176:1 224:20
273:3,5,12,17	46:8 47:1 49:12 50:7	think	247:2
thanks	50:9 53:16,16 55:17	23:17 33:7 38:10 42:3	throw
164:3 246:12	60:15 67:20 81:12	45:17,18 50:16 53:13	53:22
	I	I	I

throwing	50:20 170:3,5 198:16	13:15 42:15 46:13	95:10
222:2	236:17	tornados	treasurer
throws	time-limited	47:4	91:6,21
57:16	16:20	totality	treatment
thrust	tissue	92:15 96:8	27:4 225:15,17
127:7	78:20	totally	tremendously
thumb	tissues	103:2	264:4
43:18 49:7 55:3	15:14 17:18 26:8	tough	trip
thunderstorm	73:13 74:6 109:21	223:17	273:15
42:15 46:9	215:22	towels	triple-checked
thunderstorms	title	15:14 17:18 214:16	45:12
47:4	16:13 17:12 26:21	track	trouble
Thursday	89:17 103:19 148:14	60:18	119:3 257:7
1:17	249:13,14	traffic	troubles
tight	titled	25:6 66:10 101:13	133:4
133:9,10	84:17 254:8	train	true
tightening	titles	112:19 113:4,10	43:7 116:9 178:14
31:16	249:12	trained	220:11 235:19 236:5
time	today	33:10	274:7
14:17 24:21 31:3,5	9:16 10:14 14:7 15:4	training	trust
33:15 37:22 38:22,22	17:5 25:7,12 26:14	33:2,12 37:18,20 57:4	167:4
56:4 58:9 60:17 63:5	28:7 31:19 32:9,18	133:16 169:4,5,7,9	trusting
63:8 67:5 68:1,7,13	35:14 51:15 65:19	169:12,22 170:1	110:8
68:15,22 73:21 75:21	75:2 79:13 83:19	222:1 223:3 224:6	try
76:18 77:22 84:9,10	86:10 112:22 113:9	226:2 227:7 257:2	41:3 63:11 67:11,16
88:20 91:10 101:3,5	114:9 115:15 121:15	transcript	71:13,15,16 105:8
104:7 105:5,20 106:3	134:20 151:6 263:20	63:8 263:15 264:6	122:11 266:9
112:7 126:14 130:17	269:1 270:8 272:11	268:2	trying
132:19 136:11,13,16	272:12 273:12	transfer	58:2 71:10 168:5
140:1,5,13 142:16	toe	169:14	176:22 178:8 199:2
143:6 148:3 153:13	150:3	transferred	202:1 213:7 223:10
154:16 163:19	toilet	130:21	223:18 227:1,2 228:2
164:13 167:15 172:8	213:2,2 214:13	transition	228:6,8,9,14,20
173:16 177:15 178:8	told	124:3	230:5 231:13 233:12
178:19 179:1 187:12	55:4 70:21 92:4,6	translation	234:4 243:21 248:13
187:16 189:3 191:13	219:6 236:2	145:17	264:18 272:7
192:3 200:12 206:15	tools	transmission	Tsunami
219:18 223:17 232:3	138:14	15:18	13:8
233:13 241:8,15	top	transmit	Tulsa
244:16 247:15	44:14 84:22 85:21	130:8	47:19
263:14,18 264:5	135:21 137:8 141:15	transmitting	turn
267:3 270:19 271:18	184:21 190:8 191:10	98:12	145:13 180:20 183:12
timeframe	topic	travel	183:20
136:18 137:6 207:19	252:3	133:15	turned
times	tornado	traveling	126:18
	l	l -	I

turmovion	$ _{\mathbf{U}}$	169:13	12.2 20.15 41.12 42.5
turnover	_		12:3 28:15 41:12 43:5
27:15	199:22 202:4,5 253:16	under-staffed	45:13,15 48:6 55:12
tushes	Uccellini	58:8	55:15 77:19 100:9
267:6	91:9 177:16 178:16	undisputed	101:11,12 161:16,18
TV	247:17 250:14	30:11 63:13 67:19	179:18 181:18 195:9
45:1 115:1 120:17	Uh-huh	70:12	248:15 251:11,13,16
twice	116:16	unexpected	units
115:12	ultimately	153:1	13:21 16:1 44:13 46:4
Twister	108:2	unfair	101:10
13:12	unable	11:3,11 18:11 29:15	University
two	24:20	29:19,21 35:17	37:8,9
10:7,20 15:9 29:21	unauthorized	Unfortunately	unlicensed
30:1,18 44:13 49:14	34:7 179:21 185:4	179:1	196:19
55:10,10,16,21 56:3	229:22 230:3 257:18	unilaterally	unofficial
56:15,18 57:9,13	unavailability	20:17 92:6	17:14
58:9 76:2,3 94:6	204:7	union	unrelated
100:5,10 115:15	uncertainty	1:5 3:11 7:3 9:9 11:17	237:13
129:10 163:3 180:14	31:16	14:5 17:13 19:18	updated
195:6 210:4 249:11	undercuts	22:13,16 30:15 36:2	142:15
260:10 264:18	97:15	38:15,20 39:2,6,7,10	upper
271:11	understand	39:15 50:5,6,9,10,12	56:1 152:13
two-person	38:14 71:9,13 83:17	50:22 53:2 72:3	UPS
56:14	98:1 152:1 167:7	79:10 81:2,22 84:6	266:18
type	168:4,6,11 175:11	85:7 86:17 87:17	upset
37:18 42:18,19 43:13	187:15 188:14,17	88:8,9,15 89:8,13	264:16
76:19 78:8 139:16	198:9 200:5 219:16	90:4,8,13,19 93:15	usage
179:17 214:7 223:5	230:13 234:9 271:19	93:19 94:8 95:17,21	190:1
266:16	271:20	96:12 98:8,22 99:9	use
types	understanding	99:16 100:11,17,19	16:22 18:2 19:4 20:1
92:17 165:4 177:13	6:19 10:9,16,22 11:7	106:22 108:11,12,16	20:20 21:16 24:9,18
183:1	20:3 70:20 71:4,5	109:13 122:15 123:1	29:3 32:17 33:3,13
typewriting	72:20 75:7 76:2	123:2 125:9 126:10	34:6 35:9 60:19
274:6	126:5 129:6 136:17	149:1 153:19,20	110:9,17,17 130:10
typical	137:5 139:15 146:21	155:1 161:19 170:22	130:11,13 138:14
16:4 43:14 50:14,19	147:4,8,13 148:10	171:1 177:12 178:21	150:14 165:22
52:3,17 53:4,11	151:9,20 199:10	197:2,4 199:18	166:20 171:12
56:14 110:4	202:12,22 203:9,15	200:16 236:9 245:12	180:12 185:2,2 198:5
typically	213:9,12 222:18	245:17 253:18	198:11,15 199:14
16:6,9 24:14 43:17	230:2,6,8 256:12,13	260:15 263:7,9	200:10 201:9,16
54:12 55:8 172:9	256:15 270:8	unions	207:15 214:22 220:4
250:15	understood	40:18 126:20 135:8	221:5,20 222:11
typist	52:18 106:4 150:17	Union's	223:5 228:12 230:2,3
266:16	174:11 175:14 223:2	52:22 97:12 111:17	230:7,10 231:3,10,17
	232:2	266:6	252:19 253:2 254:8
U	under-secretary	unit	254:10,11,12,13
	l	l	

255:11,15 256:17,18	U5e	223:13 227:19	94:21
268:12	79:8	230:15 259:19	visited
uses	U5f	versions	47:11 48:2,7,8 94:10
83:1 197:11 211:21	79:8	266:13	vitality
usual	U5g	versus	17:11
225:5	79:8	9:4	voice
usually	U5h	vessel	64:15 119:2 122:11
43:6 48:12,13,17,17	79:8	195:8 197:17 206:16	156:14 157:18,21
54:4 76:18 77:16	U5i	vessels	161:3
196:2 203:1 218:12	79:8	47:6 195:7 197:12	voir
250:21 267:7	U5j	vey	51:8 82:5,7,10
utensils	79:8	205:12	volume
10:19 11:2,10 15:15	U6	vice-chairman	232:11
15:17 17:19 19:1,5	81:17,18 83:8 84:4	39:13	volumes
19:11,15 20:22 25:5	U7	vice-president	176:2
26:1,13 29:4,12	85:4 86:20	39:15 91:6,21	voyage
32:11 73:14 74:7	85.4 80.20	video	195:21,22 196:1
76:14 109:22 110:16	V	122:10 211:22	193.21,22 190.1
116:20 117:19 140:3	v	view	W
171:21 196:21 207:7	1:7	18:18 22:8 28:21	WABITRATOR
207:12 208:2,22	vacancies	270:11	232:10
215:6 222:6 255:2	60:13	viewed	waist
utilize	vacant		97:11
170:15	14:11,12	24:5,13 violate	wait
utilized	vacation		128:8,8,8,8 194:7,7,7
	151:15	10:15,20 11:6 26:13	waiting
166:2	Valentine's	97:17 201:3	122:8
U.S	264:10	violated	waived
1:9 2:5 4:7 9:4,12	valid	18:8 220:17,19 270:6	244:6.9
26:21 32:7	92:9 232:5	270:10,12	waives
U1	varies	violating	175:9
23:4,5 111:14	251:6,9	232:2	wall
U14	various	violation	212:1
109:1,6,11	41:19 82:19 221:18	11:4,11,16 18:12	
U15		29:10,15,17,20 30:5	want
109:7	vast	32:12 35:16 165:6	23:5 31:22 51:8 63:6,7
U5	202:17	virus	67:11 70:6,15 71:3
79:5 80:22	verbally	20:8 69:22 72:11	72:8 76:10 80:18
U5a	221:9	74:18 75:1,2 103:1	107:7 111:10,11,12
79:7	VERBATIM	103:22 104:1,3,5	111:19 118:3 127:22
U5b	274:17	106:13,19 108:3,10	147:7 153:21 154:6
79:7	verify	117:11,12 119:22	176:14 178:5 180:14
U5c	174:7,8	120:18 139:16	180:15 185:11
79:8	version	viruses	199:20 200:1 201:21
U5d	154:7,21 170:5,20	110:18	202:2 216:14 217:22
79:8	183:15 209:19	visit	218:2 219:4,8 226:14
	I	I	I

	1	1	1
237:5,14,15 238:11	43:12 110:10 252:7	140:6,11,11 141:12	192:8,10 230:13
244:21 257:7 258:5	255:13,14	143:16 145:3 146:13	256:5
260:11 261:4 263:14	way	148:2,7,8,11,14	weren't
264:5,22 265:18,18	50:3 57:5 75:10 78:22	151:6,19 157:13	83:15 117:9 238:21
266:1 268:22 271:1	97:19 105:14 110:13	158:1,22 159:9,16,20	239:11
272:2,21	117:7 120:1 124:15	160:10,14 161:12,19	west
wanted	151:5,5,9 166:2,18	162:6,12 165:3 166:4	2:6 4:10 46:7
48:16 108:7 125:14	167:5,20 171:15	167:6,22 169:10	western
165:1 172:12 177:18	175:9 220:3 231:5	173:6 177:12 178:20	101:6
227:4	239:21,22 241:9	179:11,15 190:2,7,12	we'd
wants	244:21 261:4	192:7 200:6 205:10	114:18 178:19
45:22 59:2	ways	207:10 208:1 209:14	we'll
wardrobe	15:6 24:7 29:2 61:5	211:19 212:20,22,22	33:6 50:8 63:16 79:5
197:14,15,16	153:8 193:17	213:1 216:2 229:3,6	83:3 84:20 105:21
warehouse	wearing	231:18 235:8 246:22	112:10 128:20 134:8
48:18	225:22	247:9,12 248:2,4,11	134:15 143:20 146:4
warning	weather	248:14,15,16,20,21	227:22 228:4
13:8 44:16 45:4,9	1:3 9:3 11:22 12:4,6	249:11,12,14,16	we're
248:11	12:19,19,22 13:5,6	250:17,19 252:10	46:8 48:15 62:5,7
warnings	13:18 14:2,20 15:3	253:6 254:22 255:7,9	71:10,12 83:14,19
12:21 13:2 42:14,15	15:11,22 17:15 18:10	255:22 258:7,9,10	84:15,16 85:3 86:9
42:15,16,16,18,19	18:10 19:21 20:2	web	87:4 99:18 102:17,21
45:20 46:10 250:4	24:18,19 25:9,13	233:22 239:3,10	103:21 104:19
warranted	26:15 28:8,10 29:9	website	105:19 111:22
168:22	30:14 31:4,16 32:17	7:14,17,18 83:5 93:14	112:22 113:9,13
wash	32:19,22 33:17,19,20	101:20 107:19 108:4	114:5 120:4 122:9,16
117:18	34:9,15,19,20 37:11	108:14 111:2 120:14	127:14,16,19 129:1
washed	41:9,10,19,21 42:13	145:18 234:1	137:9 138:8 144:17
110:9	42:14,19,22 43:21	week	148:22 176:22 178:4
washing	44:8 46:6 47:1,10	12:18 42:8 43:20	192:12 194:2 210:20
19:14 117:14	49:9 52:17 55:5,12	49:19 54:2 56:19,20	211:22 212:4 222:5
Washington	55:13 56:8,11 57:17	57:6,14 120:19	239:8 243:3,21
3:16 13:19 46:19	60:6 61:5,6 63:19,21	169:11 170:4 264:11	244:18 245:1,3
101:4	63:22 65:20 66:3,4,5	264:17	261:13 270:14,16
wasn't	66:16 67:2,6,20 68:5	weight	271:11 272:7
17:11 62:19 74:11	69:1 73:2 74:1,3	97:10	we've
92:9 104:4 115:20	79:20 84:11 85:18,22	welfare	65:12 76:5 97:19
222:21 236:21 270:7	87:3,6,12 88:5,21	201:9,15 203:4	252:4 262:12 270:4
watch	91:8,11 92:14 99:5	went	WFO
122:9	99:22 101:11,14	38:6 69:2 88:3 94:1	43:7 45:15 50:6,8,10
watched	110:4 114:19 119:10	101:20 107:19,19	52:3,7 54:22 101:9
13:12	120:12 123:16,18	108:3,5 115:14,17	115:4 248:15
watches	132:12 133:3 135:12	123:20 126:8 127:3	WFO's
46:13	135:14 136:12	137:7 166:5 172:16	240:5 241:9
water	137:21 138:21 140:2	177:2 179:8 191:9,17	whatsoever
	I	I	I

102:6	61:10 63:4,10,16	witnesses	workplace
what's	64:5,7 65:8,10,14	244:19 263:5	27:21 130:9
12:10 44:15 46:16	67:16 68:16 70:17,17	woes	works
50:3 66:7 74:22	74:21 75:8,20 76:1	58:22	151:19
86:16 87:17 98:17,17	77:2 83:9,20 85:20	woman	World
102:2 120:5 129:17	86:2,20 89:15 91:16	120:18	20:5
139:15 157:2 158:19	91:20 94:14 95:12	women	worldwide
161:18 162:22 163:5	99:19 111:3,21	66:18	46:7
192:21 201:8 202:11	112:15 114:4 117:3	wonderful	worried
203:8,15 210:18,18	121:12,12,22 122:14	227:20 228:2 273:13	14:4
210:22 247:4 260:18	122:19 123:1,8,11	wondering	worry
whichever	125:10,11,12 128:4	112:21	74:11 268:21
21:19	128:19 129:2,7	won't	wouldn't
whistle-blower	134:14 135:6 138:11	36:16 163:13 212:18	83:16 120:3 133:14
166:8	139:11 140:20 141:6	word	196:2 237:19
White	143:15 146:3 153:18	104:7 131:13,14	wrap
201:3,5,13	153:22 154:3,5,21	192:21 193:1 221:20	267:8
201.5,5,13 who's	155:9,10 156:3,6,16	222:22 227:5 235:12	wrench
40:15 57:9,9 85:14	161:4 162:9 163:6	worded	57:16
153:5 177:19 189:11	164:10 165:12,21	16:16	writes
244:20 247:17	166:9,19 167:3 175:2		24:10
	175:16,19 177:1,20	wording 131:16	written
Wiley	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
174:2,4 178:13	181:13 182:6,10	words	27:11 203:14 223:10
winter	188:7,9,14,20 189:13	16:22 69:20 129:20	271:4
42:16 62:20,20	189:16 191:14	146:20 168:10	wrong
wipes	192:18 193:1,4,6,8	220:11 221:3	229:22 257:8
15:14	194:5,12 195:12	work	wrote
Wisconsin	200:2 204:22 205:19	15:12,20 16:10,12	18:1,15 19:21 20:10
3:15	205:22 206:3 213:16	19:2,15 25:11 37:20	24:21
wish	213:21 214:2 215:11	38:15,19,20,21 41:3	WSO
31:21 273:13	216:9,16 217:16	49:8,8 53:17,21 54:1	101:10
withdraw	218:3 219:1 220:3,9	55:9,16 57:10 58:4	WSO's
50:2 84:20 111:12	220:21 221:10,13,21	59:3 66:14,17,18,19	101:1
199:20 202:2 203:7	222:9,17 223:2,12,20	67:3 76:12 114:12	X
withdrawn	226:3,12 227:11	149:7,11 199:7,15,16	
7:19,20 199:22 202:4	228:10,18 229:2,5,12	200:8 203:20 225:5	X
withdrew	229:18 230:9,15,20	263:17,17 264:10	1:2,13 6:10 7:1 8:1
202:5	231:1,5,11,14,20	267:2 273:2	58:17 209:16
witness	232:9,14,16 233:3,8	worked	XO's
5:3,7,14 6:3 33:8,15	233:17 234:6 240:16	34:16 234:21 235:2,10	172:18,19,20 254:2,4
34:18 35:20 36:1,4,7	240:18 241:21 242:3	241:9 255:9 271:20	x110
36:11 38:17,20 39:3	243:5 244:20 245:6	working	4:12
45:2,4,7 48:4,7,11	245:10 246:2,7	16:7 25:7,13 32:22	Y
51:4,10,13,17 52:2	249:10,18 254:5,7	57:9 73:22 110:21	-
52:10,15 53:15 60:14	258:18 260:4	198:7 252:4,5 273:2	y
			<u> </u>

58:18	176:7 181:8 182:5,12	7:16 38:17 45:14	14
	185:4 192:21 199:2	7:10 38:17 45:14 72:21 77:5	
yard			7:17 43:17 108:12,17
48:20	201:5 205:13,21	109	108:21 109:5,13
yards	215:19 216:21	7:17,17,18,18	212:21
48:17	218:15 220:10 223:8	11	14th
year	223:18 229:10,14	7:14 89:7 93:15,19	264:10 265:5,6 266:21
17:9,13 30:16 40:10	230:12 234:2,16	94:8 96:13 98:6,8	141
84:10 92:22 102:12	236:22 237:1 249:17	184:21	8:7
103:2 107:22 108:5,7	260:2,5,6 264:6	11:00	149
120:18 123:21 133:2	265:20,22 267:1,11	113:6	5:10
133:11 190:7,12	267:21 269:6,9 270:2	11:34	15
191:13 210:1,4	270:18 271:9 273:2	1:18	7:18 13:7 108:13,17
238:22 263:16,18	you've	114	109:11,14 158:13
264:7	13:12 36:10 52:8	5:5	168:1
years	65:18 149:5,8 199:9	12	150
16:22 20:9 34:15	213:14 234:21	7:15 13:6,6 95:17,21	5:11 41:18
39:15 43:10 60:11,22	269:12 273:9	96:13 98:6,8 210:1	1500
75:2 78:10,14 101:6	Y13	121	176:3
102:21 115:13	163:19	42:1,2,3	152
116:17 123:19	103.19	122	5:12
162:18 187:17 195:6	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$	12:6 42:3	156
	Z		
210:1 225:9 232:21	58:18	123	5:15
235:7,11 236:6,7	36.16	5:8 268:5	157
247:3 248:4,5	1	13	82:18
year's	1	7:16 12:8,22 43:5	16
17:7 102:11	1:21 6:13 7:4 8:17	61:11 99:9,16 100:12	7:19 13:1 43:17 197:2
yesterday	10:1,2 11:4,12 22:14	100:17,19 183:16	197:4 199:20,22
29:14 107:21	22:16 142:21 242:10	191:7 224:7 232:11	205:12,14 248:22
York	242:14 243:1 267:11	232:13	249:1
72:10 123:22 124:1		13-02394-1	17
you'll	268:1	1:6 9:5	7:20 199:18 200:12,16
24:1 57:2 98:3 145:17	1st	1301	202:4,5
145:20 154:15	61:11 62:20 142:10	229:16,18	18
you're	1-242710	1315	182:13 248:4,4
40:7 51:22 54:13,15	1:20	4:10	18th
57:7 58:20,21 61:22	1:20	1325	91:3 264:15
62:1 63:9,14 70:16	113:14	2:6	180
70:17,18 75:13,17	10	134	8:11,13
76:11 80:5 110:8	7:13 16:12,18 56:20	5:9	184
115:6 118:10,11,17	57:2,12 78:10 90:4,8	135	8:11,13
119:6 120:9 123:2	90:14,17,19 183:12	8:4	185
129:15 141:21 151:4	183:13,14	136	5:16
155:8,12,13,17	10:00	8:5	19
157:17 164:2 165:13	113:6	137	
165:15 168:21 176:5	100		1:17 181:9,10,11
103.13 106.21 170.3		8:4,5	182:4
	!	!	

19th	183:17	2009-2010	13:17 14:9 43:16
264:16	2:00	74:3,8 131:10 132:14	44:20 45:14,18 56:14
19130	114:3	2010	60:1
3:7	2:10	38:12 119:20 125:5,7	240
1917	114:1	125:21 247:2	5:20
234:4	2:15	2011	2401
1920-something	112:7,10 113:7	38:13 142:10,21	3:6
92:8	20	144:22 165:21 191:4	242
1924	34:15 115:12	252:16 253:1	8:17,17
21:3 22:8 23:12	200	2012	246
174:22 215:20	7:20	32:18 158:3,9,10	6:4
224:20 226:2,8	2000	191:5 247:18	25
230:19 231:8 232:19	39:14 212:19 235:19	2013	10:3,16,21 11:7 19:20
234:2,3,5 238:13,16	2000's	1:17 10:17 11:1,8	123:19
	124:21	,	25th
238:19 239:2,5,9 1946	2001	32:18 34:3 62:14,18 62:18 63:2 119:15	18:9
26:16	10:3		258
197	20015	158:4,9,11 173:21 177:9 212:19 214:13	6:5
7:19	3:16	243:13 247:19	26
1982	2006	243 :13 247:19 2014	
			10:17 11:1,8 17:17 34:3 87:18 173:21
24:16	39:16	82:4	
1988	2007	202	177:9
123:19	125:21 144:2 145:22	3:17 5:17	261
1990's	147:9 154:7,21	20910	8:10,10,14,14
124:21	267:13,14	2:7 4:11	107.21 120.19
1991	2007-ish	21 62:21	107:21 120:18
37:13	125:2		27th
1992	2008	211	63:10 194:17
196:6	147:13	5:18	274
1994	2009	215	1:21
38:4,7	10:16,21 11:7 14:2,22	3:8	274-1812
1998	16:17 17:9 18:9	22	3:17
38:10	19:20 20:6,8,15 26:9	7:4 90:3 162:18 182:5	29
1999	29:16 30:10 32:15	243:13	14:22
38:10,10 39:22	67:8 68:16,17 72:4	224	3
2	74:11,18,22 75:1,3	82:20,21	$\frac{3}{3}$
2	75:18 102:10,13,16	238 5:19	7:6 50:9,12 52:19 53:2
7:5 50:5,7,12 51:1	102:20 103:15	24	3A
52:19,22 53:2	104:13 105:11,11		6:17
2A	106:19,19 108:10	12:17 42:8 49:18 53:8	3B
6:15	109:20 119:22 126:4	53:11 54:3 57:13	6:18
2B	142:7,22 144:6	233:7	3.14
6:16	147:14 236:6 270:7	24-hour	181:9,13 182:8
2.11	2009-10	25:14	3.33
<i>2</i> ,11	17:3	24/7	3.33
	I	I	I

			-
146:11	_	7.0	7.14 15 20.0
	5	7:8	7:14,15 39:9
30	6:20 10:1 11:5,12	7901	99 7.16
162:21 196:3,4,5	26:21 186:20 202:11	186:20 202:11	7:16
210:1 264:3	5A-J	8	
300	7:8 79:10 81:2	8	
14:11	5,000	7:11 86:18 87:18 88:8	
301	47:22	88:10,15 171:1	
4:12 158:13	5-USC		
31	11:4,12	184:21 253:17,18 8B31	
229:16,18	5:00		
3600	112:18	3:6	
12:3 41:10	5:30	8:00	
37	113:3,12,12 273:19	113:5	
5:4	50	80	
	7:5,6,7 56:21	66:3 203:20	
4	500	81	
4	82:22 268:8	7:8,9	
6:19 7:7 15:5 16:14	5106	84	
50:10,12 51:1,21	4:10	7:9	
52:22 53:2 72:4	53	85	
84:18	7:5,6,7	7:10	
4,000	5335	88	
47:22	3:15	7:10,11,11	
4-6		89	
229:12	6	7:12	
4:00	6		
113:8	7:9 81:22 84:6	9	
4:15	6:00	9	
113:8	113:3,4,12	6:14,14,15,15,16,16	
400	6:30	6:17,17,18,18,19,19	
82:22	113:12	6:20,20 7:12 89:8,13	
4000		90:17,19	
212:21	7	9/25/09	
42	7	20:2	
56:19 57:3	7:10 85:7 88:10,15	90	
433	268:5	7:12,13,13	
224:20	7:00	901	
4339	113:5	26:21	
174:22	7116(A)	93	
440	11:4,12	7:14	
3:15	713-2527	95	
45	4:12	7:15	
57:1,3	765-3455	97	
	3:8	39:9	
5	79	98	